

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FROM APPENDIX G OF THE CEQA GUIDELINES

AESTHETICS. Would the project:

- ☐ Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?
- ☐ Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?
- ☐ Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?
- ☐ Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?

AGRICULTURAL RESOURCES. In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. Would the project:

- ☐ Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?
- ☐ Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?
- ☐ Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?

AIR QUALITY. Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:

- ☐ Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?
- ☐ Violate any air quality standard or contribute to an existing or projected air quality violation?
- ☐ Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?
- ☐ Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?
- ☐ Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?

BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES. Would the project:

- ☐ Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?
- ☐ Have a substantial or adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?
- ☐ Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?
- ☐ Interfere substantially with the movement of any native or resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?
- ☐ Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?
- ☐ Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?

CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:

- ☐ Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an historical resource as defined in '15064.5?
- ☐ Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to '15064.5?
- ☐ Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geological feature?
- ☐ Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?

GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:

- ☐ Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:
 - a) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.
 - b) Strong seismic ground shaking?
 - c) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?
 - d) Landslides?
- ☐ Result in substantial soil erosion or loss of topsoil?
- ☐ Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?
- ☐ Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (2001), creating substantial risks to life or property?
- ☐ Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

- ☐ Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?
- ☐ Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?

HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:

- ☐ Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?
- ☐ Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?
- ☐ Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?
- ☐ Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?
- ☐ For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?
- ☐ For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?
- ☐ Impair implementation of, or physically interfere with, an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?
- ☐ Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?

HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:

- ☐ Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?
- ☐ Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?
- ☐ Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?

- ☐ Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?
- ☐ Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?
- ☐ Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?
- ☐ Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?
- ☐ Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?
- ☐ Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?
- ☐ Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?

LAND USE AND PLANNING. Would the project:

- ☐ Physically divide an established community?
- ☐ Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?
- ☐ Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?

MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:

- ☐ Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?
- ☐ 2b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?

NOISE. Would the project result in:

- ☐ Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?
- ☐ Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?
- ☐ A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?
- ☐ A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?
- ☐ For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?
- ☐ For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:

- ☐ Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?
- ☐ Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?
- ☐ Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project:

- ☐ Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered government facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:
 - a) Fire protection?
 - b) Police protection?
 - c) Schools?
 - d) Parks?
 - e) Other public facilities?

RECREATION.

- ☐ Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?
- ☐ Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?

TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the project:

- ☐ Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?
- ☐ Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?
- ☐ Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?
- ☐ Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g. sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?
- ☐ Result in inadequate emergency access?
- ☐ Result in inadequate parking capacity?
- ☐ Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?

UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project:

- ☐ Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?
- ☐ Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?
- ☐ Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?
- ☐ Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?
- ☐ Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?
- ☐ Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?
- ☐ Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?

MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.

- ☐ Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

Environmental Checklist from Appendix G of the CEQA Guidelines

- ☐ Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?
- ☐ Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?



Project Comments – Planning Application

Project Address: **1095 Rollins Road, zoned C-1, APN: 026-231-250; 026-231-260**

Description: **Request for General Plan Amendment and Rezoning from commercial to high-density residential; Vesting Tentative and Final Map to merge two parcels; Design Review, Conditional Use Permit for building height, Parking Variance, and Density Bonus for a new six-story, 150- unit multifamily residential dwelling.**

From: Jennifer Lee
Stormwater

Please address the following comments at this time; provide a written response and revised plans with your resubmittal:

This project is required to comply with the Provision C.3 and C.6 of the San Francisco Bay Municipal Regional Stormwater NPDES Permit (MRP) since it will create and/or replace 10,000 square feet or more of impervious surface and will replace 50 percent or more of site impervious surface. Stormwater source control and treatment requirements shall apply to the entire project site.

~~Please complete, sign and return the “C.3/C.6 Development Review Checklist” and the following worksheets, which are available at www.burlingame.org/stormwaterdevelopment~~

- ~~a. Worksheet A, C.6 Construction Stormwater BMPs~~
- ~~b. Worksheet B, C.3 Source Controls~~
- ~~c. Worksheet C, Low Impact Development – Site Design Measures~~
- ~~d. Worksheet D, C.3 Regulated Project – Stormwater Treatment Measures~~
- ~~e. Worksheet E, Hydromodification Management~~
- ~~f. Worksheet F, Special Projects~~
- ~~g. Worksheet F-2, Special Projects Reporting Form~~

~~For additional information, please see the **C.3 Stormwater Technical Guidance** handbook at www.flowstobay.org/newdevelopment~~

Thank you for submitting the C.3/C.6 Development Review Checklist.

1. Plans show that the Contech media filter is located under a planting area. Please update landscape plans to reflect that the underground media filter and access doors will be present.
2. Across from the Contech media filter is a sidewalk planter strip. Please confirm that the planter strip will not create an accessibility issue for maintenance of the media filter.
3. Sheet C8.4, Linear 4 StormFilter Standard Detail is missing the sizing calculations in the StormFilter Data table. The treatment measure should be sized based on the water quality design flow specified in MRP Provision C.3.d. and the cartridge design operating rate for which the product received certification through the Washington State Technical Assistance Protocol – Ecology (TAPE) General Use Level Designation (GULD) for Basic Treatment. When determining the design flow rate, refer to the Rational Method as described in the C.3 Technical Guidance, Chapter 5, page 5-7.

The following comments do not need to be addressed now, but you should be aware of them as they will need to be addressed at time of building permit submittal.

Post-construction treatment measures must be designed, installed, and hydraulically-sized to treat a specified amount of runoff. The project plan submittals shall identify the owner and maintenance party responsible for the ongoing inspection and maintenance of the post-construction stormwater treatment measures in perpetuity. **A completed, notarized Stormwater Treatment Measure Maintenance Agreement must be submitted to the City prior to the issuance of a final construction inspection.**

Reviewed By: Jennifer Lee
650-558-7381

Date: ~~9/27/2018~~
11/27/2018



Project Comments – Planning Application

Project Address: 1095 Rollins Road, zoned C-1, APN: 026-231-250; 026-231-260

Description: Request for General Plan Amendment and Rezoning from commercial to high-density residential; Vesting Tentative and Final Map to merge two parcels; Design Review, Conditional Use Permit for building height, Parking Variance, and Density Bonus for a new six-story, 150-unit multifamily residential dwelling.

From: Martin Quan
Public Works Engineering

Please address the following comments at this time; provide a written response and revised plans with your resubmittal:

- ~~1. For all easements to be abandoned, proper supporting documentation and acceptance (by the benefiting party) will be required prior to Planning Approval for the project.~~
- ~~2. Please dimension the ramp width.~~
- ~~3. All public right-of-way improvements, such as sidewalk, shall be to City Standard (ie. No decorative paving).~~
- ~~4. Please verify street tree species with City Arborist.~~
- ~~5. For the site plan, please overlay and show the street markings.~~
- ~~6. Please show additional street lighting for the frontage of the property.~~
- ~~7. Please show the proposed locations for the 4 cartridge linear stormfilter and correct the sheet C6.0 for the percentage of LID credit. Currently states 75% but shows 100% credit for non-LID application.~~
- ~~8. The proposed driveway approach for the residential parking intersects the existing catchbasin. Please relocate existing catchbasin or eliminate.~~
- ~~9. Overhead utilities on Rollins Road must be undergrounded as part of this project.~~
- ~~10. No further comments at this time.~~

The following comments do not need to be addressed now, but you should be aware of them as they will need to be addressed at time of building permit submittal.

- ~~11. Comments will be deferred after above comments have been addressed.~~
12. Sewer, storm, water study will be required for the proposed project.
13. Line of sight distance for all driveways must be analyzed.
14. Please provide parking lift manufacture information.
15. Please submit an erosion control plan. This plan shall include, but not limited to, delineation of area of work, show primary and secondary erosion control measures, protection of creek or storm drain inlets, perimeter controls, protections for construction access points, and sediment control measures.
16. For the construction of the basement, please provide information on groundwater levels during wet and dry seasons. A geotech report to back up assumptions for design criteria for foundation and shoring structural calculations is required. Design of backup generator for the groundwater pumps is required. Waterproofing of the basement will be required to allow for the water table to rise as no continuous groundwater pumping will be allowed.

17. The construction of the basement is extension. If groundwater is encountered during the construction of the basement, it must be filtered (by an approved method) before being discharged to the City's storm drain system. If construction occurs during the raining season as defined as from October 15th to April 15th, the excavation area of the basement shall be tarped to prevent rainwater from entering the site. Please state these construction notes on the plans.
18. The back of the driveway/sidewalk approach shall be at least 12" above the flow line of the frontage curb in the street to prevent overflow of stormwater from the street into private property.
19. Please be aware that retrieval of the trash/recycling from Recology must be from the property. Bins are not allowed to be placed in the public right-of-way. Please provide a letter from Recology with their acknowledgement and acceptance to service these bins on private property.
20. A stormwater maintenance agreement shall be recorded with the County for all c3 treatment measures. This agreement must be recorded prior to building permit signoff.
21. A survey by a licensed surveyor or engineer is required. The survey shall show how the property lines were determined and that the property corners were set with surveyors license numbers on durable monuments. This survey shall be attached to the construction plans. All corners need to be maintained or reinstalled before the building final. All property corners shall be maintained during construction or reestablished at the end of the project.

Reviewed By: Martin Quan
650-558-7245

Date: 12/5/18



Project Comments – Planning Application

Project Address: 1095 Rollins Road, zoned C-1, APN: 026-231-250; 026-231-260

Description: Request for General Plan Amendment and Rezoning from commercial to high-density residential; Vesting Tentative and Final Map to merge two parcels; Design Review, Conditional Use Permit for building height, Parking Variance, and Density Bonus for a new six-story, 150- unit multifamily residential dwelling.

From: Bob Disco
Parks Division

Please address the following comments at this time; provide a written response and revised plans with your resubmittal:

No further comments at this time.

The following comments do not need to be addressed now, but you should be aware of them as they will need to be addressed at time of building permit submittal.

Reviewed By: BD
bdisco@burlingame.org

Date: 11.30.18

Irrigation plan will be provided during building permit review



Project Comments – Planning Application

Project Address: 1095 Rollins Road, zoned C-1, APN: 026-231-250; 026-231-260

Description: Request for General Plan Amendment and Rezoning from commercial to high-density residential; Vesting Tentative and Final Map to merge two parcels; Design Review, Conditional Use Permit for building height, Parking Variance, and Density Bonus for a new six-story, 150- unit multifamily residential dwelling.

From: Rocque J. Yballa
Fire

Please address the following comments at this time; provide a written response and revised plans with your resubmittal:

1. The plans still indicate the use of fire barriers as opposed to fire walls on pages A0.42-44. Update submittal to include fire walls as indicated in the Alternate Means of Approval date 12-24-18 and show compliance with §705.5 and §705.6, T24 CBC

The following comments do not need to be addressed now, but you should be aware of them as they will need to be addressed at time of building permit submittal.

1. Provide a fire sprinkler system throughout.
2. Provide a fire alarm system throughout
3. Provide a standpipe system within stairwells and provide outlets at the intermediate landings.
4. Provide an emergency radio repeater system including required 2-hour raceway/shaft.
5. Integrate the Alternate Means of Approval approved 12-24-18 to address fire apparatus access and escape window ladder access into the approved set of building construction drawings for permit.

Reviewed By: Rocque J. Yballa
650-558-7600

Date: 8 Jan 2019



CENTRAL COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT

Serving the Cities of Burlingame and Millbrae and the Town of Hillsborough

Request for Alternate Materials or Methods of Construction

Date Received: 11/15/18

Permit Number _____

In accordance with section §2.02, Title 19 California Code of Regulations, the undersigned requests approval of alternate means of protection for:

Project Name: 1095 Rollins Road

Project Address: 1095 Rollins Road, Burlingame, CA

Subject of alternative (*separate forms must be completed for each different item*): Fire apparatus access road proximity

Code requirement (*specify code edition and section*): California Fire Code- Section 503.1.1 - "The fire apparatus access roads... shall extend to within 150 feet of all portions of the facility and all portions of the exterior walls of the first story of the building as measured by an approved route around the exterior of the building or facility"

Alternate proposed: Building shall have two pressurized stairways extending to the roof with standpipes at intermediate landing level. Building shall have a firewall/horizontal exit from podium to the roof w/ automatically closing fire-rated doors.

Justification (*attach copies of any reference, test reports, expert opinions, etc.*): _____
See attached letter from BDE Architecture, dated 11-16-2018.

Requested by:

Affiliation with Project: _____

Print Name

Signature

Contact Telephone No: _____

Staff Use Only

Staff Findings: _____

Approval Recommended [☐]

Not Recommended [☐]

Fire Marshal: _____



950 HOWARD STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103
PHONE: (415) 677-0966

JONATHAN ENNIS, AIA, ARCHITECT

November 16, 2018

Central County Fire Department
1399 Rollins Road
Burlingame, CA 94010

Re: 1095 Rollins Road, Burlingame
BDE Job No. 1812

Request for Alternate Materials or Methods of Construction - Justification

For this project, the proposed alternate is to provide two fully pressurized stairwell enclosures, with both sets of stairs extending to the roof and with standpipes located on intermediate landings.

This alternative exceeds the minimum in the 2016 California Building Code, which does not require stairs in non-high rise buildings to be pressurized. The exception to Section 1011.12 of the code also allows roof access for this type of project (with no occupied roof) to be by means of a ladder, a ship's ladder or an alternating tread device, so the extension of both stairs to the roof also exceeds the minimum requirements for a building of this type.

These alternatives to the stair design will enhance the ability of fire fighters to respond to a fire emergency, by allowing quick access to the roof from either stair. Pressurization of the stairs, which are already fully sprinklered, will further lengthen the time available for rescue operations.

The stair pressurization fans will be equipped with backup battery power, so the stairwells will remain tenable environments for occupants to egress and for fire fighters to enter the building for a far longer period of time than would normally be found in a similar building.

In addition, although not required for Type III-A Construction, the project will have a Fire Wall extending from the Type I-A concrete podium at Floor 2 all the way to the roof. At each floor a horizontal exit will be provided through this non-combustible 3-hour rated Fire Wall, allowing residents on either side to flee to safety through 3-hour rated self-closing doors on hold-opens. This Fire Wall will further enhance the safety of the occupants by ensuring they will have a significant period of time available to use a pressurized stair for egress.



Project Comments – Planning Application

Project Address: 1095 Rollins Road, zoned C-1, APN: 026-231-250; 026-231-260

Description: Request for General Plan Amendment and Rezoning from commercial to high-density residential; Vesting Tentative and Final Map to merge two parcels; Design Review, Conditional Use Permit for building height, Parking Variance, and Density Bonus for a new six-story, 150- unit multifamily residential dwelling.

From: Rick Caro III
Building Division

Please address the following comments at this time; provide a written response and revised plans with your resubmittal:

Note: It was not mentioned yet, however, at the time of your building permit submittal, be sure to provide an infrastructure to facilitate future installation and use of electric vehicle (EV) Chargers in accordance with the 2016 California Green Building Standards Code (CAL Green) Chapter 4, Division 4.1 2016 CBC §420.9.

The following comments do not need to be addressed now, but you should be aware of them as they will need to be addressed at time of building permit submittal.

- 3) Provide two completed copies of the *Mandatory Measures* with the submittal of your plans for Building Code compliance plan check. In addition, replicate this completed document on the plans. Note: On the Checklist you must provide a reference that indicates the page of the plans on which each Measure can be found. BMC 18.30.040, 18.30.045 & 18.30.050. Note: The mandatory measures documents were cut and pasted on the drawings, however, they were not completed.
- 23) Specify on the plans the location of all required accessible signage. Include references to separate sheets on the plans which provide details and graphically illustrates the accessible signage requirements. Note: The locations were not provided on the drawings showing where the required accessible signage are supposed to be.

Note: Provide two way communication complying with the 2016 CBC §1009.

Reviewed By: Rick Caro III
650 558-7270

Date: January 9, 2019



CITY OF BURLINGAME
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
501 PRIMROSE ROAD
BURLINGAME, CA 94010
PH: (650) 558-7250 • FAX: (650) 696-3790
www.burlingame.org

Site: 1095 ROLLINS ROAD

The City of Burlingame Planning Commission announces the following public hearing on **MONDAY, JANUARY 28, 2019 at 7:00 P.M.** in the City Hall Council Chambers, 501 Primrose Road, Burlingame, CA:

Application for General Plan Amendment, Rezoning, Design Review, Conditional Use Permit for Height, Density Bonus, and Vesting tentative and final map for a new 6-story 150 unit apartment building at **1095 ROLLINS ROAD** zoned C-1. APN 026-231-250 & 026-231-260

Mailed: January 18, 2018

(Please refer to other side)

**PUBLIC HEARING
NOTICE**

City of Burlingame

A copy of the application and plans for this project may be reviewed prior to the meeting at the Community Development Department at 501 Primrose Road, Burlingame, California.

If you challenge the subject application(s) in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing, described in the notice or in written correspondence delivered to the city at or prior to the public hearing.

Property owners who receive this notice are responsible for informing their tenants about this notice.

For additional information, please call (650) 558-7250. Thank you.

Kevin Gardiner, AICP
Community Development Director

PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE

(Please refer to other side)

