

RECEIVED

AUG 28 2017

Authorization Form

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
CITY OF BURLINGTON

Change in Preparer, Submitter, or Signer of Rebuttal Argument

PLEASE ONLY COMPLETE SECTIONS THAT ARE APPLICABLE

Authorization must be provided by the original author(s) of the primary argument(s) in favor of or against the specified measure, when a different person(s) will prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument. EC § 9285

The undersigned author(s) hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) (up to five) to sign, prepare, or submit (whichever is applicable) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of/against Measure ± for the election to be held on **November 7, 2017**.

I. NEW SIGNER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Brian Beswick
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: John Saucedo
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Ross Bruce
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Jennifer Pfaff
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Mary Hunt

II. NEW PREPARER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: _____
Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: _____

III. NEW SUBMITTER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: _____
Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: _____

NAME(S) AND SIGNATURE(S) OF PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S):

Printed Name and Signature of Author

Janet Martin

Printed Name and Signature of Author

Date

8/28/17

Date



RECEIVED

AUG 28 2017

Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
CITY OF BURLINGAME

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than 5pm on August 29, 2016. These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure I for the City of Burlingame to be held on November 7, 2017

☐ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure ☒ Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure I

Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet

If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.

☐ Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet

Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.

Contact Person: <u>Janet Martin</u>	Phone:
Mailing Address:	
Fax:	Email:

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the one box that applies.

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

Legislative Body of the City of Burlingame

Member of the Legislative Body of the City of Burlingame

Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization

Individual

1.	Name: <u>Brian Beswick</u>	Title: <u>Burlingame Businessman</u>	☐	☐	☐	☒
	Phone: [REDACTED]	Email: [REDACTED]				
	Address: [REDACTED]					
	Signature: [REDACTED]	Date: <u>8/27/17</u>				

3.	Name: <u>Ross C. Bruce</u>	Title: <u>Burlingame Business Owner</u>	☐	☐	☐	☒
	Phone: [REDACTED]	Email: [REDACTED]				
	Address: [REDACTED]					
	Signature: [REDACTED]	Date: <u>8-23-17</u>				

4.	Name: <u>Jennifer Easton-Pfaff</u>	Title: <u>Burlingame Community Volunteer</u>	☐	☐	☐	☒
	Phone: [REDACTED]	Email: [REDACTED]				
	Address: [REDACTED]					
	Signature: [REDACTED]	Date: <u>8-23-2017</u>				

5.	Name: <u>MARY L. HUNT</u>	Title: <u>BEAUTIFICATION Comm. (Commissioner)</u>	☐	☐	☐	☒
	Phone: [REDACTED]	Email: [REDACTED]				
	Address: [REDACTED]					
	Signature: [REDACTED]	Date: <u>8-25-2017</u>				

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Signers	☐ Registered	N/A	Signed	Dated
Bona Fide Association	☐ Verified	N/A	Signed	Dated

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the one box that applies.

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

	Name:	Title:	Legislative Body of the City of Burlingame	Member of the Legislative Body of the City of Burlingame	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual
1.	Juan Saucedo	Retired Central County Firefighter Paramedic	☐	☐	☐	☒
	Phone: [REDACTED]					
	Date: 8/28/2017					
2.			☐	☐	☐	☐
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				
3.			☐	☐	☐	☐
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				
4.			☐	☐	☐	☐
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				
5.			☐	☐	☐	☐
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Signers

☐ Registered

N/A

Signed

Dated

Bona Fide Association

☐ Verified

N/A

Signed

Dated

Rebuttal to the Argument Against Measure I

The authors of the argument against Measure I are simply not qualified to address the issues¹⁵ facing our City. They do not live in Burlingame and have opposed virtually every local funding¹⁶ measure in San Mateo County over the last decade.⁷

As business leaders, public safety experts and longtime Burlingame residents, we know that¹³ Measure I is the smart choice for a stronger, safer Burlingame.¹⁰

Measure I is the fiscally responsible solution to fix our roads. Rather than waiting for costs to¹⁶ escalate as our roads deteriorate further, we need Measure I now to maintain our city streets¹⁵ and sidewalks, and repair potholes.⁵

Strict accountability provisions ensure that the state and federal governments can't touch¹² Measure I. Every penny of Measure I must support essential city services like neighborhood¹² police patrols, pothole repair and parks and recreation programs for youth, teens and seniors.¹⁴ Independent citizens' oversight, mandatory financial audits and yearly reports to the¹¹ community will ensure Measure I supports critical Burlingame services, and never city¹¹ administrator salaries.²

Groceries, medicine and other essentials are exempt from Measure I. Additionally, Measure I¹¹ makes certain that Burlingame's visitors pay their fair share for their impact on our roads.¹⁵

Safe neighborhoods, strong schools and beautiful parks are integral to our strong quality of¹⁴¹ life here in Burlingame. Voting Yes on Measure I will enhance neighborhood police patrols,¹³ improve safe routes to school and maintain city streets and parks, keeping Burlingame safe and¹⁵ desirable.¹

Let's not be misled by two out-of-town, out-of-touch voices. Please join us — vote Yes on¹⁹ Measure I.¹

www.BurlingameYesonI.org ¹

RECEIVED

AUG 28 2017

CITY
CITY

OFFICE

249 words