



CITY OF BELTON

Planning & Zoning Commission Meeting
Tuesday, November 17, 2020 - 5:30 p.m.
Harris Community Center
401 N. Alexander, Belton, Texas

**PLEASE NOTE: FACE COVERINGS WILL BE REQUIRED
WHERE SOCIAL DISTANCING CANNOT BE MAINTAINED.**

Pledge of Allegiance to the U.S. Flag
Invocation

AGENDA

1. Call to order.
2. Public comments.
3. Consider approval of minutes from previous meeting.
4. P-20-26 Consider a final plat of Ming Addition, comprising 2.5606 acres, located at 2900 Elm Grove Road, Belton, TX, on the west side of Elm Grove Road, south of Decker Road, in Belton's ETJ.
5. P-20-27 Consider a final plat of Three Creeks Phase VIII, comprising 33.81 acres, located generally east of FM 1670 and south of US 190, on the south side of Three Creeks Blvd., near its intersection with Rocking M Lane, in Belton's ETJ.

If interpreter services for the deaf or hearing impaired are required, please contact the City Clerk at (254) 933-5817 at least 48 hours in advance.

Minutes of the
Planning and Zoning Commission (P&ZC)
City of Belton
333 Water Street
Tuesday, September 15, 2020

The Planning and Zoning Commission met at 5:30 P.M. at the Harris Community Center, 401 North Alexander Street. The following members were present: Chair Brett Baggerly, Allison Turner, Luke Potts, David Jarratt, Zach Krueger and Quinton Locklin. Stephanie O'Banion, Ty Hendrick and Dave Covington were absent. The following staff members were present: City Manager Sam Listi, Director of Planning Cheryl Maxwell, Planner Tina Moore, Parks and Recreation Director Matt Bates, IT Specialist Alex Munger and Director of IT Chris Brown.

Pledge of Allegiance – Chair Brett Baggerly led all present.
Invocation – Mr. Luke Potts gave the Invocation.

1. Call To Order.

Chair Baggerly called the meeting to order at 5:30 P.M.

2. Public comments. (Audio 00:44)

Chair Baggerly asked if anyone from the public would like to speak.

Ms. Cindy Youngblood, 4470 Shanklin Lane in Belton's ETJ, said she has concerns with the process and cost of complying with the Subdivision Ordinance. She asked whether the City of Belton would consider adopting a platting exemption for division of land for family members, similar to what Bell County offers. Chair Baggerly asked city staff to follow-up with Ms. Youngblood.

3. Consider approval of minutes from previous meeting. (Audio 2:54)

Commission Member Potts made a motion to approve the minutes from August 18, 2020 meeting, seconded by Mr. Jarratt. The motion passed unanimously with 6 ayes, 0 nays.

4. Z-20-17 Hold a public hearing and consider a zoning change from Agricultural District to Single Family – 3 District on approximately 0.2 acres located at 1450 E. Avenue A, generally east of I-35 and Nolan Creek, near the southeast corner of Central Avenue and Avenue A, described as Richard 2nd Addition, Block 1, portion of Lot 9 (S 90' of W 98' of Lot 9), Belton Original Town. (Audio 3:10)

Ms. Maxwell presented the staff report (Exhibit A).

Chair Baggerly opened the public hearing and with no one requesting to speak, the public hearing was closed.

Mr. Jarratt said he believed the request to be a reasonable request.

Commission member Potts made a motion to approve item Z-20-17 as recommended by staff. Mr. Locklin seconded the motion. The motion was approved with 6 ayes, 0 nays.

5. Z-20-18 Hold a public hearing and consider a zoning change from Planned Development (Patio Home) District to Amended Planned Development (Patio Home) District to allow a reduced rear yard setback for 6 lots located at 2806, 2810, 2811, 2819, 2826, & 2827 Lone Oak Drive, on the south side of S. Estate Drive, east of N. Main Street. (Audio 8:58)

Ms. Maxwell presented the staff report. (Exhibit B).

Chair Baggerly opened the public hearing (14:22). Ms. Morgan, 2011 Downing Street, said her daughter lives at 601 Estate Drive and she is protesting the request. Estate Drive is very narrow and adding six more vehicles is going to increase traffic. Ms. Morgan said it's too many houses in too little space and it will affect her daughter's living situation.

With no one else requesting to speak, the public hearing was closed.

Chair Baggerly said Ms. Morgan's concerns were valid. Chair Baggerly invited Mr. Larry Guess, the applicant, 504 Mitchell Street, to the podium. The plat was approved in 2013 and he asked Mr. Guess to give a recap of the project. Mr. Guess said each time they applied for a permit another issue would come up. Over seven years they have dealt with three planning directors, but now they are hoping that they have everything in order so they can proceed. He pointed out his easements on that property and adjacent properties, which are greater than required in some cases, so he has tried to alleviate the situation.

Ms. Maxwell went over the required setbacks. Mr. Jarratt commented on the uniqueness of the property and how, depending on the orientation, one side could be considered a side yard or a rear yard. Chair Baggerly reiterated that the item for discussion is the setbacks and not the plat since it was approved in 2013.

Commission member Potts made a motion to approve Z-20-18 as recommended by staff. Mr. Krueger seconded the motion. The motion was approved with 6 ayes, 0 nays.

- 6. Z-20-19 Hold a public hearing and consider a zoning change from Agricultural District to Commercial Highway District on approximately 8 acres located at 500 N. I-35 Service Road, on the east side of I-35, south of Nolan Creek (Crossroads Church property).** (Audio 21:05)

Ms. Maxwell presented the staff report (Exhibit C).

Chair Baggerly opened the public hearing, with no one requesting to speak the public hearing was closed.

Commission member Jarratt made a motion to approve Z-20-19 as recommended by staff. Commission member Turner seconded the motion. The motion was approved with 6 ayes, 0 nays.

- 7. Z-20-16 Hold a public hearing and consider a zoning change from Agricultural District to Commercial Highway District on approximately 8.338 acres located on the east side of I-35 near 8257 S. I-35 Service Road, beginning approximately 0.6 mile north of Amity Road.** (Audio 24:24)

Ms. Maxwell presented the staff report (Exhibit D).

Chair Baggerly opened the public hearing, with no one requesting to speak the public hearing was closed.

Commission member Krueger made a motion to approve Z-20-16 as recommended by staff. Commission member Turner seconded the motion. The motion was approved with 6 ayes, 0 nays.

- 8. P-20-25 Consider a preliminary plat of The Pioneer at Connell, comprising 9.031 acres, located generally west of I-35 between US 190 and Loop 121, along an extension of Laila Lane, on the east side of Connell Street.** (Audio 28:40)

Ms. Maxwell presented the staff report (Exhibit E).

Chair Baggerly said there is a lot of development in that area. In addition to TxDOT limitations, Mr. Jarratt asked about physical factors that might restrict the future alignment of Laila Lane. Ms. Maxwell stated there

was a drainage channel near the northern portion of the adjacent property to the east that might affect the alignment.

Commission member Potts made a motion to approve P-20-25 as recommended by staff. Mr. Krueger seconded the motion. The motion was approved with 6 ayes, 0 nays.

9. Hold a public hearing and consider amendments to Subdivision Ordinance Section 502—Street Standards and Policy—Perimeter Streets. (Audio 36:57) (Exhibit F)

City Manager Sam Listi reviewed the conversation from the previous meeting on the changes to the Subdivision Ordinance, Section 502 – Street Standards and Policy- Perimeter Streets, and presented the staff recommendation for amendments.

Chair Baggerly opened the public hearing (Audio 50:00), but with no one requesting to speak the public hearing was closed.

Chair Baggerly asked Mr. Marty Janczak, Governmental Affairs Director for the Temple Area Builder's Association (TABA), 2706 Garden Brook Trail, Belton, to speak before the Commission. On behalf of TABA, Mr. Janczak expressed appreciation for participation in the stakeholder process and support of the proposed amendment.

Commission Member Luke Potts suggested the following:

1. Identify options when a developer's obligation for perimeter streets would be less than 50% of a collector street; and
2. Identify a "trigger" for when a TIA (traffic impact analysis) may be needed; and
3. Reduce the time for the City to hold escrow funds for street improvements from 9 to 7 years.

Mr. Listi did not believe changes were needed to specify when the developer's obligation for perimeter street improvements could be less than 50%, since this can be negotiated. Mr. Listi stated developing a trigger for a TIA was complicated with trip generation varying by land use, time of day, and other factors, but if the Commission desired this, staff could develop a TIA trigger. Mr. Listi had concerns with reducing the escrow term due to changing economic conditions over time, but stated this could be drafted as well.

Commission member Potts made a motion to approve the staff recommendation adding two items: 1) a trigger when a traffic impact analysis/traffic study is required; and 2) a reduction in the escrow time limit from nine years to seven. Commission member Jarratt seconded the motion. The motion was approved with 6 ayes, 0 nays.

With no further business, the meeting was adjourned 6:39 p.m. (Audio ends at 1:08:44)

Chair, Planning and Zoning Commission



Staff Report – Planning & Zoning Item

Date: September 15, 2020
Case No.: Z-20-17
Request: Agricultural to SF3
Applicant/Owner: Andrew & Patricia Hooper

Agenda Item

Z-20-17 Hold a public hearing and consider a zoning change from Agricultural District to Single Family – 3 District on approximately 0.2 acres located at 1450 E. Avenue A, generally east of I-35 and Nolan Creek, near the southeast corner of Central Avenue and Avenue A, described as Richard 2nd Addition, Block 1, portion of Lot 9 (S 90' of W 98' of Lot 9), Belton Original Town.

Originating Department

Planning – Cheryl Maxwell, Director of Planning

Current Zoning: Agricultural District **Proposed Zoning:** Single Family 3 District

Future Land Use Map (FLUM) Designation: Flood Plain

Design Standards Type Area 11: Area defined by Nolan Creek to the North, city limits to the south and east, and Wall Street to the west. Projected to be the southeast residential core of the city; primarily single family residential development with opportunities for retail and commercial areas along Holland Road (FM 436).

Background/Case Summary

This property is zoned Agricultural District and is proposed for single family use. The tract is undeveloped and consists of approximately 8,820 sq. ft., or 0.2 acre, which does not satisfy the minimum 3 acres required in the Agricultural Zoning District. A zoning change is requested to Single Family - 3 (SF3) District to allow construction of a house. The existing tract satisfies all area requirements for the SF3 Zoning District.

Project Analysis and Discussion

Existing Conditions:

This area contains a mixture of site built homes and mobile homes as well as undeveloped land in the Nolan Creek flood plain. This property, and the adjacent properties to the north and west, are zoned Agricultural District. A site built home is located on the property to the north, and the property to the west is undeveloped. A goat farm with an abandoned mobile home is

Exhibit A

also located to the southwest on property zoned Agricultural District. The adjacent property to the south and east is one large tract zoned Single Family 1 (SF1) District with a Conservation and Revitalization Overlay. Several accessory buildings, an RV, and a mobile home are located on this property. Other zoning in this vicinity includes SF1 with a Specific Use Permit for a mobile home, SF1, and Mobile Home Zoning District. A Regional Firearms Training Facility, owned by the City of Belton, is located along Central Avenue to the east, and is zoned Planned Development (Heavy Industrial) District.

Allowable Land Uses:

The proposed SF3 Zoning District limits use to single family homes, and a family home for physically or mentally impaired or handicapped individuals. No attached single family, duplex, multi family, or business/commercial uses are allowed.

Area & Setback Requirements:

Minimum area and setback requirements for the requested SF3 Zoning District are summarized below:

Lot Area:	5,000 sq. ft.	Front Yard Setback:	25'
Lot Width:	50'	Rear Yard Setback:	20'
Lot Depth:	90'	Side Yard Setback:	5'

The lot proposed for rezoning comprises approximately 8,820 sq. ft. and satisfies the minimum area requirements for the requested SF3 Zoning District. The applicant has been advised that the proposed house must comply with the setback requirements and design standards for the SF3 Zoning District. One building permit is allowed for the main structure without platting the property, per Zoning Ordinance Section 45, Creation of Building Site.

Site Development:

Some site development issues to be worked out prior to issuance of a building permit have been discussed with the applicant. These include water service (upgrade from 1" line to 2"), wastewater service (extension of 6" sewer line or approval of septic system), fire protection (upgrade water line or on-site water tank needed), and access. This portion of Avenue A is unpaved; improvements may be required for fire protection purposes. In addition, there is currently only one public crossing point of Nolan Creek that provides access to the properties in this vicinity. This point is a narrow, low water crossing bridge that frequently floods with heavy rainfall. When this crossing is flooded, residents east of the creek are isolated and emergency vehicles are not able to cross the creek and provide service. The applicant is aware of this factor.

Future Land Use Map:

The FLUM does not specifically identify future uses in this area since it lies within the flood plain. Houses may be constructed in the flood plain but the finished floor elevation must be at least 18" above the base flood elevation. Outside the flood plain, this area is shown as primarily residential. This is consistent with the Design Standards Type Area 11 assigned to this general area which projects single family residential use. Although this general area may not be ideal for residential use given the limited access and flooding issues with Nolan Creek, many of the

Exhibit A

properties are already residentially developed or have secured residential zoning for future development. The requested residential zoning district is compatible with the existing residential zoning and residential uses in this area. Therefore, the proposed SF3 zoning district appears to be reasonable in this location.

Recommendation

We recommend approval of the requested zoning change from Agricultural to SF3 Zoning District.

Attachments:

1. Zoning application
2. Property Location Map
3. Zoning map
4. Aerial photo
5. Map with zoning notice boundary (200')
6. Zoning notice to owners
7. Property owner's list



Staff Report – Planning & Zoning Item

Date: September 15, 2020
Case No.: Z-20-18
Request: PD (Patio Home) to
Amended PD (Patio Home)
Applicant/Owner: LWG Associates, LLC
Larry Guess

Agenda Item

Z-20-18 Hold a public hearing and consider a zoning change from Planned Development (Patio Home) District to Amended Planned Development (Patio Home) District to allow a reduced rear yard setback for 6 lots located at 2806, 2810, 2811, 2819, 2826, & 2827 Lone Oak Drive, on the south side of S. Estate Drive, east of N. Main Street.

Originating Department

Planning – Cheryl Maxwell, Director of Planning

Current Zoning: PD (PH)

Proposed Zoning: Amended PD (PH)

Future Land Use Map (FLUM) Designation: Lake Road (FM 439) and Main Street (SH 317) intersection node—Lifestyle Center with retail, restaurants, dense residential, and neighborhood services.

Design Standards Type Area 14: Projected to be primarily single lot residential developments with City sanitary sewer.

Background/Case Summary

This property was zoned PD (Patio Home) in 2013. A final subdivision plat, Lone Oak Estates, was approved at that time as well, for six residential lots. The PD zoning included conditions to allow a reduction in the front yard setback from 25' to 15', and a reduction in the side yard setback from 8' on one side and 10' on the other side, to 0' on both sides. With a 0' side yard, a townhome development is allowed. The applicant believes the intent at that time was to also allow a reduced rear yard setback from 12' to 5'; however, this was not included in the PD conditions. The applicant is requesting an amendment to the PD to allow this reduced rear yard setback. The reduced rear yard will allow room for the building plan desired by the applicant, and provide very small rear yards for those wishing to minimize yard maintenance.

Five of the six townhomes proposed for construction will be one story; the townhouse at the southeast corner of the subdivision, Lot 2, will be two story. The east side of Lot 2 is considered a side yard and will observe a 10' setback; the rear yard is on the south side. Each townhouse will have 2 to 3 bedrooms, a one car garage, and comprise 1,300 to 1,600 sq. ft. The structure

Exhibit B

on Lot 1 will be a single home and will have a 2 car garage. Six additional parking spaces will be provided for guests and visitors via an easement on the adjacent property to the southwest (Johnnies Cleaners and Tailors).

Project Analysis and Discussion

Existing Conditions:

The adjacent properties along Estate Drive to the north, east, and west are residentially developed and zoned Single Family – 1 District. Johnnies Cleaners and Tailors is located on the adjacent property to the southwest, zoned Retail District. Property to the south is also zoned Retail District and is owned by HEB for use as a detention pond, and has a large TP&L easement with power lines running east/west.

The applicant has not constructed any buildings on this property, but has begun site preparation and installed private water/sewer lines and meters, retaining walls, privacy fencing on the east property line and part of the west property line, and concrete for overflow parking on the adjacent property to the west, next to Johnnies Cleaners, via an off-site easement.

Allowable Land Uses:

The current PD zoning with a Patio Home base district allows zero lot line patio homes as well as detached single family homes, and incidental uses to include common open space, community center, and recreation buildings. No change to the allowed uses are proposed with the amended PD.

Area & Setback Requirements:

Minimum area and setback requirements for the Patio Home Zoning District are summarized below, along with current and proposed PD conditions:

Zoning Ordinance Requirement		PD Condition
Front Yard	25'	Reduction to 15' approved in 2013
Side Yard	8"/10'	Reduction to 0' approved in 2013
Rear Yard	12'	Reduction to 5' requested
Lot Area*	5,000 sq. ft.	No change proposed
Lot Width*	50'	No change proposed
Lot Depth*	90'	No change proposed

*Some of the lots in the approved subdivision plat do not satisfy all of the stated area requirements for the PH Zoning District. However, the plat has been approved and the resulting lot sizes are allowed as recorded.

Exhibit B

The PD zoning approved in 2013 allowed a reduction in the front and side yard setbacks as shown in the table above in green. No changes are proposed other than to add a reduction in the rear yard setback from 12' to 5'. The proposed reduction in the rear yard setback will be along the south, east, and west property lines. There are no buildings on the adjacent property to the south, owned by HEB. Structures on the properties to the east and west appear to be set back a minimum of 20' from the property line. Therefore, the proposed reduction in setback for this property does not appear to pose a conflict with existing development on the adjacent properties. In addition, the applicant plans to provide 6' high solid privacy fencing along the east and west sides. No fencing is proposed on the south side where the adjacent property is owned by HEB and anticipated to remain open space with the detention pond and TP&L easement. The Zoning Ordinance allows a side yard setback for residential use to be as little as 5'. These lots with the proposed 5' rear yard would have rear yards that function similar to a 5' side yard.

Future Land Use Map:

The FLUM identifies this general vicinity near Main Street and Lake Road as a Lifestyle Center with mixed uses to include dense residential use. The proposed patio home (PH)/townhome (TH) development is at a higher density than a typical detached single family development and provides a transition from the retail development to the west along Main Street and the SF1 zoned residential properties to the east. The PD for PH/TH use with reduced front and side setbacks was determined to be appropriate when rezoned in 2013. The requested reduction in the rear yard setback will allow the proposed PH/TH development to move forward, a use that appears to be consistent with the FLUM and compatible with existing mixed uses in this area. Therefore, the proposed amendment appears to be reasonable in this location.

Other Consideration:

Property owners within 200' of this development have been contacted regarding this request, as required for zoning cases. The residential properties to the east and west are most affected by the requested reduction in setback. Therefore, we suggest their opinions be considered in determining if the proposed rear yard setback reduction "fits" in this location.

Recommendation

We recommend approval of the requested zoning change from PD (PH) to amended PD (PH), subject to the conditions below. The conditions below are the same as those approved in 2013, with the addition of the reduced rear yard setback and fencing requirement, and deletion of the subdivision plat requirement that has already been completed.

1. The use of the property shall conform to the Patio Home Zoning District in all respects, except as follows:
 - a. 15' front yard setback is allowed
 - b. 0' side yard setback is allowed
 - c. 5' rear yard setback is allowed
2. Landscaping plan providing suitable transition subject to detailed site plan review.

Exhibit B

3. 6' high solid fencing shall be provided on the east and west sides.
4. The development of the property shall conform to the Patio Home Zoning District and all applicable Type Area 14 Design Standards, as identified in Ordinance 2014-17, Section 7.1 of the Zoning Ordinance, including:
 - a. Site Development Standards discussed above
 - b. Building Design Standards
 - c. Landscape Design Standards
5. Sign Standards shall conform to Ordinance 2008-11.
6. ~~Subdivision plat is required.~~

Attachments:

1. Zoning application
2. Property Location Map
3. Zoning map
4. Aerial photo
5. Map with zoning notice boundary (200')
6. Zoning notice to owners
7. Property owner's list
8. Subdivision plat—Lone Oak Estates
9. Site plan



Staff Report – Planning & Zoning Item

Date: September 15, 2020
Case No.: Z-20-19
Request: Agricultural to CH
Applicant: Katie Crane
Owner: 1st Assembly of God

Agenda Item

Z-20-19 Hold a public hearing and consider a zoning change from Agricultural District to Commercial Highway District on approximately 8 acres located at 500 N. I-35 Service Road, on the east side of I-35, south of Nolan Creek (Crossroads Church property).

Originating Department

Planning – Cheryl Maxwell, Director of Planning

Current Zoning: Agricultural District **Proposed Zoning:** Commercial Highway District

Future Land Use Map (FLUM) Designation: Commercial/Retail with Commercial Corridor Overlay. Area along Nolan Creek in flood plain and flood way.

Design Standards Type Area 2: Primarily commercial highway frontage uses with opportunities for mixed uses, hotels, restaurants, new car dealerships, multi-story office, and other similar commercial uses.

Background/Case Summary

The applicant owns approximately 13 acres, divided into 5 tracts. One tract is currently zoned CH District. The other four are zoned Agricultural District. The applicant has submitted this request for a zoning change to CH on the 3 tracts where the existing structures are located so the use is in compliance with the appropriate zoning district. Future plans include possible expansion of the church and child care facility, and signage changes. Some of the applicant's property near Nolan Creek lies partially in the flood plain and flood way. Structures may be constructed in the flood plain but the finished floor elevation must be at least 18" above the base flood elevation. No structures may be built in the flood way.

Project Analysis and Discussion

Existing Conditions:

The 8 acres in this request are zoned Agricultural District and is the site of Crossroads Church and Bear Hugs Learning Center. These facilities cross over onto the applicant's 4th tract, located at the southwest corner of the property, zoned CH District. The adjacent properties to

Exhibit C

the north and south are zoned Agricultural District and are undeveloped. Adjacent property to the east includes a tract (approximately 2 acres) along the west side of Nolan Creek, owned by the church, currently undeveloped and zoned Agricultural District. Property on the east side of Nolan Creek is currently undeveloped and zoned CH with a Specific Use Permit for Open Storage of Landscape Materials.

Allowable Land Uses:

The proposed Commercial Highway Zoning District allows the following land uses:

- Any use permitted in the Retail District (i.e. Gasoline or service station), as well as Neighborhood Services, and Office Districts
- Alcoholic beverages for on-premise consumption
- Church
- Child care center
- Commercial amusement, indoor or outdoor
- Community or exposition center
- Hotel/motel
- Hospital or nursing home
- Multi-family development
- New car sales
- Restaurant with drive-in service
- Truck stop and truck parking
- **Outside storage is prohibited**

The existing church and child care center are allowed uses in the requested CH Zoning District. An electronic changeable copy sign is also allowed with a Specific Use Permit, and may be requested in the near future, in compliance with all sign code standards.

Area & Setback Requirements:

Minimum area and setback requirements for the requested CH Zoning District are summarized below:

Lot Area:	7,200 sq. ft.	Front Yard Setback:	60'
Lot Width:	60'	Rear Yard Setback:	20'
Lot Depth:	120'	Side Yard Setback:	20'

The three tracts proposed for rezoning comprise approximately 8 acres collectively, with each tract individually over 1 acre in size, exceeding the minimum area requirements for the CH Zoning District. The four tracts together comprise the building site, since the structures cross over the individual lot lines. The existing structures observe the required 60' front yard setback from I-35, and the rear and side yard setbacks are observed as well.

Future Land Use Map:

The Future Land Use Map (FLUM) identifies this area as projected for retail and commercial use, with a commercial corridor overlay along I-35. The requested CH Zoning District is

Exhibit C

consistent with the FLUM and commercial uses expected along a major corridor, and appears to be reasonable in this location.

Recommendation

We recommend approval of the requested zoning change from Agricultural to Commercial Highway Zoning District.

Attachments:

1. Zoning application
2. Property Location Map
3. Zoning map
4. Aerial photo
5. Map with zoning notice boundary (200')
6. Zoning notice to owners
7. Property owner's list



Staff Report – Planning & Zoning Item

Date: September 15, 2020
Case No.: Z-20-16
Request: Agricultural to CH
Applicant/Owner: Gregory Long

Agenda Item

Z-20-16 Hold a public hearing and consider a zoning change from Agricultural District to Commercial Highway District on approximately 8.338 acres located on the east side of I-35 near 8257 S. I-35 Service Road, beginning approximately 0.6 mile north of Amity Road.

Originating Department

Planning – Cheryl Maxwell, Director of Planning

Current Zoning: Agricultural District **Proposed Zoning:** Commercial Highway District

Future Land Use Map (FLUM) Designation: Commercial/Retail with Commercial Corridor Overlay

Design Standards Type Area 2: Primarily commercial highway frontage uses with opportunities for mixed uses, hotels, restaurants, new car dealerships, multi-story office, and other similar commercial uses.

Background/Case Summary

The applicant owns approximately 12.5 acres. The front 4.2 acres were annexed into the city limits in 2000 and zoned Commercial Highway (CH) District in 2001. The back 8.3 acres were annexed in 2016 and assigned the Agricultural District at that time. This property is for sale and the proposed purchaser wishes to develop a convenience store and truck stop on the full 12.5 acres. The applicant has submitted this request for a zoning change to CH to allow the truck stop development.

Project Analysis and Discussion

Existing Conditions:

The 8.3 acres in this request are zoned Agricultural District and are undeveloped except for two accessory buildings at the northeast corner of the property that are currently unused and will be removed. The adjacent property to the west is under the applicant's ownership (4.2 acres) with frontage along I-35, and is undeveloped with CH zoning. Access to the applicant's two tracts is limited to the existing driveway on the service road. TxDOT has designated the area south of the driveway as an access denial zone. The proposed purchaser is working with

Exhibit D

TxDOT to determine if additional driveway access may be granted. The adjacent property to the east is also undeveloped and zoned Agricultural District. The adjacent property to the north is zoned Commercial – 2 District and is the site of a boat servicing/repair business—Interstate Marine and Outboard Service. The adjacent property to the south is partially in the city limits and partially in Belton's ETJ. The small tract along the I-35 frontage is zoned CH District and is undeveloped except for a billboard sign. The larger tract without the highway frontage is outside the city limits and is undeveloped except for a single residence and accessory buildings.

Allowable Land Uses:

The proposed Commercial Highway Zoning District allows the following land uses:

- Any use permitted in the Retail District (i.e. Gasoline or service station), as well as Neighborhood Services, and Office Districts
- Alcoholic beverages for on-premise consumption
- Church
- Child care center
- Commercial amusement, indoor or outdoor
- Community or exposition center
- Hotel/motel
- Hospital or nursing home
- Multi-family development
- New car sales
- Restaurant with drive-in service
- Truck stop and truck parking
- **Outside storage is prohibited**

The proposed use of a convenience store and truck stop is an allowed use in the requested CH Zoning District.

Area & Setback Requirements/Site Development:

Minimum area and setback requirements for the requested CH Zoning District are summarized below:

Lot Area:	7,200 sq. ft.	Front Yard Setback:	60'
Lot Width:	60'	Rear Yard Setback:	20'
Lot Depth:	120'	Side Yard Setback:	20'

The property proposed for rezoning comprises approximately 8.3 acres and exceeds the minimum area requirements for the CH Zoning District. A preliminary site plan has been provided encompassing the full ownership of 12.5 acres. The applicant has been advised that future buildings must comply with these setback requirements, design standards, and subdivision platting regulations including fire codes and utility requirements. There is currently no water or sanitary sewer service available to serve this property. The nearest public water line is approximately 1.2 mile to the north, on the west side of I-35. The applicant is proposing

Exhibit D

use of a water well, subject to approval by the Clearwater Underground Water Conservation District, and use of a septic tank, subject to approval by the Bell County Public Health District.

Future Land Use Map:

The Future Land Use Map (FLUM) identifies this area as projected for retail and commercial use, with a commercial corridor overlay along I-35. The requested CH Zoning District is consistent with the FLUM and commercial uses expected along a major corridor, and appears to be reasonable in this location.

Recommendation

We recommend approval of the requested zoning change from Agricultural to Commercial Highway Zoning District.

Attachments:

1. Zoning application
2. Property Location Map
3. Zoning map
4. Aerial photo
5. Map with zoning notice boundary (200')
6. Zoning notice to owners
7. Property owner's list



Staff Report – Planning & Zoning Item

Date: September 15, 2020
Case No.: P-20-25 The Pioneer at
Connell Subdivision
Request: Preliminary Plat
Applicant: Belton Engineering
Lina Chtay
Owner: VAD Tree Capital LLC
Nilay Limbachiya

Agenda Item

P-20-25 Consider a preliminary plat of The Pioneer at Connell, comprising 9.031 acres, located generally west of I-35 between US 190 and Loop 121, along an extension of Laila Lane, on the east side of Connell Street.

Originating Department: Planning – Cheryl Maxwell, Director of Planning

Current Zoning: Planned Development (Multi-Family) for Townhomes

Case Summary

The applicant owns the 9 acres within the plat boundary, as well as the 9 acres to the north. The total 18 acres are currently zoned PD (MF) for Townhomes and are undeveloped at this time. This preliminary plat proposes 65 residential lots for a townhouse development. In addition, 12 tracts are proposed for green space, storm water drainage and detention, and subdivision parking. These tracts will be owned and maintained by the Homeowners Association (HOA). An extension of Laila Lane is required as part of this overall development, and is proposed within this preliminary plat boundary, for construction in a future phase. A development agreement is proposed to upsize one of the water lines to 12" for future extension eastward. The development agreement will be presented to the City Council in conjunction with the final plat.

Project Analysis and Discussion

This plat includes approximately 9.031 acres and was zoned PD (MF) for Townhomes in September, 2019. The Zoning Ordinance only allows townhomes in a PD Zoning District. The Multi-Family base zoning district will allow apartments as well as townhomes. The applicant is proposing townhomes for this portion of the property that is being platted, but is undecided on the northern portion at this time. The proposed lots satisfy all area requirements for this zoning district. Following is a summary of these minimum requirements.

PD-Townhouse—Minimum Requirements

- Lot Area: 2,700 sq. ft.
- Lot Width: 25'
- Lot Depth: 90'
- Maximum Density: 18 units/acre*
- Front Yard: 20'
- Rear Yard: 20'
- Side Yard: 0'; 10' from street & between clusters
- Maximum Cluster Length: 300'

*7.2 units/acre proposed

The typical lot for this development is 28.5' x 95' for a total of 2,707.5 sq. ft. The proposed townhouse units will be one story with 3 bedrooms, 2 bathrooms, and one car garage (approximately 1,210 sq. ft. living space). A driveway width of 18 feet will be provided to allow two vehicles to park, for a total of 3 parking spaces/unit. An additional 21 parking spaces are proposed along the eastern property line for guests and visitors.

Following is a summary of the subdivision ordinance requirements as they apply to this subdivision plat.

Water: The applicant is connecting to an existing 8" water line along Connell Street and extending 8" lines throughout this phase. At the city's request, the applicant is upsizing one of the lines to 12" to provide future service to properties to the east. Since the applicant's obligation for water service for this subdivision is an 8" line, the city proposes to reimburse the applicant the cost for upsizing to a 12" line, which will be addressed in a development agreement with the final plat. The applicant has provided a cost estimate for upsizing the water line and it is accepted as the City's not-to-exceed cost share for this work, to be finalized with project bidding by the applicant.

Sewer: The applicant is connecting to an existing 8" sewer line southeast of the property and extending 6" and 8" lines to provide service to these lots.

ROW/Streets: Connell Street and Laila Lane are shown as collector streets on the City's Thoroughfare Plan. Connell is a major collector, requiring a minimum ROW width of 80'. In this vicinity, Connell Street currently has approximately 54' ROW, a deficit of 26'. The applicant is dedicating 13' ROW, which satisfies their obligation to provide ½ of the needed ROW. Laila Lane is a minor collector, requiring a minimum ROW width of 60'. The applicant owns property on both side of the Laila Lane extension, and is therefore, required to dedicate all of the needed ROW. This 60' ROW dedication is shown on the preliminary plat.

Connell Street is a perimeter street; therefore, the applicant is required to construct perimeter street improvements for Connell similar to what was constructed with Liberty Valley Phase IV. This would include half the width of a 37' collector street –18.5' pavement; curb and gutter is not needed at this time but will be included in a later project for the entire stretch of roadway. The applicant will be constructing these improvements along with the subdivision improvements.

Exhibit E

Laila Lane is projected to be extended directly eastward from its current point of termination at Connell Street, per the City's Thoroughfare Plan. We have worked with the applicant and consulted with TxDOT, and met with the adjacent property owner to the east, to determine the optimum alignment of Laila from Connell to the I-35 service road. Due to the I-35 exit ramp location, and existing street connection and driveways on the service road, there is a limited window where TxDOT will approve a new street connection. When staff met with the adjacent property owner to the east to discuss future development plans and alignment options, this property owner did not have any plans or preference for the roadway location. Staff believes it is best for the extension to align with the current intersection of Laila with Connell, instead of creating an off-set intersection. The applicant has complied, providing an acceptable slight shift northward, just east of the intersection. The exact connection point with the I-35 service road will be determined when the property to the east is developed. Laila Lane will be constructed by the applicant as a collector street with a 37' pavement width with curb and gutter.

Internal streets proposed with this subdivision will be constructed as private streets with a 24' pavement width with curb and gutter. These private streets will be owned and maintained by the Homeowners Association (HOA). Concord Street will be extended northward to provide a connection to Laila Lane in the future, with Phase II. This will result in two entrances from Connell Street and one from Laila. With 65 residential lots proposed, a minimum of two entrances is required by the Subdivision Ordinance, Section 502.01.K., and this is satisfied with the two entrances for Phase I.

Sidewalks: The Subdivision Ordinance Section 503 requires a 5' wide sidewalk along both sides of collector streets, in this case, Connell Street and Laila Lane. With Connell Street as a perimeter street, the applicant is responsible for a sidewalk only on the subdivision side. The applicant will be responsible for sidewalks along both sides of Laila Lane. No sidewalks are required along the internal private streets.

Drainage: Pre and post-drainage plans and calculations have been provided and reviewed. A detention pond is proposed near the northeast corner of Phase I and will be owned and maintained by the HOA. Drainage plans will be finalized with the final plat review.

Parkland Dedication/Fees: The parkland fee requirement per Subdivision Ordinance Section 517 is \$200 per residential unit. For 65 lots, the requirement is \$13,000. These funds will be used to develop Liberty Valley Park, which is located near these lots, on the west side of Connell, south of the future Huey Drive extension.

Conclusion: We have reviewed the plat and find it acceptable as a preliminary plat. All conditions have been addressed as of August 25, 2020.

With the final plat, a Development Agreement will be presented for oversizing the water line along Cambridge Avenue from 8" to 12", for future extension to the east.

Recommendation

Recommend approval of the preliminary plat of The Pioneer at Connell Subdivision.

Attachments

1. Preliminary Plat Application
2. Preliminary Plat
3. Location Map
4. Cost estimate for oversizing water line
5. Administratively complete letter to the applicant dated August 25, 2020



Staff Report – Planning & Zoning Item

Date: September 15, 2020
Request: Subdivision Ord. Amendment
on Perimeter Streets

Agenda Item

Hold a public hearing and consider amendments to Subdivision Ordinance Section 502—Street Standards and Policy—Perimeter Streets.

Originating Department

Administration – Sam A. Listi, City Manager

Background

In April 2020, P&ZC recommended and Council approved a number of Subdivision Ordinance amendments to simplify plat processing, clarify code requirements, and update the standards for legislative changes. Two items were deferred for later consideration, Perimeter Street Improvement Requirements and Sidewalk Standards. At that time, we proposed to present recommendations for consideration in September for Perimeter Streets, and in December for Sidewalks. This item is a public hearing on perimeter street improvement requirements.

Belton's Current Perimeter Street Requirement

Please see Section 502.01 Street Standards and Policy, H. Perimeter Streets attached. As you recall, the City's current standard requires the following:

- Right of Way (ROW) Dedication in conformance with the Thoroughfare Plan;
- One-half the cost of paving (up to 18.5' width of a 37' collector street) for street and curb and gutter for street adjacent to subdivision;
- Requirement may be varied by Council based upon:
 - Affordable housing; and
 - Any development 3 acres or less in size.
- Requirement may be waived by staff for a plat that qualifies for administrative approval, given:
 - Limited development potential;
 - Amount of traffic; and
 - Current condition of unimproved streets under consideration.
- Requirement may be postponed by Council if determined improvement not feasible or desirable at this time, with posting of a bond or development agreement by developer.

Legal Question Raised About Belton's Requirement

There have been questions raised about the legality of Belton's ordinance on Perimeter Streets principally in its fairness as written. The objective is clear – a contribution to enhance the City's

Exhibit F

street system to support additional proposed adjacent development. Its application has been open to debate, given factors such as physical orientation of the property to the identified street and actual impact of the development to the street system. Variances have been requested and granted often, and development agreements have been used and remain available for negotiated settlements. While the current Belton standard is valid in establishing a legitimate responsibility for sharing the impact of development on an adjoining street, it could be improved by including language from court cases and Texas Local Government Code (LGC), reflecting the “fairness” test. The “fairness” test includes a two-part test:

- a) Nexus - the standard applied must have a meaningful relationship to the proposed development; and
- b) Rough Proportionality - the standard applied must be roughly proportionate to the proposed development as approved by a professional engineer retained by the municipality.

The “fairness” test attempts to balance and link, as closely as possible, the new development impact with the anticipated contribution by the developer.

Possible Alternate Approaches

1. Establish Transportation Impact Fee Ordinance to determine basis for improvements.

- a. One approach the City could pursue is the development of Transportation Impact Fees. Following a likely \$100,000± extensive City-wide consultant study, as well as twelve months of analysis, and the development of complex elements of current and future traffic demands, a development permit fee is established allocating projected cost impacts to each type of development on the adjoining street system.

Elements of an Impact Fee Analysis:

- Land Use Assumptions
- Impact Fee Service Zones
- Roadway Inventory
- Development of a Roadway CIP
- Define Maximum Cost Per Unit
- Land Use Equivalency Table (LUE)

While this is perhaps the most equitable approach, it is important for the City Council to determine if Transportation Impact Fees are appropriate for the community. If not, and if there is still a need for some development-induced and funded transportation system improvements, it is important to find a way to establish a reasonable approach that fairly allocates the impact when appropriate.

2. Establish “trigger” for Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA) as basis for improvements.

- a. An effective and objective way to quantify the proportional impact of a proposed development on an adjoining street system prior to development is through a Traffic Impact Analysis, a TIA. Yet, a TIA may cost in the \$10,000 - \$20,000 range, and it is important to determine when a TIA is needed and triggered – in other words, at what scale of development is this cost justified? The determination would focus on the appropriate scale of development.

Exhibit F

3. Retain and amend current Subdivision Ordinance and emphasize negotiation on needed improvements to match development impacts.

Analysis

After extensive review of area ordinances, discussion with experts across the state, and a Stakeholders Meeting held on September 1, 2020, we are recommending Alternate 3 above, as presented in the attachment for numerous reasons.

1. Impact Fees do not seem appropriate, timely, or an affordable process for the community at this time, and would discourage development.
2. The TIA “trigger” seemed to have promise, but establishing a reasonable and usable standard proved problematic. It could also be seen as a potential discouragement to development.
3. Amending the Subdivision Ordinance seems the most reasonable approach, since it will preserve the sound elements it currently contains, while we address its shortcomings, namely nexus and proportionality. Some key aspects of the proposal:
 - Maintains the recognition there is an obligation for development to address impacts of a development on an adjacent street.
 - Retains the current ROW/improvement standards for perimeter streets, while establishing nexus and rough proportionality.
 - Existing provisions for a variance, waiver, deferral of standards are retained.
 - TIA may be required if a significant development scale proposed, but it is not mandatory.
 - Alternative traffic study to a TIA is available as well.
 - Emphasis is placed on negotiated agreement for needed improvements resulting from development.
 - Appeal process established.

Recommendation

Recommend P&ZC conduct public hearing on Subdivision Ordinance amendment and forward a recommendation to the City Council.

Attachments:

Current Section 502 Street Standards and Policies, Section H, Perimeter Streets
Proposed Amendment, Section 502 Street Standards and Policies, Section H, Perimeter Streets

P&Z
Agenda Items
for
November 17, 2020
Meeting



Staff Report – Planning & Zoning Item

Date: November 17, 2020
Case No.: P-20-26 Ming Addition
Request: Final Plat
Applicant: All County Surveying
Owner: Reese and Anna Ming

Agenda Item

P-20-26 Consider a final plat of Ming Addition, comprising 2.5606 acres, located at 2900 Elm Grove Road, Belton, TX, on the west side of Elm Grove Road, south of Decker Road, in Belton's ETJ.

Originating Department: Planning – Tina Moore, Planner

Case Summary

This subdivision consist of approximately 2.56 acres, one block, and three lots. This plat will clean up and establish lot lines for three existing houses on site. No additional homes are proposed. Zoning regulations are not applicable as this subdivision is located in Belton's ETJ. Bell County requires a 25' front yard setback which is provided on the plat.

Project Analysis and Discussion

Following is a summary of the subdivision ordinance requirements as they apply to this subdivision plat.

Water: This property is located within the Armstrong Water Supply Corporation (AWSC) Certificate of Convenience and Necessity (CCN). There's an existing 2" domestic water line along Elm Grove that provides service to the existing homes. A 10' utility easement is proposed on the plat for this existing water line location as required by AWSC. The applicant is requesting a variance for the required water flow rates for fire protection. The request letter states AWSC does not have the capacity to provide the required 1,000 gpm flow for fire protection. This request is supported by staff since the AWSC system is limited in this vicinity. In the event of a fire, water tanker trucks will be needed to suppress the fire.

Sewer: No sanitary sewer is available to serve this subdivision. Each lot is serviced by an individual septic system. These systems are allowed and approved by the Bell County Public Health District.

Drainage: The city is not responsible for drainage since this property lies in Belton's ETJ, and will defer to Bell County and their requirements. With the existing residences on site and no additional homes proposed, no impact to drainage is anticipated with this subdivision.

ROW/Streets/Sidewalks: Elm Grove Road is a minor arterial street on the City's Thoroughfare Plan. This requires a minimum ROW of 100'. There is currently approximately 38' of ROW and approximately 20' of pavement in this vicinity. The applicant is seeking a variance for the Thoroughfare classification for this roadway due to lack of significant developments and growth in the area. Staff does not anticipate this roadway functioning as a minor arterial in the future and is support of this variance. Staff believes a minor collector roadway will be adequate to serve area residents, and we will recommend amending the Thoroughfare Plan in the future to reflect the change.

A minor collector roadway requires a 60' right of way. Per Subdivision Ordinance §502.01.A. the developer is responsible for dedicating half of the remaining ROW needed to achieve 60'. This equates to approximately 10' ROW dedication required for this plat. The ROW dedication requirements have been provided on the plat

Sidewalks: Per Subdivision Ordinance §503.04, sidewalks are required along collector streets; however a waiver is allowed for ETJ areas due no available entities to assumed responsibility for maintenance.

Parkland Dedication/Fee: Per Subdivision Ordinance §517, residential subdivisions are required to dedicate suitable lands for the purpose of parkland and/or make a financial contribution for the acquisition and development of such parkland. Since the residence is existing and no additional dwelling units are proposed, the fee is not applicable.

Conclusion: Since this proposed subdivision is located in Belton's ETJ, the Bell County Engineer's Office has reviewed this plat and provided comments that have been addressed. After Council action, this plat will be taken to Bell County Commissioners Court for approval. We have reviewed the plat and find it acceptable as a final plat. All conditions have been addressed as of November 5, 2020, subject to approval of requested variances.

Recommendation

Recommend approval of the final plat of Ming Addition, subject to the following:

1. Approval of variance to water flow requirement for fire protection; and
2. Approval of variance to the right of way standards for Elm Grove Road to be reduce from a Minor Arterial to a Minor Collector requiring 60' of ROW and associated reduction in that classification on the Thoroughfare Plan.

Attachments

1. Final Plat Application
2. Final Plat
3. Location Map
4. Variance Request

City of Belton
Request for Subdivision Plat
to the City Council and the
Planning and Zoning Commission

Application is hereby made to the City Council for the following:

- ☐ Preliminary Subdivision Fees due \$ _____
- ☒ Final Subdivision
- ☐ Administrative Plat
- ☐ Replat
- ☒ ETJ
- ☐ City Limits

Date Received: _____ Date Due: _____ **(All plans are to be returned to the Planning Department according to the Plat Submission Calendar.)**



Applicant: Charles C. Lucko (254) 778-2272
Mailing Address: 4330 South 5th Street Temple, TX 76502
Email Address: chuck@allcountysurveying.com

Owner: Reece Ming and Anna Ming Phone: _____
Mailing Address: 2900 Elm Grove Road Belton, TX 76513
Email Address: _____

Current Description of Property:

Lot: N/A Block: N/A Subdivision: N/A
Acres: 2.5606 Survey: O.T. Tyler
Abstract #: 20 Street Address: N/A
Frontage in Feet: 407.15' Depth in Feet: 278.56'

Does Zoning comply with proposed use? N/A Current Zoning: N/A
Name of proposed subdivision: Ming Addition
Number of Lots: 3 Fee: \$ _____

Signature of Applicant:  Date: 10.6.2020
Signature of Owner:  Date: _____

NOTE: Variances to code requirements may be considered by P&ZC and City Council.

CALL 100 ACRES
ANGELICA VAREZ
202.146.2019, G00042637

REC'D FBI/DALETON
JAN 24 1964 11:50 AM
FBI/DALETON
JAN 24 1964 11:50 AM

BEFORE HE WAS INTERVIEWED. A NUMBER OF OTHER KEY PERSONNEL INTERVIEWED HAVE BEEN ADVISED TO BE PERSONS WHOSE NAMES HAVE BEEN PLACED IN THE PAST BY THE FBI AS SUSPECTS IN THE KENNEDY ASSASSINATION. IT IS THAT HE RECEIVED THE SAME TYPE OF WARNING AND CONCERNATION WERE THEN STATED.

AGENCY IN FILE
 COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI, THAT THE ABOVE AND FOREGOING IS AN AGENT OF THE
 FIELD OF THE LAW OF MISSISSIPPI. IT HAS BEEN ADVISED THAT THE
 STATE, BY THE PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION OF THE UNITED STATES,
 1945

 GANNON
 MISSISSIPPI

STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF _____
I, _____, being the person named in the above and foregoing plat, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing plat was duly recorded in the public records of the County of _____, State of Texas, on the _____ day of _____, 19____.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE. DATE 05-11-2010 BY 60322 UCBAW/PJG/STP

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

DATE 04/11/2011 BY 60322 UCBAW/STP

Re: Phil Spector
Serial Number: 446623

THE REARINGS OF THE VEHICLE ARE BEING REARINGS AND ARE BEING UPON THE TEXAS STATE PLANE ELEMENTARY SITS FOR A YEAR. THERE WAS AN AIRPORT CONVENTION. ALL EMPLOYEES ARE

[illegible]

CHARLES C LUTZ, JR.
REGISTRATION NO. 4496
EAT-SUBCUT. AUGUST 1, 1950

CALL 100 ACRES
AMERICA - NZ
202 10 2015 00042157

1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65
 66
 67
 68
 69
 70
 71
 72
 73
 74
 75
 76
 77
 78
 79
 80
 81
 82
 83
 84
 85
 86
 87
 88
 89
 90
 91
 92
 93
 94
 95
 96
 97
 98
 99
 100
 101
 102
 103
 104
 105
 106
 107
 108
 109
 110
 111
 112
 113
 114
 115
 116
 117
 118
 119
 120
 121
 122
 123
 124
 125
 126
 127
 128
 129
 130
 131
 132
 133
 134
 135
 136
 137
 138
 139
 140
 141
 142
 143
 144
 145
 146
 147
 148
 149
 150
 151
 152
 153
 154
 155
 156
 157
 158
 159
 160
 161
 162
 163
 164
 165
 166
 167
 168
 169
 170
 171
 172
 173
 174
 175
 176
 177
 178
 179
 180
 181
 182
 183
 184
 185
 186
 187
 188
 189
 190
 191
 192
 193
 194
 195
 196
 197
 198
 199
 200
 201
 202
 203
 204
 205
 206
 207
 208
 209
 210
 211
 212
 213
 214
 215
 216
 217
 218
 219
 220
 221
 222
 223
 224
 225
 226
 227
 228
 229
 230
 231
 232
 233
 234
 235
 236
 237
 238
 239
 240
 241
 242
 243
 244
 245
 246
 247
 248
 249
 250
 251
 252
 253
 254
 255
 256
 257
 258
 259
 260
 261
 262
 263
 264
 265
 266
 267
 268
 269
 270
 271
 272
 273
 274
 275
 276
 277
 278
 279
 280
 281
 282
 283
 284
 285
 286
 287
 288
 289
 290
 291
 292
 293
 294
 295
 296
 297
 298
 299
 300
 301
 302
 303
 304
 305
 306
 307
 308
 309
 310
 311
 312
 313
 314
 315
 316
 317
 318
 319
 320
 321
 322
 323
 324
 325
 326
 327
 328
 329
 330
 331
 332
 333
 334
 335
 336
 337
 338
 339
 340
 341
 342
 343
 344
 345
 346
 347
 348
 349
 350
 351
 352
 353
 354
 355
 356
 357
 358
 359
 360
 361
 362
 363
 364
 365
 366
 367
 368
 369
 370
 371
 372
 373
 374
 375
 376
 377
 378
 379
 380
 381
 382
 383
 384
 385
 386
 387
 388
 389
 390
 391
 392
 393
 394
 395
 396
 397
 398
 399
 400
 401
 402
 403
 404
 405
 406
 407
 408
 409
 410
 411
 412
 413
 414
 415
 416
 417
 418
 419
 420
 421
 422
 423
 424
 425
 426
 427
 428
 429
 430
 431
 432
 433
 434
 435
 436
 437
 438
 439
 440
 441
 442
 443
 444
 445
 446
 447
 448
 449
 450
 451
 452
 453
 454
 455
 456
 457
 458
 459
 460
 461
 462
 463
 464
 465
 466
 467
 468
 469
 470
 471
 472
 473
 474
 475
 476
 477
 478
 479
 480
 481
 482
 483
 484
 485
 486
 487
 488
 489
 490
 491
 492
 493
 494
 495
 496
 497
 498
 499
 500
 501
 502
 503
 504
 505
 506
 507
 508
 509
 510
 511
 512
 513
 514
 515
 516
 517
 518
 519
 520
 521
 522
 523
 524
 525

An aerial photograph showing a road intersection. A large white sign with black text reads "ROAD CLOSED". Below it, another sign reads "ROAD CLOSED". The road is paved and has a dashed white line down the center. The surrounding area appears to be a mix of paved and unpaved ground.

Technical drawing of a mechanical part, likely a bracket or support. The drawing includes a top view and a side view. Key dimensions include a total length of 10.0, a width of 1.0, and a height of 1.0. A central hole is shown with a diameter of 0.5. The drawing is labeled 'ELM' and 'APR 1964'.

Doc. No. 2079-00046.248

RECREATION INFORMATION

1. The first step in the process is to identify the problem or issue that needs to be addressed. This involves gathering information and understanding the context of the problem.


VICTORY MAP
 - NT5 -
 GARDEN
 BLUE WINDING
 OLD ANNA MARIE PKWY
 100' WIDE
 LELAND, TX 75244
 LOTS - THREE (3)
 PL 20'x65' - ONE (1)
 AREA - 25666 ACRES

[illegible]

ACS
ALL COUNTY SURVEYING, INC.
1000 N. 10TH ST., SUITE 200
DENVER, COLORADO 80202
TEL: 724-7401 FAX: 724-4444
FAX: 724-7401
E-MAIL: info@allcounty-surveying.com

RECREATION INFORMATION
FILED FOR RECORD THIS _____ DAY OF _____
_____ 2020
IN DOCUMENT NO. _____ OFFICIAL
PUBLIC RECORDS OF REAL PROPERTY BELL COUNTY, TEXAS

P-20-26 LOCATION - MING ADDITION



PROPOSED PLAT:

FINAL PLAT
MING ADDITION
2.5606 ACRES

PROPERTY OWNER:

MING, REECE BROWN
ETUX ANNA M

LEGEND




Map Date: 11/9/2020

0 2500 5000 Feet



Maps and data are for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering or surveying purposes. They do not represent an on-the-ground survey and represent only the approximate relative location of property boundaries. No warranty is made by the City of Belton regarding specific accuracy or completeness.



ALL COUNTY SURVEYING, INC

October 23, 2020

City of Belton
Planning Department
333 Water Street
Belton, Texas 76513
ATTN: Planning Department

Re: Request for "Right of Way Dedication Waiver" – Ming Addition (ETJ)

Dear Planning Department:

This letter is in response to your comments dated October 16, 2020.

We are working through the comments, and under the category of "Planning", comment number four (4), it is hereby desired by applicant to be allowed a "Right of Way Dedication Waiver".

Elm Grove Road where this project resides has not had a significant amount of development and seems likely that future development will not increase in the near future permitting a 100' of ROW requirement.

The owners of this property have indicated that they are in agreement with a dedication of a strip along Elm Grove Road that will create a right of way line 30ft from the roadway centerline, this will be approximately 10ft in width.


Thanks for granting this waiver, and as applicant, I wish to thank you in advance.

Respectfully,

Regards,

Charles C. Lucko, RPLS
Pres, All County Surveying, Inc.

4330 South 5th Street Temple, TX 7650 254.778.2272
allcountysurveying.com



ALL COUNTY SURVEYING, INC

October 21, 2020

City of Belton
Planning Department
333 Water Street
Belton, Texas 76513
ATTN: Planning Department

Re: Request for "Fire Protection Waiver" – Ming Addition (ETJ)

Dear Planning Department:

This letter is in response to your comments sent October 16, 2020.

We are working through the comments, and under the category of "Planning": Fire flows must meet 1000 gpm per the Fire Code, it is hereby desired by applicant to be allowed a "Fire Protection Waiver".

Armstrong Water Supply Corporation supplies the water for this area and does not have the capability to provide the required 1000 gallon per minute flow to meet the City of Belton's fire flow ordinance. To update the water system to obtain the required flow would be very costly.

Thanks for granting this waiver, and as applicant, I wish to thank you in advance.

Respectfully,

Charles C. Lucko, RPLS
Pres, All County Surveying, Inc.



Staff Report – Planning & Zoning Item

Date: November 17, 2020
Case No.: P-20- 27 Three Creeks Phase VIII
Request: Final Plat
Applicant: Yalgo Engineering
Owner/Developer: WBW Single Development Group, LLC.

Agenda Item

P-20-27 Consider a final plat of Three Creeks Phase VIII, comprising 33.81 acres, located generally east of FM 1670 and south of IH-14, on the south side of Three Creeks Blvd., near its intersection with Rocking M Lane, in Belton's ETJ.

Originating Department

Planning – Tina Moore, Planner

Case Summary

This is the 8th phase of the Three Creeks development. This phase proposes 43 lots for residential development, and one 18.76 acre tract proposed for greenspace that will be owned and maintained by the homeowners association (HOA). The eight phases combined results in a total 1,097 lots of an estimated 1,500 total lots.

Project Analysis and Discussion

This property is located in Belton's ETJ; therefore, Belton zoning codes are not applicable. All of the lots in each subdivision, including this phase, are a minimum of 50' in width, 100' in depth, and 5,000 sq. ft. in area. This is in compliance with the Development Agreement Section 5.02.b. executed in December 2010. Setbacks include the following:

Front Yard: 25'
Rear Yard: 20'
Side Yard: 5' interior; 15' adjacent to a street; 25' if garage entry from side street

Per the Development Agreement approved in 2010, Bell County Municipal Utility District (MUD) No. 1 was created to finance infrastructure in, and to, this subdivision. This infrastructure includes water, sewer, drainage, and roadways.

Following is a summary of the subdivision ordinance requirements as they apply to this subdivision plat.

Water/Sewer: This phase lies within Belton's water and sewer CCN. Six inch water and sewer lines are proposed throughout the subdivision. Fire hydrant locations comply with current standards. The developer is required to demonstrate, post construction, compliance with the current fire flow requirements of 1,000 gpm. Up-sizing of waterlines may be required if fire flow standards are not met. The City will be responsible for maintaining the water and sewer lines after construction.

Access: This current phase provides two accesses off Three Creeks Boulevard, a major collector street. The development agreement approved by the City Council in 2010 included a master plan of the proposed subdivision that identified two points of access: one via Three Creeks Boulevard, and a second via the extension of Rocking M Lane, which is now in place. In 2016, the Subdivision Ordinance was amended (Section 502.01.J) to require any single family residential subdivision within the City of Belton or the City's ETJ to provide 3 entrances/access streets for subdivisions with more than 101 lots. The City's Thoroughfare Plan anticipates the future extension of Three Creeks Boulevard eastward to Shanklin Road, which will provide the 3rd connection, although it is not a requirement for this subdivision.

Streets/Drainage: The streets in this phase are local streets that will be constructed with a 50' ROW, 31' pavement width, and curb/gutter. Three Creeks Boulevard, a major collector street, runs along the north side of this phase. This portion of Three Creeks Boulevard was constructed with Phase IV and provides access to the local streets in Phase VIII. A note has been added to the plat prohibiting access to Three Creeks Boulevard from the lots in Phase VIII that back or side to Three Creeks Boulevard. After construction, Bell County will be responsible for maintenance of the streets.

Drainage calculations have been provided and reviewed with the construction plans for this phase. Since this property lies in Belton's ETJ, the city is not responsible for drainage and will defer to Bell County and their requirements. Drainage easements are being dedicated and will be owned and maintained by MUD #1.

Sidewalks: No sidewalks are required, or proposed along the local streets in this phase. The existing 6' wide hike/bike trail along the south side of Three Creeks Boulevard was extended as part of Phase IV.

Parkland Dedication/Fee: According to the Subdivision Ordinance Section 517, each residential subdivision is required to dedicate sufficient and suitable parkland and/or payment of fees-in-lieu of required parkland. There are a total of 1,095 lots in Phases I-VIII and ultimately 1,500 lots for the entire Three Creeks subdivision; therefore, a total of 10 acres of parkland is required for the 8 phases, and ultimately 15 acres for the entire 1,500 lots in this subdivision. The developer has provided a total of 127.34 acres of private parkland that contains several trail networks and pavilions for the residents. This private parkland exceeds the Subdivision Ordinance requirements and is consistent with the master plan in the development agreement. The parkland facilities, greenspaces, and trails will be maintained by the HOA. This phase proposes one greenspace area comprising 18.76 acres along a FEMA flood plain area, and a nature trail will be added to this area, following the 2011 Conceptual Park-Trail System.

Conclusion: As this proposed subdivision is located in Belton's ETJ, the Bell County Engineer's Office has reviewed this plat and provided comments which were addressed to their satisfaction. After Council action, this plat will be taken to Bell County Commissioners Court for approval. We have reviewed the plat and find it acceptable as a final plat.

Recommendation

Recommend approval of the final plat of Three Creeks Phase VIII; subject to staff comment letter dated November 12, 2020.

Attachments

1. Final Plat Application
2. Final Plat
3. Location Map
4. Three Creeks Overall Layout
5. Parkland Trails Concept Plan
6. Staff Comment Letter – November 12, 2020

City of Belton
Request for Subdivision Plat
to the City Council and the
Planning and Zoning Commission

Application is hereby made to the City Council for the following:

- ☐ Preliminary Subdivision Fees due \$ _____
- ☒ Final Subdivision
- ☐ Administrative Plat
- ☐ Replat
- ☐ ETJ
- ☐ City Limits

Date Received: _____ Date Due: _____ (All plans are to be returned to the Planning Department according to the Plat Submission Calendar.)

Applicant: Yalgo Engineering Phone: 254-953-5353
Mailing Address: 3000 Illinois Ave. Ste 100 Killeen, Tx 76543
Email Address: NataliePratt@yalgoengineering.com

Owner: WBW Single Development Group, LLC - Series 129 Phone: 254-953-5353
Mailing Address: 3000 Illinois Ave. Ste 100 Killeen, Tx 76543
Email Address: jwelch@wbwdevelopment.com

Current Description of Property:

Lot: _____ Block: _____ Subdivision: _____
Acres: _____ Survey: C.H. Fitch & Young Williams
Abstract #: 316 & 861 Street Address: FM 1670
Frontage in Feet: _____ Depth in Feet: _____

Does Zoning comply with proposed use? Yes Current Zoning: ETJ
Name of proposed subdivision: Three Creeks Phase VIII
Number of Lots: 43 Fee: \$ 379

Signature of Applicant: _____ Date: 07/29/2020
Signature of Owner: _____ Date: 07/29/2020

NOTE: Variances to code requirements may be considered by P&ZC and City Council.




P-20-27 LOCATION - THREE CREEKS PH VIII


PROPOSED PLAT:
FINAL PLAT
THREE CREEKS PH VIII
33.81 ACRES

PROPERTY OWNER:
WBW SINGLE DEVELOPMENT GROUP, LLC

LEGEND
P_20_27



P-20-27 - THREE CREEKS PH VIII



PROPOSED PLAT:
 FINAL PLAT
 THREE CREEKS PH VIII
 33.81 ACRES

PROPERTY OWNER:
 WBW SINGLE DEVELOPMENT GROUP, LLC

Legend
 P_20_27

Maps and data are for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering or surveying purposes. They do not represent an on-the-ground survey and represent only the approximate relative location of property boundaries. No warranty is made by the City of Belton regarding specific accuracy or completeness.




Map Date: 11/9/2020





PARKLAND (144.12 ACRES)

RECORDED LOTS

PROPOSED LOTS



PROJECT INFORMATION				SHEET	
1	PROJECT NAME	THREE CREEKS PHASES 1, 1A, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, & 8	DATE	1	1
2	OWNER	YALOW, LLC	DATE	2	2
3	DESIGNER	YALOW, LLC	DATE	3	3
4	APPROVER	YALOW, LLC	DATE	4	4
5	REVISIONS	1. 11/11/11	DATE	5	5
6	REVISIONS	2. 11/11/11	DATE	6	6
7	REVISIONS	3. 11/11/11	DATE	7	7
8	REVISIONS	4. 11/11/11	DATE	8	8
9	REVISIONS	5. 11/11/11	DATE	9	9
10	REVISIONS	6. 11/11/11	DATE	10	10
11	REVISIONS	7. 11/11/11	DATE	11	11
12	REVISIONS	8. 11/11/11	DATE	12	12
13	REVISIONS	9. 11/11/11	DATE	13	13
14	REVISIONS	10. 11/11/11	DATE	14	14
15	REVISIONS	11. 11/11/11	DATE	15	15
16	REVISIONS	12. 11/11/11	DATE	16	16
17	REVISIONS	13. 11/11/11	DATE	17	17
18	REVISIONS	14. 11/11/11	DATE	18	18
19	REVISIONS	15. 11/11/11	DATE	19	19
20	REVISIONS	16. 11/11/11	DATE	20	20
21	REVISIONS	17. 11/11/11	DATE	21	21
22	REVISIONS	18. 11/11/11	DATE	22	22
23	REVISIONS	19. 11/11/11	DATE	23	23
24	REVISIONS	20. 11/11/11	DATE	24	24
25	REVISIONS	21. 11/11/11	DATE	25	25
26	REVISIONS	22. 11/11/11	DATE	26	26
27	REVISIONS	23. 11/11/11	DATE	27	27
28	REVISIONS	24. 11/11/11	DATE	28	28
29	REVISIONS	25. 11/11/11	DATE	29	29
30	REVISIONS	26. 11/11/11	DATE	30	30
31	REVISIONS	27. 11/11/11	DATE	31	31
32	REVISIONS	28. 11/11/11	DATE	32	32
33	REVISIONS	29. 11/11/11	DATE	33	33
34	REVISIONS	30. 11/11/11	DATE	34	34
35	REVISIONS	31. 11/11/11	DATE	35	35
36	REVISIONS	32. 11/11/11	DATE	36	36
37	REVISIONS	33. 11/11/11	DATE	37	37
38	REVISIONS	34. 11/11/11	DATE	38	38
39	REVISIONS	35. 11/11/11	DATE	39	39
40	REVISIONS	36. 11/11/11	DATE	40	40
41	REVISIONS	37. 11/11/11	DATE	41	41
42	REVISIONS	38. 11/11/11	DATE	42	42
43	REVISIONS	39. 11/11/11	DATE	43	43
44	REVISIONS	40. 11/11/11	DATE	44	44
45	REVISIONS	41. 11/11/11	DATE	45	45
46	REVISIONS	42. 11/11/11	DATE	46	46
47	REVISIONS	43. 11/11/11	DATE	47	47
48	REVISIONS	44. 11/11/11	DATE	48	48
49	REVISIONS	45. 11/11/11	DATE	49	49
50	REVISIONS	46. 11/11/11	DATE	50	50
51	REVISIONS	47. 11/11/11	DATE	51	51
52	REVISIONS	48. 11/11/11	DATE	52	52
53	REVISIONS	49. 11/11/11	DATE	53	53
54	REVISIONS	50. 11/11/11	DATE	54	54
55	REVISIONS	51. 11/11/11	DATE	55	55
56	REVISIONS	52. 11/11/11	DATE	56	56
57	REVISIONS	53. 11/11/11	DATE	57	57
58	REVISIONS	54. 11/11/11	DATE	58	58
59	REVISIONS	55. 11/11/11	DATE	59	59
60	REVISIONS	56. 11/11/11	DATE	60	60
61	REVISIONS	57. 11/11/11	DATE	61	61
62	REVISIONS	58. 11/11/11	DATE	62	62
63	REVISIONS	59. 11/11/11	DATE	63	63
64	REVISIONS	60. 11/11/11	DATE	64	64
65	REVISIONS	61. 11/11/11	DATE	65	65
66	REVISIONS	62. 11/11/11	DATE	66	66
67	REVISIONS	63. 11/11/11	DATE	67	67
68	REVISIONS	64. 11/11/11	DATE	68	68
69	REVISIONS	65. 11/11/11	DATE	69	69
70	REVISIONS	66. 11/11/11	DATE	70	70
71	REVISIONS	67. 11/11/11	DATE	71	71
72	REVISIONS	68. 11/11/11	DATE	72	72
73	REVISIONS	69. 11/11/11	DATE	73	73
74	REVISIONS	70. 11/11/11	DATE	74	74
75	REVISIONS	71. 11/11/11	DATE	75	75
76	REVISIONS	72. 11/11/11	DATE	76	76
77	REVISIONS	73. 11/11/11	DATE	77	77
78	REVISIONS	74. 11/11/11	DATE	78	78
79	REVISIONS	75. 11/11/11	DATE	79	79
80	REVISIONS	76. 11/11/11	DATE	80	80
81	REVISIONS	77. 11/11/11	DATE	81	81
82	REVISIONS	78. 11/11/11	DATE	82	82
83	REVISIONS	79. 11/11/11	DATE	83	83
84	REVISIONS	80. 11/11/11	DATE	84	84
85	REVISIONS	81. 11/11/11	DATE	85	85
86	REVISIONS	82. 11/11/11	DATE	86	86
87	REVISIONS	83. 11/11/11	DATE	87	87
88	REVISIONS	84. 11/11/11	DATE	88	88
89	REVISIONS	85. 11/11/11	DATE	89	89
90	REVISIONS	86. 11/11/11	DATE	90	90
91	REVISIONS	87. 11/11/11	DATE	91	91
92	REVISIONS	88. 11/11/11	DATE	92	92
93	REVISIONS	89. 11/11/11	DATE	93	93
94	REVISIONS	90. 11/11/11	DATE	94	94
95	REVISIONS	91. 11/11/11	DATE	95	95
96	REVISIONS	92. 11/11/11	DATE	96	96
97	REVISIONS	93. 11/11/11	DATE	97	97
98	REVISIONS	94. 11/11/11	DATE	98	98
99	REVISIONS	95. 11/11/11	DATE	99	99
100	REVISIONS	96. 11/11/11	DATE	100	100



PAVED ACCESS ROAD/BIKE TRAIL

PAVED BIKE/HIKE

NATURE TRAIL.



CONCEPTUAL PARK-TRAIL SYSTEM
LA CACHETTE
CITY OF BELTON, BELL COUNTY, TEXAS

APPENDIX

[illegible]

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION-
FOR REVIEW ONLY.

Yalgo, LLC
2000 Illinois Ave., Suite 100
Dallas, TX 75243
PH (214) 953-5355
FX (214) 953-0032

**Texas Registered
Engineering Firm F-12264**



City of Belton

Planning Department

November 12, 2020

Project: THREE CREEKS PHASE VIII

Applicant: YALGO ENGINEERING

Date Submitted: 4-6-20

7-15-20

10-29-20

11-10-20

Location: 33.81 ACRES and THREE CREEKS BLVD/ ROCKING M LANE

*****Please comment back in red under the comments submitted on this sheet.*****

Development Review Committee Determination of Plat Status:

11-12-2020 - Administratively Complete

PLANNING:

1. No further comments.

PUBLIC WORKS/KPA:

1. Thanks for providing the water model report. 6" waterlines can be installed due to a water report provided and the developer understands flows post-construction must meet the 1,000 gpm at the 20 psi residual pressure as modeled. If fire flows do not meet the stated requirements post-construction, waterlines may be required to be up-sized. No further action needed at this time.

BELL COUNTY ENG. – Malcolm Miller, Malcolm.Miller@BellCounty.texas. com:

No Comments.

FIRE DEPT:

No Comments

BUILDING OFFICIAL:

No Comments.

POLICE DEPT:

No Comments.

GIS:

No Comments.

Outside Utility Provider Comments

☒ **Oncor:** I am in agreement with the proposed plat. Oncor has facilities in the area to serve this addition.

☐ **AT&T:**

☒ **Atmos Energy:** I am in agreement with the proposed plat.

☐ **Charter Communications:**

☐ **Grande Communications:**

- ☐ Spectrum (Time Warner):
- ☐ USPS:
- ☐ Clearwater UCD:
- ☐ TXDOT:

Note: Acceptance by the City of Belton of a plat, zoning change, conceptual drawing, construction drawing, or other development submittal complying with City of Belton minimum standards for drainage does not provide approval beyond what the City may authorize. Approval is limited, and this approval does not limit any obligations you may have under applicable state statutes, such as the Texas Water Code, or federal statutes. You should consult with your own professionals as you continue to pursue this development project.