

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Minutes of

Meeting

DAYTON LEGAL BLANK, INC., FORM NO. 10148

Held 20

The Council of the City of Milford met in Council Chambers at 745 Center Street at 7:00 p.m. on October 17, 2017. Mayor Howland called the meeting to order with the Pledge of Allegiance and a Moment of Silence for all of those effected by the hurricanes and the wildfires out in California and to keep them in our thoughts and prayers.

Roll Call: Present: Mayor Howland, Vice Mayor Brewer, Ms. Russell, Mr. Brady, Mr. Albrecht, Mr. Haskins, Ms. Evans

Proceedings: Ms. Howland made a motion to adopt the Proceedings of the October 3, 2017 regular City Council Meeting; Ms. Evans made a motion to approves Seconded by Ms. Russell. All voted yes.

Correspondence: There was no correspondence.

Financial Statement: none at this time

Public Comments:

Janet Cooper – Reminding those that do not know – Santa Sunday Fundraiser will be held at Chappy’s this Saturday from 6-10pm. The proceeds will benefit Santa Sunday. There will be split the pot and different raffles. In tradition with David Granier and Coyotes, we have a shirt in memory of John Cooper that is for sale. All proceeds go towards Santa Sunday. \$10.00 for t-shirts, \$20-25.00 for sweatshirts once they become available. Mr. Haskins asked if we are not able to attend the event, how can we donate to the fundraiser? Ms. Cooper replied that you can send money to Milford Community Fire Fighters Club.

Pam Cerveney – wanted to say a very belated thank you to and anyone involved in Sparks in The Park event. It was a great thing and hopes that it continues to be an annual event. It brought people together again just like our Frontier Days does. It is branding. It was really cool to just take her chair and walk down to where there were more people and the fireworks were being displayed. Milford/Main property glad to hear and see work being done in it. I attended one of the last meetings where it was discussed to get going on this. I also have a question to Mr. Doss regarding are we going to put this out there for architects to come up with ideas for that site since there now seems to be uniformity on that being our gateway to Milford? Where are we with that?

Mr. Doss stated that we are having a Statement of Qualifications which was sent out last week. We have 6 firms that directly reached out to us and we submitted those. Hopefully there will be others. They are due by October 27th 4:30pm. Once we have those received, they will be disseminated to council. Council will then have an opportunity to review them. They will then come with their top 3 or 4. At that point we will bring each firm in and interview them. Look at their portfolios on past projects as they relate to some of the directions that council is wanting to go as far as open space with the site in particular. We will go through that process. Ultimately, we will select the preferred architect that will actually work with council from a consultant stand point. Taking council’s ideas and thoughts and direct them and collaborate those thoughts and ideas and then create some renderings, some concepts, based off of those meetings that they have with council. And direct it in a way that it becomes a plan in moving forward with that property. Ms. Cerveney asked if there will be another opportunity for public input? Mr. Doss said that he envisions the meetings as public and as the architect presents to council, you can hear council’s thoughts as well as the public’s input at the public meetings.

Rob Fields – approached the podium and explained that he lives on Cleveland Avenue. He has lived and worked there as an architect for the last 18 years. He wanted to discuss briefly the subject of the Public Works building on Garfield. Mr. Fields said that he would like to try and keep this positive as he knows that many worked hard on that. And that we would all conquer that we prefer to not have it at that location. There were a lot of issues on trying to find the right site. I am not here tonight to really talk about the location or the esthetics of it. I will say that that project didn’t go through planning commission and it didn’t have a chance for public input. I think if anyone as an architect or developer brought that project to the city, 16,000 square foot pre-engineered metal building, 30 feet high, putting it next to a playground, in a park, next to residential area, I think we would get the boot. And I am not sure why the project didn’t get more public scrutiny. I was sitting on my sofa and I was reading my Community Press, and I will try and be as brief as possible. I noticed it was the first time I had heard of the project, quite frankly. I am an architect and I live here. And I thought it was a little odd. I called my friend Steve Wallace who is an architect right down on Main Street. Both of us in stone’s throw of the property. And he hadn’t heard about it either. I thought it was kind of curios. I dialed down on it and it didn’t make sense to me that it hadn’t gone through the planning commission. So, I called Nate Clayton and I met with him. Personable guy, very forthright. I think Nate is great as well as Ed Hackmeister. We are blessed to have those folks working for us. I really started dialing down and I got contracts and I got the proposals from the architect, engineers and construction contracts. I went through the whole thing. It didn’t smell right to me. I found some real problems. At the time that this contract was being considered, Mayor Howland said to us, if you have issues with this city, I’d rather you come straight to us and tell us. Be straightforward. So, I am here. That is what you asked for. I am here. I briefly want to go through what I think are issues here. I will be brief with my information. It is all public record. It is all information that Nate gave me about this project. But the A&E Services on this project, there were two firms that were invited, Kleingers and McGill Smith and Punshon to provide proposals for. These are big firms. Fifty-five-man firms. They have a lot of

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Minutes of

Meeting

DAYTON LEGAL BLANK, INC., FORM NO. 10148

Held 20

overhead. Curious that a lot of local architectural firms weren't asked to provide proposals for this. MSP provided a proposal of \$39,750. Strand, who is a large firm, ten cities, hundreds of people, provided a quote of \$97,750. The Public Services Committee met on the 21st and council approved, and why wouldn't they approve, MSP on January 3rd for that \$39,700. Randy Merrill with MSP promised to make it look like a carriage house. And why wouldn't you approve that? What I found was that there were work orders that were cut on December 7th from MSP for \$39,750 and then another \$22,000 and another \$12,000 for surveying. To bring that total to almost \$95,000. The \$39,750 that was part of their proposal was under the \$45,000 cap to advertise for bids on this project. So, somebody in the city knew that this contract much exceeded that and didn't put it out to bid. I can tell you that if either Steve or I would have got a hold of this project we would have done it for a fraction of that. To date, MSP has been paid, or has cut contracts totaling \$83,574 plus \$12,000 in surveying, \$95,574 on a 16,000-square foot pre-engineered building with a couple of restrooms and offices. It was shocking. I don't want to get into their fees so much, but I guess the city has money to burn. But what concerns me is that work orders were cut and were provided, public record, by MSP on December 7th, 14 days in advance of your committee hearing. I don't think frankly that the council members in that hearing knew how much their real bid was. I think your city administrator knew it. Because the work orders were cut. And they were provided two weeks before that committee meeting. Somebody knew that this project was a big one. And it exceeded the \$45,000 limit of public advertising. I want to bring that to your attention. I don't want to be up here accusing people but I want to bring that to your attention.

Ms. Howland - that is what you just did.

Mr. Fields replied - then he did want to accuse people.

Ms. Howland asked what architect firm was Mr. Fields with.

Mr. Fields said that he was with Ampersand Architecture located at 120 Cleveland Avenue and the city is aware of my firm and not sure about Steve Wallace because I wrote letters to the city administrator about several projects. One being Milford/Main.

Ms. Howland said that she does know Steve Wallace personally.

Mr. Fields said that Steve is a great architect and I would like to think that we both are. And then we get into the construction part of it. It is equally confusing. MSP did a bid and the lowest bidder was Perkins Carmack on both the base bid and the alternates and DER came in second. And for some reason unbeknown, it was awarded to DER. But not for the bid amount. Their bid was \$1.5, the tabulation shows that it was for \$1.534 and it was awarded at \$1,582,120 plus alternates. That is \$112,310 more than the next lowest bidder which is Perkins Carmack. They are a good outfit and they have done plenty of these projects in municipalities. It is curious to me why Milford would have \$112,000 to give away to the next highest bidder.

Ms. Howland explained that when we take big contracts we have to look at the big picture. Past history of the company, etc. We are obligated to take the lowest and best. The committee makes that determination as what they see as the best. As long as it meets the guidelines set by our law director and our city manager we then choose what we see as the best. Lowest doesn't always mean the best.

Mr. Fields – I have been in the business for 35 years and I understand that. But I think in the interest of full disclosure, transparency it would behoove all of us as taxpayers to know what the issues were, what the problems were.

Ms. Howland – I will have that conversation with you.

Mr. Fields – why have a private conversation? Those are the issues I wanted to bring to all of your attention so you can see what is going on.

Held 20

Standing Committee Reports

Community Development:

Community Development Committee Meeting Minutes October 5, 2017The meeting was called to order at 4:30pm by Lisa Evans Present: Mayor Laurie Howland, Lisa Evans, Sandy Russell, Charles Evans, Tim Howland Staff: Michael Doss, Lori Pegg2018 Landscape Bid The committee agreed that the flowers are landscaping throughout the City look great and we have had numerous compliments from residents. They would like Mr. Doss to check with the Mr. Minnear to see if we can extend the contract to three years or if it must be rebid. The 2017 bid included a one-year contract option and a three-year contract option at the discretion of the City. Mr. Doss will look into it and report findings to the committee Riverside Park Shelter Options Council as a whole asked the Community Development Committee to look at alternatives to the shelter and master plan presented for Riverside Park. Mayor Howland started the discussion with the following comments from several other Council Members and she would like to thank them for their time and commitment to making the park the best that it can be for our residents. Riverside Park Plan Comments and Suggestions Ted Haskins asked me to meet with him a few days after our last Council meeting where we discussed Riverside Park. He shared with me his thoughts, ideas and questions regarding Riverside Park. I, then, reached out to Sandy since she had questions about the “multi-use shelter” to share with her the discussion I had with Ted. Last week, both Amy Brewer and Fred Albrecht also reached out to me to share their thoughts, concerns and ideas on Riverside Park. I actually ended up meeting Fred down at the park to walk it with them. I want to thank everyone one of them for looking into these issues, taking the time to visit the park numerous times, talking to people using the park and willingness to share with me their ideas. I will begin with overall comments about the park: *Everyone agreed that if we are going to do this park then we need to it correctly and not choose items because they are cheap. If we cannot do it correctly then we shouldn’t do it. Our city deserves better then cheap. *While we invest in Riverside, we cannot ignore the upkeep or improvements needed at other parks especially the Trailhead. Each of our parks is important. They all need to be improved or maintained within our budget. Everyone agrees that with the number of potential visitors that pass the trail head everyday especially on the weekends, it needs to ‘polished’ to encourage them to visit downtown. *Our parks need some consistency regarding bench and picnic table and garbage can style. *Need to know what the landscaping budget/expense will be going into creating the path and picnic shelter so we can budget for it and plan for the execution of the design. *Parking lot and entry should be a priority. Do we limit parking to 4 hours to prevent businesses from having employees parking there? We have such signs on some of our side streets. *Do bike racks go closer to the restroom – but not on far side where you can’t see them upon entering park? There is a sign by the playground that needs to moved. It is an awkward spot. Additionally, there was some discussion regarding the black framing around the playground. Can it be redone to remove it? A lot of parks do not have it and use landscaping to simply have the playground mulch fade into the landscaping. Currently, it is low on mulch and full of weeds. Goes back to needing to make sure we can take care of what we already have... Attached is a design lay out with two options for the walking path around the concession building as well as some pictures for examples of the picnic shelter. WALKING Path *Everyone agreed that the workout stations and storybook stations just need to go away – Boy Scouts or no Boy Scouts. Ted even did some research on them and their benefits but at the end of the day everyone agrees they are unnecessary and a maintenance nightmare. The bike trail has removed theirs for the same reason in the last two years. *Everyone was in agreement that the path needs to be pushed out – on the side of the river – to allow for a bigger open space. In visits to the park, they noticed kids playing soccer, throwing Frisbee and even a pick up game of baseball. *In walking it with Fred, he said in the morning there are several people already walking on the far side of the fence. It is actually a really nice place to walk – peaceful. I could even see morning yoga classes there it is so pretty. Moving the path on the outside of the fence, we will not need to landscape on that side. Additionally, we could cut some of the ‘weeds’ to give it an ever better view of the trees etc. Fred doesn’t think the fence needs to move since it helps create the setting that so many people already enjoy. (A few rails need to be taken down on the parking lot side.) The question is how far we can take it down on that side of the fence – will need to clear through some brush. I did check with staff to make sure we can put a path on that side of the fence. We can. *Connectivity – several members wanted the path to not just be a loop in the middle of the field but to better connect to different areas. Connectivity was a hot topic in the Steering Committee. If you follow the path on the riverside, it can connect to a nice path that already exists to the river as well as the sidewalk on Locust. Ted said his son uses that path along the river. We can use the sidewalk as part of the path. Silly to have a path a few feet in from a sidewalk. You could then walk from Main Street – down to the Riverwalk Park – take that to Cash Street and then hook on the walking path at Riverside – walk it around to the entrance by Memorial Park. Take Memorial Park up to Main Street creating a larger path and connectivity to two other points in the city. I walked it and it is actually a nice route for those who don’t necessarily want to simply walk in the ‘field’. *In pushing it, there was an agreement that if we could make it bigger to say .50 mile it would make it nicer for people who are walking down and trying to track miles. Walking around twice versus three or four times to reach a mile is more suitable. *Dog bags and garbage cans will be needed on opposite ends of the path for all the dog walkers. *How it loops around by the concession stand will depend on the distance to reach that half mile as well as cost. *Everyone mentioned eliminating the little ‘inner loop’ connector as well as the walk away from the entrance to the field. If they are walking into an open field then there is no need to for the path to be there and then simply end. PICNIC SHELTER *The consensus is strong that we do not need a shelter that allows performance. Some feel very strongly about that. Fred pointed out that the legion holds bands in their shelter and if the height is done correctly bands could be held there IF there was ever a need. Also, no

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Minutes of

Meeting

DAYTON LEGAL BLANK, INC., FORM NO. 10148

Held 20

one liked the location out in the field – especially if the path changes. Agreement was that if a band were to ever play there, then a portable stage should go at the long end – closer to where the back stop is currently located. This would be a better position and give more depth for crowd. But as I mentioned everyone was in agreement that we did not need a multiuse shelter that allowed bands. We currently have no bands, plan to put bands on Main Street once a month during warmer weather, Speculation is that valley View is going to host some type outdoor bands/concerts so how many bands are we going to have in this city when right now we have none.*What they did notice is that the current picnic shelter is inadequate on a daily basis.*A larger picnic shelter closer to the playground is much needed.*Lisa mentioned when discussing the gazebo for Garfield park that a larger shelter is needed closer to the playground. The same opinion is held for this park by everyone mentioned earlier.*Agreement that it needs to fit in with the building already down there.*No one wants to do a design simply because it is cheap.*Since it does not need electricity ran to it nor a raised on ‘stage’ how much will we save to go towards building a nicer picnic shelter?*Agreement that it is worth putting a bit more money into it to do it correctly. Putting a bigger shelter where the current one is located was discussed but the agreement was that it would be squeezed into an already crowded space. It is being suggested that we put in front of the parking lot, which is a common place for shelters to be located. It is still close enough to the playground, provides easy access from parking lot and allows it to be built as large as we determine. This location would be a benefit for those using the playground, unloading from their cars as well as anyone desiring to host a larger gathering .I suggest landscaping to separate it from the parking lot. If the map is drawn to scale, it will fit nicely into that space. Also, which location council decides on will determine the course of the walking path. Attached are three different styles more fitting for what everyone felt needed to be down there. Actual cost will determine size...as well as stone to tie into the restroom building. Everyone mentioned that ideally they would like to have the estimate of cost for the walking path changes, a few different estimates for some picnic shelters based on size and style as well as landscaping for parking area and around the picnic shelter for budget to review. Ms. Evans noted that we did have several public meetings to gather input on the master plan and those comments were included in this plan, so there was time to weigh in on the project last year. Ms. Russell felt that it was time and money well spent because Council is able to take that plan and adjust it to meet our needs. Everyone agreed that the park does look unfinished. The committee asks that staff come to budget with cost of moving walking path to .5miles, extend to other side of fence, get rid of the cut outs for workout station and 3 design concepts for a shelter near the parking lot and landscape in that area. There be no other business, the meeting was adjourned at 6:00pmRespectfully Submitted, Lori Pegg

Public Services:

City of Milford Public Services Committee Minutes10/9/2017
Called to Order: 8:00am by Amy Brewer Present: Vice Mayor, Amy Brewer; Mayor, Laurie Howland, Council Member, Fred Albrecht Staff: Michael Doss, City Manager; Nate Clayton, Public Works Director; Matt Newman, Asst. Public Works Director; Lori Pegg, Community Services Manager Visitors: Joe White, RRT Lime Lagoon Cleaning The company who had previously won the lime lagoon cleaning bid was unable to secure a performance bond so the project was rebid. The only contractor to submit a new bid was Synagro in the amount of \$89,000 **The committee agreed to make a motion to draft an ordinance authorizing the City Manager to enter into a contract with Synagro for Lime Lagoon cleaning in the amount of \$89,000. Ms. Evans seconded the motion. All voted yes** Public Works/Garfield Park Shelter The existing shelter at Garfield Park has to be moved to make way for the public works facility. The committee discussed where to move the shelter and whether or not we needed a larger shelter in the park area. Ms. Brewer asked how much parking will be available and what the capacity of the current shelter is. Mr. Clayton said the current 20x28 shelter is eight years old can accommodate 40 people. There will be 12 parking spots along the side by the park and an additional 8 at the public works facility. The committee agreed that we didn’t need a larger shelter and they would like to spruce up the existing shelter with stone or something similar to what is being planned at Riverside or even just some nice landscaping. Mr. Clayton noted that the price to move the shelter and the concrete pad for the shelter had already been included in the bid for the public works facility. Roads, Rivers and Trails Retaining Wall/High Street The City was approached this summer by RRT regarding a retaining wall at the back of their property that was failing. The owners had a structural engineer create a report as the wall relates to High Street and it’s stability. Mr. Doss’s concern was that the failure of the wall would cause damage to High Street or the sidewalk because of its proximity. Mr. Clayton added that the wall was originally part of an old building that was there and it was not originally built as a retaining wall. The engineer’s report stated that a geotechnical report was needed for the area to determine the likelihood of that damage. Terracon did the testing and determined that the soil was in good shape and there was no failure underneath of High Street. Joe White from RRT thanked the City for their concern and going ahead with the geotechnical report. He will go forward with his contractor and get the wall taken care of. There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 8:30am.

City Manager’s Report:

MEMORANDUM TO: City Council CC: Mike Minniear, Law Director Jackie Bain, Administrative Assistant/Clerk FROM: City Manager DATE: October 17, 2017RE:Manager’s Report CITY MANAGER Milford/Main Design Statement for Qualifications Update – The request for qualifications was finalized and the deadline for firm submittals is Friday, October 27 by 4:30 p.m. Once the SOQ’s are collected, Council will have the opportunity to review each agency/firms and select those which they would like to present and interview moving forward with the property. Economic Development Incentives – Two

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Minutes of

Meeting

DAYTON LEGAL BLANK, INC., FORM NO. 10148

Held 20

new businesses will open for next month in Milford. HopeBridge offers a variety of pediatric services to children with autism and developmental disabilities. Their offices will be located at the 703 SR. 28 and will immediately create 20 new jobs in the healthcare services field with an expectation of an additional 10-20 jobs over the next couple of years. According to HopeBridge, the average salary will be \$40,000 to \$45,000 annually. The incentive package up for Council consideration/approval is as follows: Year 1: A grant equal to 50% of the Company's employee earnings tax withholding; Year 2: A grant equal to 25% of the Company's employee earnings tax withholding; Years 3-5: A grant equal to 20% of the Company's employee earnings tax withholding. HopeBridge, LLC shall maintain an annual million dollar payroll to qualify and continue to receive this incentive The Little Miami Brewing Company is a new micro-brewery venture by two entrepreneurs in downtown Milford. The LMBC will provide a variety of signature craft beers and as well as pub food such as appetizers and pizza. The LMBC has made a million dollar investment in downtown Milford and hopes to build on the success of other micro-brews in the Greater Cincinnati area. The incentive package up for Council consideration/approval is as follows: Five year, one hundred percent property tax rebate. This rebate will be for property taxes paid to the City of Milford and will not include taxes paid to Clermont County or the Milford School District. *Note: This is the first realized incentive for a business using the new Milford Property Tax Rebate Incentive Program since Council passage in February of this year.* The Little Miami Brewing Company shall continue to provide proof from the Clermont County Auditor that all taxes have been paid for each tax year. I would like to request that Council consider, as a Committee of the Whole, authorizing a Milford Incentive Program Agreement with HopeBridge, LLC and the Milford Property Tax Rebate Incentive Program Agreement with The Little Miami Brewing Company. I appreciate City Council being progressive and having the foresight to propose and support these programs that will pay many dividends that will financially benefit services for our residents. PUBLIC WORKS Public Works Facility –Public Works held a Progress/Coordination Meeting with MSP and DER Construction on October 5. Staff received revised drawings from MSP with the new façade improvements for DER to start pricing. MSP is working on change order documents to improve the façade and submit for a permit revision. Milford Main Excavation, Grading and Seeding –Koepeke Excavating started excavating the rubble on October 6 and will start hauling in topsoil (weather permitting) over the next couple of weeks. Street Division State Route 28/Main Street – Adleta Construction is under contract. The five new catch basins are built and ready for delivery. Anticipated schedule is: Berding will be laying out the new storm and new curb items this week. Saw cutting the pavement for curb replacement and storm sewer items the week of 10/23. Installation of the storm sewers will be the week of 10/30. Curb, walk and apron replacement will start in the week of 11/6. Mill and Water Street Intersection Improvements – Rack & Ballauer is under contract and to be complete this year to coincide with the opening of the brewery. Notice to proceed is October 23. The work is not anticipated to have any road/lane closures at this time. Wastewater Division High Street Sanitary Sewer Main and Bay Road Sanitary Sewer Siphon Line –Stauffer Site Services had a notice to proceed on August 21. High Street is complete and reopened. Bay Road Siphon line replacement started September 25. Bay Road to the sewer plant will be closed week of October 16 from the salt barn and sewer plant. STANDING COMMITTEE MEETINGS October 18 Safety Services Committee at 8:00 a.m. REMINDERS October 18 CIC of Milford at 6:00 p.m. November 4 Council Budget Session at 8:00 a.m. November 9 Council Meeting (Election Day reschedule) at 7:00 p.m. November 14 Council Budget Session at 5:30 p.m. Ms. Brewer made a motion to draft an ordinance authorizing the city of Milford Incentive Program Agreement with Hopebridge, LLC Ms. Howland seconded the motion. All voted yes

Ms. Brewer made a motion to draft an Ordinance Property Tax Rebate Incentive Program Agreement with the Little Miami Brewing Company Ms. Howland Seconded the motion. All voted yes

Police Department Report:

Chief Mills wanted to bring to Council's attention and to read an email that he received from one of the students in the Citizens Police Academy who recently participated in a Ride Along. Officer West was recognized in the letter for the impression he left on that gentleman. Ms. Evans mentioned how Officer West also reached out to a homeless family through St. Vincent DePaul. They worked together and the family was able to find a place to stay. Officer West went out of his way to reach the family.

Fire Department Report:

Chief Baird reported to Council that the department was involved in, as well as the Police Department, in a disaster exercise today at SEM Haven involving tornado damage. They moved patients into the safe locations. They did a nice job in executing their disaster plan. We talked about communication and it was apparent today that this was an obstacle for them. They did a really great job? We also had 40 to 50 Miami Milford fire fighters at the behavior lab training. Top notch training. It was free. The fire board last night have been anticipating having to replace the roof on top of the fire station. The roof developed a leak that they have to now deal with. The board approved the replacement of the roof and hopefully it will be done before the holidays. Recently had the opportunity to take the regional generator truck out to Southern Hills Vocational school for training. This is the first year that they are doing a senior level EMT class. Similar to what Great Oaks does with Juniors and Seniors. Southern Hills is doing Seniors only. It was a program that was developed at the urging of the Fire chiefs in Clermont, Brown, Adams/Highland counties. They have about 28 students in the first class. It will be part of the solution for our regions woes as it comes to part-time staffing. The campus that they are teaching at is right outside of Mt. Orab. It is a great program.

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Minutes of

Meeting

DAYTON LEGAL BLANK, INC., FORM NO. 10148

Held _____ 20 _____

Public Comments: none at this time

Council Comments:

Ms. Evans has a question regarding the curb cuts in downtown Milford. Are they taking into account the changes that may occur with the St. Vincent DePaul store?

Mr. Doss said yes

Ms. Evans said that there may be less curb cuts with their new plan.

Mr. Albrecht commented on how he took a tour of the city sewer and water departments. He received great information and commented on how unbelievable of what goes on behind the scenes. And how intelligent the people are that have to run those systems. And to make sure we all have water and sewer. The people are awesome. Thank you!

Mr. Brady wanted to congratulate Community Development after we had such a long meeting and trying to agree on the shelter at Riverside. I like what you are working on. It's a very nice way to go about things. Regarding the Special Council meeting tonight and committees, I would recommend that we add a public comment section to each committee as council as a whole or by each committee chair to consider putting that on there. It would be good to have a section where comments by those from the community have a comment and they do want to talk about the agenda.

Ms. Howland said it is not too late to register for the Halloween Edition Milford which takes place on October 28th downtown Milford. New is an Entertainment section and a street will be blocked off. You can register in teams of 4.

New Business:

17-606

A Resolution Accepting the Amounts and Rates as Determined by the Budget Commission and Authorizing the Necessary Tax Levies and Certifying Them to the County Auditor Ms. Brewer made a motion to adopt Seconded by Mr. Brady All voted yes

17-1312

An Ordinance Authorizing the City of Milford Incentive Program Agreement with Hopebridge, LLC Ms. Brewer made a motion to suspend the rules and read by title only. Seconded by Mr. Brady All voted yes Ms. Evans made a motion to adopt. Seconded by Ms. Howland. All voted yes

17-1313

An Ordinance Authorizing the City of Milford Property Tax Rebate Incentive Program Agreement with The Little Miami Brewing Company Ms. Brewer made a motion to suspend the rules and read by title only. Seconded by Mr. Brady. All voted yes Ms. Brewer made a motion to adopt. Mr. Brady seconded the motion All voted yes


17-1314


An Ordinance Authorizing the Cleaning of The Lime Lagoon by Synagro Ms. Brewer made a motion to suspend the rules and read by title only Seconded by Mr. Brady All voted yes Ms. Brewer made a motion to adopt. Seconded by Mr. Brady All voted yes

Old Business: There was no old business to be discussed.

Adjourn:

There being no further business to come before the City Council; the meeting was adjourned at 7:50p.m. with a motion from Ms. Brewer. seconded by Mr. Brady. All voted yes.


Jackie Bain, C.P.T.


Laurie Howland, Mayor