



ANNUAL REPORT

2017-2018

**STORM WATER
MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY,
INC.**

1 Table of Contents

1 Table of Contents	2
Appendices	5
1 General Information	6
1.1 Introduction	6
1.2 Contact Lists	8
1.2.1 Municipality Contacts	8
1.2.2 JCDH Contacts	10
2 Program Evaluation	10
2.1 Objective of Program	10
2.2 Major Findings	10
2.3 Major Accomplishments	11
2.4 Overall Strengths and Weaknesses	12
2.4.1 Strengths	12
2.4.2 Weakness	13
2.5 Future Direction of the Program	13
2.6 SWMPP Overall Effectiveness	13
2.7 Actions Not Accomplished	13
3 Narrative Report	14
3.1 Storm Water Collections System Operations	14
3.1.1 Objective	14
3.1.2 Activities Complete or in Progress	14
3.1.3 General Discussion	14
3.1.4 Status	14
3.1.5 Assessment	15
3.1.6 Proposed Revisions	15
3.1.7 Annual Reporting	15
3.2 Public Education and Public Involvement on Storm Water Impacts	16
3.2.1 Objective	16
3.2.2 Description of Educational Programs	16
3.2.3 General Discussion	18
3.2.4 Status	18
3.2.5 Assessment	18
3.2.6 Proposed Revisions	18
3.2.7 Annual Reporting	18
3.3 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE)	19

3.3.1	Objective	19
3.3.2	Activities Complete or in Progress	19
3.3.3	General Discussion	20
3.3.4	Status	20
3.3.5	Assessment	20
3.3.6	Proposed Revisions	20
3.3.7	Annual Reporting	21
3.4	Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control	22
3.4.1	Objective	22
3.4.2	Activities Complete or in Progress	22
3.4.3	General Discussion	23
3.4.4	Status	24
3.4.5	Assessment	24
3.4.6	Proposed Revisions	24
3.4.7	Annual Reporting	24
3.5	Post Construction Storm Water Management in New Development and Re-Development	26
3.5.1	Objective	26
3.5.2	Activities Complete or in Progress	26
3.5.3	General Discussion	27
3.5.4	Status	27
3.5.5	Assessment	27
3.5.6	Proposed Revisions	27
3.5.7	Annual Reporting	28
3.6	Spill Prevention and Response	29
3.6.1	Objective	29
3.6.2	Activities Complete or in Progress	29
3.6.3	General Discussion	29
3.6.4	Status	29
3.6.5	Assessment	29
3.6.6	Proposed Revisions	29
3.6.7	Annual Reporting	30
3.7	Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations	31
3.7.1	Objective	31
3.7.2	Activities Complete or in Progress	31
3.7.3	General Discussion	31

3.7.4	Status	32
3.7.5	Assessment	32
3.7.6	Proposed Revisions	32
3.7.7	Annual Reporting	32
3.8	Application of Pesticides, Herbicides and Fertilizers (PHFs)	33
3.8.1	Objective	33
3.8.2	Activities Complete or in Progress	33
3.8.3	General Discussion	33
3.8.4	Status	33
3.8.5	Assessment	33
3.8.6	Proposed Revisions	33
3.8.7	Annual Reporting	34
3.9	Oils, Toxics, and Household Hazardous Waste Control	34
3.9.1	Objective	34
3.9.2	Activities Complete or in Progress	34
3.9.3	General Discussion	34
3.9.4	Status	34
3.9.5	Assessment	34
3.9.6	Proposed Revisions	34
3.9.7	Annual Reporting	35
3.10	Industrial Storm Water Runoff.....	36
3.10.1	Objective	36
3.10.2	Activities Complete or in Progress	36
3.10.3	General Discussion	36
3.10.4	Status	36
3.10.5	Assessment	36
3.10.6	Proposed Revisions	36
3.10.7	Annual Reporting	37
4	Monitoring.....	38
4.1	Objective.....	38
4.2	Monitoring Program	38
4.2.1	Continuous Monitoring.....	38
4.2.2	Continuous Monitoring Data	41
4.2.2.1	Shades Creek Data	41
4.2.2.2	Cahaba River Data	44

4.2.2.3	Valley Creek Data.....	47
4.2.3	Wet Weather Sampling.....	50
4.2.4	Wet Sampling Data	53
4.3	General Discussion	62
4.4	Status	63
4.5	Assessment	63
4.6	Proposed Revisions	63
4.7	Annual Reporting	63
5	Fiscal Analysis.....	63

Appendices

Appendix A: Contracts and Bylaws

Appendix B: SWMPP

Appendix C: Public Education and Public Involvement

Appendix D: Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination

Appendix E: Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control

Appendix F: Post-Construction Storm Water Management

Appendix G: Oils Toxics and Household Hazardous Waste Control

Appendix H: Industrial Storm Water Runoff Control

Appendix I: Monitoring Data

Appendix J: Fiscal Analysis

1 General Information

1.1 Introduction

The passage of **Legislative Act 95-775** in 1997 enabled the mayors of municipalities within Jefferson County to establish Storm Water Management Authority, Inc. (SWMA). The requirements of the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit # ALS000001, issued by Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM), and the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 122 (40 CFR 122), charged that SWMA, in coordination with all co-permittees of the permit, would work to meet the obligations of the approved Storm Water Management Program (SWMP). The permit was renewed in 2001.

Over the 2016-2017 fiscal year, ADEM ended the co-permittee arrangement and issued 15 individual Phase 1 permits to member cities of SWMA. Listed below are the cities, their new NPDES permit number, and the date of their issuance:

Permit Number	Permittee	Permit Issuance Date
ALS000015	Trussville	January 1, 2017
ALS000016	Homewood	July 1, 2017
ALS000019	Irondale	July 1, 2017
ALS000018	Mountain Brook	July 1, 2017
ALS000020	Tarrant	July 1, 2017
ALS000017	Vestavia Hills	July 1, 2017
ALS000026	Gardendale	August 1, 2017
ALS000028	Hueytown	August 1, 2017
ALS000030	Midfield	August 1, 2017
ALS000031	Pleasant Grove	August 1, 2017
ALS000021	Adamsville	September 1, 2017
ALS000023	Brighton	September 1, 2017
ALS000024	Brookside	September 1, 2017
ALS000025	Fairfield	September 1, 2017
ALS000029	Lipscomb	October 1, 2017

While the municipalities of Center Point, Clay and Pinson are members of SWMA, they do not have a permit at this time. All elements of the MS4 permit were addressed for these cities, however, the information was not included in this report. Documentation is available upon request.

SWMA continues to function on behalf of all the permittees listed above to meet the compliance requirements of each NPDES permit. SWMA has subcontracted with the Jefferson County Department of Health (JCDH) to perform certain responsibilities. SWMA members, the Board of Directors, and mayors are aware that the ultimate responsibility toward permit

compliance lies with the municipality. SWMA's contract with JCDH and SWMA Bylaws are found in **Appendix A**.

Therefore, the primary objective of SWMA, JCDH, and all permittees (hereinafter referred to as "**Program**") is to implement the SWMP in such a manner as to effectively prohibit the discharge of non-storm water into the MS4 and to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4 to Maximum Extent Practicable (MEP).

The 2017-2018 annual report covers the reporting period from October 1st, 2017 through September 30th, 2018. The five year permit cycle for the members of SWMA will expire in 2022.

Submission of this report to ADEM is pursuant to Part IV of the permit.

1.2 Contact Lists

1.2.1 Municipality Contacts

Municipality	Name	Title	Work #	E-mail Address	Address
Adamsville	Pam Palmer	Mayor	674-5671	pyropam@charter.net	4828 Main St., Adamsville, AL 35005
Adamsville	Ron Mosley	Public Works Dir.	674-8177	rmosley@cityofadamsvi lle.org	4828 Main St., Adamsville, AL 35005
Brighton	Eddie Cooper	Mayor	428-9547	cityofbrighton@att.net	3700 Main St., Brighton AL 35020
Brighton	Hazel Williams	City Clerk	428-9547	cityofbrighton@att.net	3700 Main St., Brighton AL 35020
Brookside	Roger McCondichie	Mayor	674-9275	rogermccondichie@tow nofbrookside.net	2711 Municipal Ln, Brookside, AL 35036
Brookside	Debbie Keedy	City Clerk	674-9275	dkeedy@townofbrooksi de.net	2711 Municipal Ln, Brookside, AL 35036
Fairfield	Edward May II	Mayor	788-2492	mayoredmayesq@gmail. com	4701 Gary Ave., Fairfield, AL 35064
Fairfield	Kennedy Lee	Public Works	783-6008	klee@fairfieldal.us	4701 Gary Ave., Fairfield, AL 35064
Gardendale	Stan Hogeland	Mayor	631-8789	stan@cityofgardendale.c om	960 Main St., Gardendale, AL 35071
Gardendale	Robert Ryant	Public Works Dir.	369-9923	rryant@cityofgardendal e.com	960 Main St., Gardendale, AL 35071
Graysville	Clark-Julio Davis	Mayor	674-5643		246 S Main St., Graysville, AL 35073
Graysville	Frank Barnes	Public Works Sup.	674-5643	fbarnes9251@bellsouth. net	246 S Main St., Graysville, AL 35073
Homewood	Scott McBrayer	Mayor	332-6107	Scott.McBrayer@Dignity Memorial.com	187 Citation Ct., Homewood, AL 35209
Homewood	J.J. Bischoff	Chief of Staff	332-6112		187 Citation Ct., Homewood, AL 35209
Hueytown	Steve Ware	Mayor	491-7010	mayorsteveware@hueyt ownal.org	1318 Hueytown Rd., Hueytown, AL 35023
Hueytown	Mike Butts	Public Works Sup.	491-9113	publicworks@hueytown al.org	1318 Hueytown Rd., Hueytown, AL 35023
Irondale	Charles Moore	Mayor	956-9200	mayor@cityofirondaleal .gov	101 20th St. S, Irondale, AL 35210

Municipality	Name	Title	Work #	E-mail Address	Address
Irondale	Frank Pennington	Public Works Director	951-1420	fpennington@cityofirondaleal.gov	101 20th St. S, Irondale, AL 35210
Lipscomb	Brenda Renz	Mayor	428-6374	bghrenz@att.net	5512 Avenue H, Lipscomb, AL 35020
Lipscomb	Jacquelyn Robertson	City Council	428-6374	jacquelynrobertson22@yahoo.com	5512 Avenue H, Lipscomb, AL 35020
Midfield	Garry Richardson	Mayor	923-7578	grichardson@cityofmidfield.com	725 Bessemer Super Hwy Midfield, AL 35228
Midfield	Jeff Zissette	Public Works Director	923-2071	zissettej@gmail.com	725 Bessemer Super Hwy Midfield, AL 35228
Mountain Brook	Stewart H. Welch, III	Mayor	802-3825	Stewart@welchgroup.com	56 Church St., Mountain Brook, AL 35213
Mountain Brook	Sam Gaston	City Manager	802-3879	gastons@mtnbrook.org	56 Church St., Mountain Brook, AL 35213
Pleasant Grove	Jerry Brasseale	Mayor	744-1724	pgmayor@cityofpg.net	501 Park Rd., Pleasant Grove, AL 35127
Pleasant Grove	Tom (Doug) Hyche	Inspector	744-1726	dhyche.inspections@yahoo.com	501 Park Rd., Pleasant Grove, AL 35127
Tarrant	Loxcil Tuck	Mayor	849-2800	ltuck@cityoftarrant.com	1604 Pinson Valley Pkwy., Birmingham, AL 35217
Tarrant	Chris O'Rear	Parks and Recreation Supervisor	849-2800	corear@cityoftarrant.com	1604 Pinson Valley Pkwy., Birmingham, AL 35217
Trussville	Buddy Choat	Mayor	655-7478	bchoat@trussville.org	131 Main St., Trussville, AL 35173
Trussville	David Arnette	Building Inspections Superintendent	655-5483	darnett@trussville.org	131 Main St., Trussville, AL 35173
Vestavia Hills	Ashley C. Curry	Mayor	978-3675	acurry@vhal.org	513 Montgomery Hwy., Vestavia Hills, AL 35216
Vestavia Hills	Jeff Downes	City Manager	978-0195	jdownes@vhal.org	513 Montgomery Hwy., Vestavia Hills, AL 35216

1.2.2 JCDH Contacts

Name	Title	Work #	E-mail Address	Address
Jeff Swinney	Program Manager	930-1279	Jeff.Swinney@jcdh.org	1400 Sixth Ave S Birmingham, AL 35233
Scott Hofer	Public Health Engineer	930-1274	Scott.Hofer@jcdh.org	1400 Sixth Ave S Birmingham, AL 35233
Jonika Smith	Environmental Health Specialist	558-2103	Jonika.Smith@jcdh.org	1400 Sixth Ave S Birmingham, AL 35233

2 Program Evaluation

2.1 *Objective of Program*

The Purpose of the SWMA program is to comply with the requirements of the NPDES permits to prohibit the discharge of non-storm water into the municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4) to the maximum extent practical.

2.2 *Major Findings*

The Fish Bioassessment Program has been a valuable longitudinal study in determining the water quality of the watersheds within SWMA municipalities. The program has been in existence since the fall of 2010. Each major stream has two sites; one located high in the watershed and one located lower in the watershed. The procedures used for capturing fish are electrofishing and seining. After processing, all of the fish were released back into the stream. Some of the criteria used to determine the health of a stream include the number of fish species present, the species percentage of the total number present and a physical habitat assessment. The major finding of this study is that no significant trends in water quality have been identified. Therefore, the health of the streams appears to be stable with no signs of improvement or degradation over the length of the study.

2.3 *Major Accomplishments*

- SWMA finalized three pro forma ordinances for the member cities; illicit discharge, post-construction, and erosion and sedimentation control.
- United States Geological Survey (USGS) completed installation of five continuous monitoring sites as required by the new permits.
- JCDH and SWMA assisted the NPDES permitted members with composing their Storm Water Management Program Plan (SWMPP). A SWMPP template can be found in **Appendix B**.
- JCDH completed the first inspections of sites described in the Industrial Storm Water Runoff element of the NPDES permit. Over 450 inspections were performed within SWMA member cities.

2.4 *Overall Strengths and Weaknesses*

2.4.1 **Strengths**

Industrial Storm Water Runoff – JCDH developed a protocol for use with a smart phone to complete inspections of industrial and high-risk runoff sites. The inspections increased awareness of storm water as well as confirmed proper BMPs are in place to stop illicit discharges.

Water Quality Monitoring – Installation of five USGS continuous monitoring sites will provide real time data on temperature, pH, turbidity, specific conductance, dissolved oxygen, and water level in real time. The data is subject to a rigorous review and approval process by USGS. In addition, this information is available for public viewing.

Complaints and Investigations - The complaint system used by JCDH allows inspectors to efficiently investigate and resolve violations. The system also provides useful documentation of the number and types of complaints received.

Member Reporting - The online program called Storm water Online Activity Report (SOAR) allows standardized reporting of storm water related activities from member cities. City personnel submit activity information remotely that is stored in a centralized database. Standardization of the data allows for better reporting and statistical information.

GIS Mapping of Storm Drains – JCDH and SWMA have been mapping the storm drain systems of member cities. Mapping provides a structural inventory allowing for better maintenance and fiscal planning. This data will also allow for future modeling of the watersheds. At this time, the database includes over 28,000 structures, 88 miles of pipe, and 156 miles of open channel.

Dry Weather Screening – The illicit discharge program utilizes efficient and precise technology to map and record findings about each outfall. JCDH uses a smart phone to complete an electronic questionnaire and record a photo along with the physical description, and pertinent water quality data. The data is stored in the electronic cloud allowing for quick access on any device.

2.4.2 Weakness

Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) Manual- The current SOP manual was completed in 2010. Updates are needed to include new SWMPP protocols and revisions to existing protocols.

2.5 *Future Direction of the Program*

SWMA will continue to provide services to help member cities meet MS4 permit requirements. Accomplishing compliance with permit requirements among all members is the ultimate goal for the Program.

2.6 *SWMPP Overall Effectiveness*

The SWMPP has served as a guide for the Members detailing actions required and personnel responsible for completing these actions to accomplish compliance with the permit. Overall effectiveness of the SWMPP has not been determined as the Members have not had a full reporting period to implement each element. A more complete analysis should be accomplished in 2018-2019 after a full year of activity.

2.7 *Actions Not Accomplished*

The City of Fairfield did not complete a SWMPP during the 2017-2018 reporting period.

3 Narrative Report

3.1 *Storm Water Collections System Operations*

3.1.1 Objective

The objective of the Storm Water Collections System Operation Program is to inspect and maintain structural controls in order to minimize the contamination of the local waterways by storm water runoff.

3.1.2 Activities Complete or in Progress

Activity 1: Permittees shall maintain a map of the structural controls. This activity has been completed for the all member cities.

Activity 2: Inspect existing and newly constructed structural controls on a semi-annual basis as well as maintain the structural control and remove any litter or sedimentation so that the structural control functions as designed. This activity has been completed for the all member cities.

Activity 3: Develop a checklist for inspection and maintenance of structural controls. This activity has been completed.

Activity 4: Maintain an inventory of structural controls as well as the inspection and maintenance records for each structural control. This activity has been completed. Currently, none of the members have reported a structural owned or maintained by the municipality.

3.1.3 General Discussion

The member cities have completed an inventory of publically owned or maintained structural controls within their jurisdictions and none were reported. Should the need arise, the SOAR Program allows cities to store inspections and maintenance documentation online in an organized fashion. A checklist for inspections and maintenance of structural controls was developed and added to permitted SWMA members' SWMPP for use if publically-owned structural controls are constructed.

3.1.4 Status

The members are in compliance at this time. Mechanisms are in place for inspections and maintenance. A program is in place to allow documentation of structural controls when needed.

3.1.5 Assessment

Activities for this element will be assessed once a publically-owned structural control is constructed.

3.1.6 Proposed Revisions

There are no proposed revisions at this time.

3.1.7 Annual Reporting

Annual Report Requirements for Storm Water Collection Operations						
	# of Structural Controls	# of Inspections Performed	Summarization of Maintenance Activities	Amount of Floatables, Etc. removed	Is Maintenance Contracted Out?	New Permittee-owned Structural Controls
Adamsville	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
Brighton	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
Brookside	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
Fairfield	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
Gardendale	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
Homewood	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
Hueytown	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
Irondale	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
Lipscomb	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
Midfield	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
Mountain Brook	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
Pleasant Grove	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
Tarrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
Trussville	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
Vestavia Hills	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0

3.2 Public Education and Public Involvement on Storm Water Impacts

3.2.1 Objective

The Public Education and Public Involvement Program was designed to inform the community about the impacts from storm water discharges on water bodies and how different segments of the community can reduce possible storm water pollutants.

3.2.2 Description of Educational Programs

Informational Handouts

The Program employs a campaign to educate citizens on the importance of proper storm water pollution prevention through the use of brochures, calendars and pamphlets. The use of these materials is an effective way to reach people that visit JCDH, SWMA, or the various member cities. Brochure holders have been dispensed to allow members the capability to distribute all materials published by SWMA and JCDH. This will allow for the distribution of brochures covering a wide variety of topics. Approximately 1100 brochures were distributed this reporting period.

SWMA and JCDH have developed eight brochures since 2010 covering the following issues: Storm Water Fees, Household Hazardous Waste, Pet Waste Disposal, Fertilizers, Pesticides, Watershed Protection, and Erosion and Sedimentation Control. These can be found in **Appendix C**.

JCDH created a storm water calendar for calendar year 2017. The calendar depicts photos of local creeks and wildlife. Additionally, information is included on various storm water topics along with links to informational sites, recycling services and community events. A copy of the calendar can be found in **Appendix C**.

Outreach Materials

Education and outreach materials have been developed in an effort to reach primary and secondary school students. Topics presented include: watershed protection, safety tips to reduce and/or eliminate litter, excess storm water runoff and household chemicals' entry into storm drains within SWMA member cities. The formats that have been distributed include stickers, bookmarks, magnets, branded carabiners, coloring books/activity sheets, t-shirts, and backpacks. Samples of some of these items can be found in **Appendix C**.

Signage

During the reporting period of 2016-2017 JCDH distributed approximately 800 storm drain markers. During the 2017-2018 reporting period, JCDH designed new road signs denoting the local watershed with an anti-litter message. The signs will bring attention to the local waterways as well as convey an educational message to citizens. See **Appendix C** for pictures of this signage.

Website

For cities that have websites, SWMA and JCDH developed a template webpage containing information on many aspects of storm water. The template webpage informs citizens of their cities' program with information links, educational downloads, hotline numbers, and other pertinent storm water documents as required by the permit.

Reporting Hotline

For citizens who do not have the time or transportation to meet with SWMA or JCDH employees during regular business hours, the JCDH hotline number, 205-930-1999, provides a means to register complaints, express their concerns and to get information on watershed management in their local area.

Watershed Meetings

JCDH and SWMA are involved in multiple cooperative meetings regarding environmental hazards within Jefferson County, and collaborative efforts to improve storm water awareness. These meetings include committees such as the Environmental Quality and Assessment, Valley Creek Cleanup, Litter Quitters, SWMA Board of Directors, and Martin Luther King Day of Service Cleanup Committee.

Public Presentations

JCDH personnel spoke at multiple events throughout the reporting period. Each presentation was customized toward the host's agenda but the objective was to raise awareness of the impacts of storm water. Some of the events attended include UAB's Honors Program Forum on Urbanization and the Turkey Creek Nature Preserve Field Day.

Public Outreach Events

Throughout the reporting period, numerous events provided JCDH and SWMA opportunities to present educational information to a variety of people of diverse economic and cultural backgrounds. Informational brochures and outreach materials were distributed at these events. Some of the events attended include Fiesta Birmingham, Clean as a Whistle, Cahaba River Fry-Down and Valley Creek Clean-Up. Additionally, brochures, T-shirts, and backpacks were issued to the Cities for their individual outreach events.

School Presentations

JCDH conducts a variety presentation to students of all grade levels. Some of the topics covered this year were hydrologic water cycle, watersheds, and nonpoint source pollution. JCDH also supported and participated in the Jefferson County Water Festival.

Jefferson County Erosion and Sedimentation Control Workshop

On April 26th, 2018, an erosion and sedimentation control workshop was offered in a cooperative effort with the Home Builders Association of Alabama, JCDH, the City of Birmingham, the City of Bessemer, SWMA members, and Unincorporated Jefferson County. Information on construction best management practices (BMP) was presented to contractors,

homebuilders, developers, engineers, and municipal staff. The workshop was held at Birmingham's Zoo Lodge and had 34 participants.

Cleanup Events

Twenty-eight cleanup events were held throughout the member cities during the reporting period. The events resulted in the removal of a combined total of approximately 146.9 tons and 658 bags of trash and debris from the watersheds.

3.2.3 General Discussion

The Public Education and Public Involvement on Storm Water Impacts program is a combined effort by JCDH and the SWMA members. JCDH offers educational materials, educational presentations, training materials, personnel, and limited cleanup supplies. The member cities organize the cleanups and community events that provide a venue to educate citizens as well as cleanup the waterways. While the new permit requirements are similar to previous permits, the new permit requires the program to reach out to additional segments of the community.

The cities all have printed material for their storm water program. Cities that have utilized the website template have added more specific educational material in regards to different types of businesses and proper construction practices.

For cities that do not have a website, JCDH composed a calendar that includes educational information and links to information covered by the website template.

3.2.4 Status

The cities are in compliance with the general public component of this element.

3.2.5 Assessment

The educational program for the member cities is engaging and robust. For the most part, the information has reached the intended audience and appears to be having a positive impact. The cleanup events have been well received by the communities as reflected by the number of volunteers. A common goal is for future events to have a reduction in the trash and debris removed from the watersheds. Cities have been encouraged to include a visitor counter for their website.

3.2.6 Proposed Revisions

There are no proposed revisions at this time.

3.2.7 Annual Reporting

Prior to adoption of the SWMPP, the members offered a public comment period to encourage input and participation from the citizens.

A detailed list of the public education events and participants can be found in **Appendix C**.

Communication mechanisms distributed include 500 stickers, 300 bookmarks, 300 magnets, 200 branded carabiners, 1000 coloring books/activity sheets, 500 t-shirts, and 500 backpacks.

3.3 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE)

3.3.1 Objective

The objective of the Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination Program is to eliminate illicit discharges into the MS4 to the maximum extent practicable.

3.3.2 Activities Complete or in Progress

Activity 1: Develop and annually update an MS4 map that includes the latitude/longitude of all known major outfalls as well as the names of the waters of the state contiguous to the MS4. This activity was completed for available data.

Activity 2: Enact an ordinance that prohibits non-storm water discharges to the MS4. This activity is in progress.

Activity 3: Have a dry weather screening program designed to detect and address non-storm water discharges into the MS4. A minimum of 20% of the major outfalls must be screened each year. This activity was completed for all cities.

Activity 4: Procedures for tracing the source of a suspect illicit discharge. This activity was completed. Procedures can be found the 2011 SOP manual adopted by SWMA cities.

Activity 5: Procedures for eliminating an illicit discharge. This activity has been completed. Procedures can be found in the 2011 SOP manual adopted by SWMA cities.

Activity 6: Procedures to notify ADEM of suspect illicit discharge discovered within the Permittee's MS4 from an adjacent MS4. This activity was completed for all cities except Fairfield.

Activity 7: A mechanism for the public to report illicit discharges and procedures for appropriate investigation. This activity is in progress.

Activity 8: A training program for appropriate personnel on identification, reporting and corrective action of illicit discharges. SWMA and JCDH has an annual training program. This activity is complete.

Activity 9: Post ordinances or other regulatory mechanisms on their website. This activity is complete for the cities that have websites. The cities without websites have ordinances available at city hall.

3.3.3 General Discussion

SWMA has mapped the outfalls on the major waterbodies referenced in ALR00001 numerous times since the first permit cycle. The data is stored in a Geographic Information System (GIS) format. SWMA also documented their procedures for mapping, tracing sources and eliminating in the 2011 SOP Manual.

The new permit requires the Permittees to map the outfalls that lead to the waters of the state. SWMA and JCDH is using the National Hydrography Dataset (NHD) to identify the waters of the state. With many new unmapped waterways and unknown outfalls, JCDH is inspecting 20% of the total waters of the state within each Permittee's municipal boundary annually. JCDH completed 20% in all permitted cities.

During the 2016-2017 reporting period a buffer of 500 feet was added to all cities to deal with streams on borders. This approach was found to complicate the borders for adjacent cities. JCDH recalculated the stream miles within SWMA cities during the 2017-2018 reporting period without a buffer.

SWMA and JCDH completed a dedicated pro forma illicit discharge ordinance to meet the permit requirements. The members of SWMA prohibit illicit discharges from construction sites through their erosion and sedimentation ordinances enacted in 1999.

SWMA and JCDH developed a SOP for ADEM notification and included it in the SWMPPs for the cities.

Cities with websites were encouraged to publish the material developed by JCDH on their website in regards to illicit discharge. The information included a local phone number to report illicit discharge complaints and information about illicit discharges. SWMA members can refer illicit discharge complaints to JCDH for further investigation. JCDH also advertises (205) 930-1999 in all SWMA literature as a number to report illicit discharges.

JCDH offered a half-day training program to SWMA members to educate municipal workers on different aspects of storm water including illicit discharges. This class was held on the 17th and 24th of October, 2017.

3.3.4 Status

SWMA members are in compliance with the mapping and screening outfalls requirement. The cities with websites have published information about illicit discharges on their website.

3.3.5 Assessment

The program is effectively reducing discharges through complaints system as well through screening.

3.3.6 Proposed Revisions

JCDH has no proposed revisions at this time.

3.3.7 Annual Reporting

Annual Report Requirements for Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination				
	Total Number of Stream Mileage in City	Stream Mileage to be Walked Each Year (20%)	Stream Mileage Walked during 2017-2018 (percentage walked)	Number of Illicit Discharges Investigated
Adamsville	44.06	8.81	8.81 (20%)	13
Brighton	4.47	0.89	0.95 (21%)	4
Brookside	18.72	3.74	3.82 (20%)	2
Fairfield	1.58	0.32	0.4 (25%)	12
Gardendale	28.28	5.74	5.79 (20%)	27
Homewood	12.27	2.45	2.74 (22%)	29
Hueytown	30.24	6.05	6.3 (21%)	33
Irondale	30.61	6.12	6.4 (21%)	14
Lipscomb	3.14	0.63	0.76 (24%)	0
Midfield	3.62	0.72	0.82 (23%)	20
Mountain Brook	21.15	4.23	4.25 (20%)	42
Pleasant Grove	15.17	3.03	2.98 (20%)	17
Tarrant	6.65	1.33	1.26 (19%)	3
Trussville	51.32	10.26	10.58 (21%)	19
Vestavia Hills	35.28	7.06	7.2 (20%)	51

The SWMA-approved pro forma IDDE ordinance can be found in **Appendix D**. All investigations are documented in JCDH's complaint system which includes any sampling results and corrective actions taken, including dates. These complaint reports are available from JCDH upon request. Maps of the stream sections walked during 2017 - 2018 and proposed stream sections for 2018-2019 can be found in **Appendix D**.

3.4 *Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control*

3.4.1 Objective

The objective of the Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Program is to reduce, to the maximum extent practicable, storm water runoff into the MS4 from qualifying construction sites.

3.4.2 Activities Complete or in Progress

Activity 1: Procedures to require all applicable construction sites to obtain the applicable NPDES permits. This activity is completed for cities that submitted a SWMPP.

Activity 2: Having an ordinance that requires effective erosion and sedimentation control. This activity has been completed per the 2001 ordinance adopted by SWMA members.

Activity 3: Requiring construction site operators to control waste at a construction site that may cause adverse impacts to water quality. This activity has been completed.

Activity 4: Enacting procedures for site plan review to ensure effective erosion and sedimentation controls. This is in progress. Many of the municipalities have such procedures in place but they are not formalized.

Activity 5: A mechanism for the public to report construction site pollution. All municipalities receive complaints through their city hall. The complaints may be worked by the municipality or referred to JCDH for further investigation. The municipalities that have websites have published the city's designated party for taking construction complaints.

Activity 6: Inspect sites in accordance with frequency specified in the permit. This activity is in progress. The cities are aware of the frequency for inspecting sites.

Activity 7: Training for the construction site inspection staff in the identification of appropriate construction best management practices. The cities with active construction programs have trained personnel regarding proper construction practices.

Activity 8: Development of a construction site inspection checklist. This activity has been completed.

Activity 9: Implementation of an enforcement response plan. This activity was completed.

Activity 10: The possession of a program that lists educational and training materials as well resources for construction site operators. This activity is in progress. The members with websites are in the process of posting a list of training materials on their Storm Water page.

Activity: 11 Posting ordinances or other regulatory mechanisms on their website. This activity is completed for cities with websites. Member cities that have websites have their ordinances available to the public online. The cities without websites have their ordinances available at city hall.

3.4.3 General Discussion

The cities that have completed their SWMPP have finalized a formal procedure requiring construction sites to obtain applicable NPDES permits.

The member cities are aware of the prescribed frequency for inspections. Since the inspectors for the cities inspect multiple aspects of the construction sites, sites are inspected several times. The cities are evaluating their program in terms of how to effectively document their inspections.

All SWMA members adopted an Erosion and Sedimentation Ordinance in 1999. The ordinance requires effective erosion control including requiring construction site operators to control waste at the construction site. Currently the cities are updating the ordinance to reflect the individual permit status and to improve the enforcement response plan. Cities with active construction sites use a combination of verbal warning, and a “Stop Work Order”.

The cities with active construction have a site plan review process.

Cities that have adopted the website template have information on their own website dedicated to storm water including information about proper construction practices.

JCDH and the member cities receive complaints about construction practices. Complaints at JCDH are entered into a compliant program that documents all aspects of the complaints. The cities receive complaints through advertised numbers as well as general city numbers. SWMA is currently developing a way for the cities to formally document their complaints.

Most cities with active construction programs have an employee trained as a Qualified Credentialed Inspector (QCI). Municipal personnel are also invited to attend Jefferson County’s ESC Workshop which is an abbreviated version of the QCI training program. Additionally, several cities either contract with a Qualified Certified Professional (QCP) or have a QCP on staff.

3.4.4 Status

Cities with active construction sites are in compliance in terms of ordinances and inspecting construction sites. The cities are reviewing how to better document the inspections. Education materials are available to construction site operators in the form of pamphlets. Additional materials will be available with the completion of their websites. Members expect to achieve compliance of the documentation component of this element during the 2018-2019 reporting period.

3.4.5 Assessment

The control is effective but can be improved in terms of inspection documentation and offering additional educational materials on their website.

3.4.6 Proposed Revisions

There are no proposed revisions at this time.

3.4.7 Annual Reporting

Ordinance Hyperlinks for Member Cities	
Adamsville	No existing website at this time. Can request ordinance through city hall
Brighton	No existing website at this time. Can request ordinance through city hall
Brookside	Ordinances are not posted on website at this time. Can request ordinance through city hall
Fairfield	No existing website at this time. Can request ordinance through city hall
Gardendale	https://library.municode.com/al/gardendale/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodeId=PTIILAUSDE_CH103ERSECO
Homewood	https://library.municode.com/al/homewood/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodeId=COOR_CH5BUCOREAC_ARTIVSOERSECO
Hueytown	https://library.municode.com/al/hueytown/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodeId=COOR_CH34EN_ARTIIIISTMAERSECO
Irondale	http://cityofirondaleal.gov/
Lipscomb	No existing website at this time. Can request ordinance through city hall
Midfield	http://www.cityofmidfield.com/?page_id=53
Mountain Brook	http://www.mtnbrook.org/Default.asp?ID=261&pg=City+Ordinances+%28Codified%29&hilite=ordinances
Pleasant Grove	https://library.municode.com/al/pleasant_grove/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodeId=PTIICO_CH39EN_ARTIIERSECO
Tarrant	http://www.cityoftarrant.com
Trussville	http://trussville.org/government/ordinances/
Vestavia Hills	http://vhal.org/departments/city-clerk/ordinances/

Construction Sites Summary					
City	# of Construction Sites	# of Construction Site Inspections	# of Formal Enforcement Actions Description of Violations	# of Construction Site Complaints Received*	# of Trained Staff (QCI/QCP/ESC)
Adamsville	1	5	0	0	1 (Contracted QCP)
Brighton	0	0	0	0	0
Brookside	0	0	0	0	0
Fairfield	0	0	0	0	0
Gardendale	72	328	None Reported	0	1 (QCI) 1 (Contracted QCP)
Homewood	34	68	None Reported	0	1 (QCI) 1 (Contracted QCP) 1 (ESC)
Hueytown	5	15	None Reported	0	1 (QCI) 1 (Contracted QCP)
Irondale	54	162	None Reported	0	2 (QCI) 1 (Contracted QCP)
Lipscomb	0	0	0	0	0
Midfield	0	0	0	0	1 (Contracted QCP)
Mountain Brook	36	116	None Reported	0	2 (QCI) 1 (Contracted QCP)
Pleasant Grove	12	24	None Reported	0	1 (QCI) 1 (Contracted QCP)
Tarrant	1	3	None Reported	0	1 (QCI) 1 (Contracted QCP) 1 (ESC)
Trussville	198	600	None Reported	0	1 (QCI) 1 (QCP)
Vestavia Hills	80	240	None Reported	0	1 (QCI) 2 (QCP)

A list of the construction sites is found in the **Appendix E**.

* These numbers reflect the complaints that were referred to JCDH.

3.5 *Post Construction Storm Water Management in New Development and Re-Development*

3.5.1 Objective

The objective of the Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Program is to reduce, to the maximum extent practicable the pollutants in any storm water runoff to the MS4 from qualifying construction sites.

3.5.2 Activities Complete or in Progress

Activity 1: Require landowners and developers to implement systems to reduce the discharge of pollutants. This activity is still in progress.

Activity 2: Require landowners and developers to mimic pre-construction hydrology runoff in post-construction using permit guidelines. This activity is still in progress.

Activity 3: Encourage landowners and developers to incorporate Low Impact Development. This activity is still in progress.

Activity 4: Adopt or amend an ordinance to ensure applicability and enforceability of post-construction BMPS. This activity is still in progress.

Activity 5: Require the submittal of a post-construction BMP plan. This activity is still in progress.

Activity 6: Require an “as built” certification within 120 days of completion. This activity is still in progress.

Activity 7: Perform and/or require the performance of, at a minimum, an annual post-construction inspection and maintenance of BMPs on new construction sites. This activity is still in progress.

Activity 8: Require the developer/owner/operator to keep records of the inspection and maintenance activities. This activity is still in progress.

Activity 9: Require and/or perform adequate long-term operation and maintenance of post-construction BMPs through legal means. This activity is still in progress.

3.5.3 General Discussion

SWMA members approved a pro-forma post-construction ordinance that met permit requirements listed below:

- **Require landowners and developers to implement systems to reduce the discharge of pollutants.**
- **Require landowners and developers to mimic pre-construction hydrology runoff in post-construction using permit guidelines.**
- **Encourage landowners and developers incorporate Low Impact Development.**
- **Require the submittal of a post-construction BMP plan.**
- **Require an “as built” certification within 120 days of completion.**
- **Perform and/or require the performance of, at a minimum, an annual post-construction inspection and maintenance of BMPs on new construction sites.**
- **Require the developer/owner/operator to keep records of the inspection and maintenance activities.**
- **Require and/or perform adequate long-term operation and maintenance of post-construction BMPs through legal means.**

SWMA has a section in SOAR to identify all new BMPs and save inspection and maintenance sheets for each BMP.

3.5.4 Status

While many cities have passed the post-construction ordinance, SWMA expects all members to pass the ordinance by April of 2019.

3.5.5 Assessment

This program will not be fully effective until the ordinance is in place in all member cities.

3.5.6 Proposed Revisions

There are no proposed revisions at this time.

3.5.7 Annual Reporting

. Post-Construction Controls Summary				
City	Post-Construction Controls Installed and Inspected	Post-Construction Controls Owned by the Cities	# of Inspections Performed	Enforcement Actions
Adamsville	0	0	0	0
Brighton	0	0	0	0
Brookside	0	0	0	0
Fairfield	0	0	0	0
Gardendale	0	0	0	0
Homewood	0	0	0	0
Hueytown	0	0	0	0
Irondale	0	0	0	0
Lipscomb	0	0	0	0
Midfield	0	0	0	0
Mountain Brook	0	0	0	0
Pleasant Grove	0	0	0	0
Tarrant	0	0	0	0
Trussville	0	0	0	0
Vestavia Hills	0	0	0	0

The approved pro-forma ordinance can be found in **Appendix F**

3.6 *Spill Prevention and Response*

3.6.1 Objective

The objective of the Spill Prevention and Response Program is to prevent, contain, and respond to spills that may discharge into the MS4.

3.6.2 Activities Complete or in Progress

Activity 1: Investigate, respond and conduct response actions or coordinate with other agencies that may provide response actions. This activity was completed.

Activity 2: Develop a mechanism to track spills, responses, and clean-up activities for all spills. This activity was completed.

Activity 3: Use an acceptable mapping scheme to identify spill locations, inspection locations and chronic problem areas. This activity has been completed.

Activity 4: Implement a spill prevention/spill response plan. This activity was completed.

Activity 5: Provide training to appropriate personnel in spill and response procedures. This activity was completed.

Activity 6: Establish procedures to ensure that all spills are promptly reported. This activity has been completed.

3.6.3 General Discussion

Member cities have a local mechanism to investigate, respond, and conduct response actions with other agencies. All cities have either a fire department or are part of a fire district. Jefferson County also has an Emergency Management Agency (EMA) which coordinates and/or assist cities with spills and clean-up. EMA also documents spills, response and clean-up activities. JCDH has the capability to exhibit spill locations, locations for inspections, and chronic problem areas in GIS. All of the cities are in the process of formalizing a spill prevention/spill response plan. While all fire response units have training to respond to spills, JCDH offers a training program for non-first responder personnel on spill prevention and response.

3.6.4 Status

SWMA members are in compliance with this program element. The SOAR program offers storage for spill documentation for incidents that do not involve EMA.

3.6.5 Assessment

The program has proven effective in terms of responding to spills. Documentation of spills and spill response for incidents that do not involve EMA needs improvement.

3.6.6 Proposed Revisions

There are no proposed revisions at this time.

3.6.7 Annual Reporting

Spill Response Summary				
City	Location of Spills	Spill Substance	Incident Dates and Times to Resolution	Enforcement Actions
Gardendale	I-65 at MM 274	7,200 gal. of Gasoline	10/09/17	None Reported
Mountain Brook	US 280W at Cherokee Rd	30 gal. of Diesel and Engine Fluids	10/30/17	None Reported
Fairfield	1-20/59 E-N at Lloyd Nolan overpass Exit 118	250 gal. of Diesel	11/02/17	None Reported
Irondale	1-20 W near Exit 135	40 gal. of Diesel	12/16/17	None Reported
Irondale	1459 NB@ MM 26.9 / Grants Mill Road Exit	20 gal. of Engine Oil/Coolant and 50 lbs. of Carbon Black Powder	06/09/18	None Reported
Irondale	120 EB Ramp from 1459 NB	10 gal. Diesel and 39,000 lb. of Chicken Parts	06/13/18	None Reported

3.7 Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations

3.7.1 Objective

The objective of the Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping Program is to prevent and reduce the discharge in storm water run-off from municipal operations to the MEP.

3.7.2 Activities Complete or in Progress

Activity 1: An inventory of all Municipal facilities. This activity is completed for all members except Fairfield.

Activity 2: Develop and implement a short and long term strategy and program for removal of trash from waterways and tributaries. This activity has been completed.

Activity 3: Require appropriate Best Management Practices for events. This activity is in progress.

Activity 4: Provide trash receptacles for high trash generated areas. This activity has been completed.

Activity 5: Develop a Standard Operating Procedure detailing good housekeeping practices. This activity was completed.

Activity 6: Develop a program to inspect municipal facilities with checklists and procedures for correcting noted deficiencies. This activity was completed.

Activity 7: Develop a training program for municipal staff on good housekeeping. This activity is complete.

Activity 8: Assess the water quality impacts of flood management programs. This activity is in progress.

3.7.3 General Discussion

Members of SWMA have been documenting their pollution prevention/good housekeeping activities and municipal operations inspections since 2012. This information is stored in the SOAR program. Completion of the SWMPP will provide members a more accurate record of total municipal facilities and may increase the number of inspections to be performed. The cities have active programs for removing trash from waterways and tributaries. In 2011 SWMA adopted a SOP manual that contains procedures regarding this program element. A SOP for special events was developed and included in the template SWMPP for SWMA cities. SWMA and JCDH offered a half-day training program for municipal employees that covered this program element.

3.7.4 Status

The cities of Tarrant and Vestavia Hills are the only members that have flood management projects in place. The members are in the process of assessing the existence of flood management programs that may be under their responsibility.

3.7.5 Assessment

The members have been successful in removing trash to prevent it from entering waterways and tributaries. Several members sponsor city wide clean ups which also serve to educate the public on importance of clean water. Member cities have adopted a SOP Manual that outlines procedures for numerous pollution prevention/good housekeeping activities.

3.7.6 Proposed Revisions

There are no proposed revisions at this time.

3.7.7 Annual Reporting

City	Amount of Floatable Materials Collected from The MS4	Amount of Leaves Collected	# of Inspections Performed
Adamsville	1424.4 tons	3950 tons	1
Brighton	184 bags	None Reported	None Reported
Brookside	32 bags	None Reported	None Reported
Fairfield	630 cu. yards	None Reported	None Reported
Gardendale	786 bags	None Reported	None Reported
Homewood	125 bags	None Reported	4
Hueytown	81 bags	2765 bags	3
Irondale	1,177.5 bags	11,641 bags	3
Lipscomb	53.68 tons	None Reported	None Reported
Midfield	5,545 bags	164 cu. yards	4
Mountain Brook	413 bags and 78 cu. yards	11,494 cu. yards	3
Pleasant Grove	80 bags	100 bags	None Reported
Tarrant	1182 bags and 90 tons	15 tons	12
Trussville	587 bags	4,118 cu. yards	9
Vestavia Hills	685 bags	None Reported	4

With the exception of Fairfield, all permitted members updated to their municipal inventory, inspection plan or SOP of good housekeeping. The updates can be found in each SWMPP.

3.8 *Application of Pesticides, Herbicides and Fertilizers (PHFs)*

3.8.1 Objective

The objective of the Pesticides, Herbicides and Fertilizers Program is to reduce, to the maximum extent practicable, the discharge of pollutants related to the storage and application of PHFs applied by employees or contractors, to public rights of way, parks, and other public property.

3.8.2 Activities Complete or in Progress

Activity 1: Identify all areas known to receive high application of PHFs and develop a program to detect improper usage. This activity is in progress.

Activity 2: Require evidence of proper certification and licensing for all applicators. This activity is complete.

Activity 3: Maintain an inventory of on-hand PHFs with information about the formulation of the product. This activity is complete.

Activity 4: Maintain information on equipment use and maintenance. This activity is in progress.

Activity 5: Have training on safe usage, storage and disposal of PHFs. This activity is complete.

Activity 6: Inspect and monitor facilities where PHFs are stored. This activity is complete.

Activity 7: Have recordkeeping. This activity is complete.

3.8.3 General Discussion

SWMA members that have active PHF programs are in compliance with keeping records on usage, storage, and disposal of PHFs. The maintenance and use of equipment is addressed in their SWMPP. A plan to inspect and monitor PHF storage facilities in similar fashion to the required municipal facilities inspections of the Good Housekeeping program element is also included in the SWMPP. Members that spray provide the proper training to the personnel involved. JCDH also offered training for city employees on general usage of PHFs. Cities record all PHF related activities in SOAR.

3.8.4 Status

The members comply with the documentation of application and storage of PHFs. The storage facility inspection requirement is addressed in the SWMPP. JCDH and SWMA are in the process of developing a program to include a report of improper usage.

3.8.5 Assessment

Members are documenting areas of PHFs application and storage inventory. Inspection of storage facilities was included in the SWMPP. Efforts to improve detection of improper PHF usage are in progress.

3.8.6 Proposed Revisions

There are no proposed revisions at this time.

3.8.7 Annual Reporting

All records for PHFs are housed in the SOAR program.

3.9 *Oils, Toxics, and Household Hazardous Waste Control*

3.9.1 Objective

The objective of oil, toxics and household hazardous waste control program is to prohibit, to the maximum extent practicable, the discharge of used engine fluids and household hazardous waste into the MS4.

3.9.2 Activities Complete or in Progress

Activity 1: making educational materials on this program available to the public. This activity is complete.

Activity 2: Advertise the location of used oil collection facilities. This activity is complete.

Activity 3: Provide employee training on spill prevention related to this program. This activity is complete.

3.9.3 General Discussion

Currently JCDH and SWMA provide information addressing oils, toxics, and household hazardous wastes that are distributed at each member's city hall. Storm water calendars, website materials and brochures are tools that members use to address these topics including used oil collection facilities. Determining the quantity of used oil collected within each city is difficult due to large number of municipalities located within Jefferson County. Citizens can easily drop off their used oil anywhere regardless of the municipality. Currently, information on the total gallons of recycled oil for Jefferson County is received from the largest used oil collector. JCDH and SWMA also offered a half-day training program for municipal employees that covers this program element. Many cities offered electronics takeback days independently. JCDH is planning two Household Hazardous Waste Takeback Events in 2019 for all Jefferson County residents.

3.9.4 Status

Members are in compliance with all components of this element.

3.9.5 Assessment

Training for employees on Household Hazardous Waste was offered during the 2017-2018 reporting period.

3.9.6 Proposed Revisions

There are no proposed revisions at this time.

3.9.7 Annual Reporting

Within Jefferson County, Universal Environmental Services received 385,461 gallons of recycled oil from Express Oil Change Services, Inc., during this reporting period. Amounts for individual cities were not available.

Regional Electronics takeback events were held in Adamsville and Center Point. The Cities of Vestavia Hills and Clay held independent takeback events. The U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration also held two prescription drug takeback events throughout Jefferson County.

JCDH presented a MS4 training class that included discussion of oils, toxics, and household hazardous waste control on October 17 and 24, 2018. The attendance sheet and agenda can be found in **Appendix G**.

3.10 *Industrial Storm Water Runoff*

3.10.1 Objective

The objective of the industrial storm water runoff program is to inspect, monitor, and control pollutants in the storm water runoff from high-risk facilities.

3.10.2 Activities Complete or in Progress

Activity 1: Annual inspection of Municipal waste landfills, hazardous waste treatment, storage, disposal (TSD) and recovery facilities. This activity is complete.

Activity 2: Annual inspections of industrial facilities and high-risk commercial facilities. This activity is complete.

Activity 3: Use data collected from NPDES permitted facility to review sites. This activity is complete.

3.10.3 General Discussion

JCDH and SWMA implemented a standalone program to inspect the sites from a more storm water-focused perspective. With the completion of each cities' SWMPP, a list of industrial and high-risk commercial facilities was compiled. Facilities within those cities were inspected or documented during the 2017-2018 reporting period. Lists will be updated as needed. Although JCDH did not perform inspections of the Fairfield sites, the City provided documentation that its sites were inspected by the Fairfield Fire Department. This document can be found in **Appendix H.**

3.10.4 Status

This element is complete for all cities.

3.10.5 Assessment

An assessment of this element is not available at this time.

3.10.6 Proposed Revisions

There are no proposed revisions at this time.

3.10.7 Annual Reporting

Member Cities	# of Inspections
Adamsville	35
Brighton	2
Brookside	1
Fairfield	Completed by Fairfield Fire Department
Gardendale	47
Homewood	53
Hueytown	79
Irondale	44
Lipscomb	4
Midfield	18
Mountain Brook	14
Pleasant Grove	17
Tarrant	88
Trussville	17
Vestavia Hills	54

The inspections are in the in **Appendix H**. JCDH also reviewed the 20 NPDES Permits and found a total of 43 instances of excursions. The Discharge Monitoring Reports for the Permitted sites can be found in **Appendix H**.

4 Monitoring

4.1 Objective

The objective of the Monitoring Program is to provide data necessary to assess the effectiveness and adequacy of BMPs implemented under the SWMPP.

4.2 Monitoring Program

Monitoring is accomplished by using both continuous monitoring stations and grab samples collected during a qualifying rain event (wet samples). Grab samples are collected as described, and at the frequency determined by the Member's permit. Analysis of the sampling data is used to assess the water quality of the streams and to identify potential water quality impairments.

4.2.1 Continuous Monitoring

There are five continuous monitoring sites strategically placed throughout SWMA members on Shades Creek, Cahaba River and Valley Creek. The sites are maintained, calibrated, and the data is approved by USGS. The data is available to the public through USGS's website (<https://www.usgs.gov/>). The continuous monitors test for six parameters: temperature, pH/ORP turbidity, conductivity, dissolved oxygen and water level. For this reporting period, analysis of the data was not possible due to stations having different start dates, partial sampling periods and most of the information was not approved by USGS.

The sites are shown in the table below:

WATERSHED	SITE NAME	USGS NAME	LONGITUDE	LATITUDE	APPROXIMATE WATERSHED SIZE (SQ. MI.)*
UPPER SHADES CREEK	MOU-SHC-065M	SHADES CREEK AT ELDER ST NEAR SPRINGDALE AL (02423571)	-86.716126	33.521084	9.1
LOWER SHADES CREEK	HOM-SHC-087M	SHADES CREEK NR HOMEWOOD ALA (02423586)	-86.813676	33.448607	26.9
UPPER CAHABA RIVER	LEE-CAR-053M	CAHABA RIVER NEAR WHITES CHAPEL AL (02423160)	-86.549324	33.605167	50.8
LOWER CAHABA RIVER	VES-CAR-085M	CAHABA RIVER NEAR MOUNTAIN BROOK (02423380)	-86.712765	33.481772	140.3
VALLEY CREEK	BRI-VAC-015M	VALLEY CREEK BELOW BRIGHTON, ALA (02461405)	-86.95317	33.425456	35.0


*The watershed size was derived from the USGS Watershed Boundary Dataset in conjunction with USGS topographical maps. This information shown is an approximation of watershed size.

The activation dates are shown in the table below:

Parameter Recorded	Upper Shades Creek	Lower Shades Creek	Upper Cahaba River	Lower Cahaba River	Valley Creek
Dissolved Oxygen	5/9/2018	5/9/2018	8/9/2011	4/20/2018	5/14/2018
pH	5/9/2018	5/9/2018	9/6/2017	8/21/2018	5/14/2018
Specific Conductance	10/12/2017	5/9/2018	8/9/2011	4/20/2018	5/14/2018
Temperature	10/12/2017	5/9/2018	8/9/2011	4/20/2018	5/14/2018
Turbidity	5/9/2018	5/9/2018	9/6/2017	4/20/2018	5/14/2018
Water Level	10/2/2017	3/28/2018	8/9/2011	10/1/1994	3/30/2018

The percentage of data that is provisional is shown in the table below.


Parameter Recorded	Upper Shades Creek	Lower Shades Creek	Upper Cahaba River	Lower Cahaba River	Valley Creek
Dissolved Oxygen	100%	100%	75%	100%	100%
pH	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Specific Conductance	100%	100%	75%	100%	100%
Temperature	69%	100%	75%	100%	100%
Turbidity	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Water Level	100%	100%	5%	0%	100%




4.2.2 Continuous Monitoring Data

4.2.2.1 *Shades Creek Data*


Temperature




Dissolved Oxygen




pH



Turbidity




Specific Conductance




4.2.2.2 Cahaba River Data


Temperature




Dissolved Oxygen




pH



Turbidity




Specific Conductance




4.2.2.3 Valley Creek Data


Temperature




Dissolved Oxygen




pH



Turbidity



Specific Conductance



4.2.3 Wet Weather Sampling

The locations of sampling stations are based upon multiple factors that include, past sampling sites for longevity studies of water quality, ease of access, and strategically located sampling sites to reduce duplication of sampling on the same water body.


The table below describes the member cities and the sites that receive runoff from them. Note the use of color coding to signify sites that have receive runoff from multiple municipalities.

CITY	WATERSHED	ADEM PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	SITE NAME	LONGITUDE	LATITUDE	APPROXIMATE WATERSHED SIZE (SQ. MI.)*
ADAMSVILLE	VILLAGE CREEK	VILLAGE CREEK	ADA-VAC-023M	-87.053491	33.628143	94.4
BRIGHTON	VALLEY CREEK	TRIB TO VALLEY CREEK	BRI-VAC-018T	-86.946071	33.43316	1.4
BRIGHTON	VALLEY CREEK		BRI-VAC-015M	-86.95317	33.425456	35.0
BROOKSIDE	FIVE MILE CREEK	NEWFOUND CREEK	BRO-FMC-030T	-86.915614	33.63953	15.7
BROOKSIDE	FIVE MILE CREEK	FIVE MILE CREEK	BRO-FMC-029M	-86.932013	33.643603	81.6
FAIRFIELD	VALLEY CREEK	TRIB TO VALLEY CREEK	FAI-VAC-010T	-86.907794	33.45815	0.7
FAIRFIELD	VALLEY CREEK		BRI-VAC-018T	-86.946071	33.43316	1.4
FAIRFIELD	VALLEY CREEK		BRI-VAC-015M	-86.95317	33.425456	35.0
GARDENDALE	FIVE MILE CREEK	TRIB TO FIVE MILE CREEK	GAR-FMC-031T	-86.872087	33.655549	7.7
HOMWOOD	SHADES CREEK	SHADES CREEK	HOM-SHC-087M	-86.813676	33.448607	26.9
HOMWOOD	SHADES CREEK	TRIBUTARIES TO SHADES CREEK	HOM-SHC-072T	-86.83654	33.438338	3.7
HOMWOOD	SHADES CREEK	TRIBUTARIES TO SHADES CREEK	HOM-SHC-071T	-86.810539	33.452564	4.0
HUEYTOWN	VALLEY CREEK	VALLEY CREEK	HUE-VAC-003M	-87.059665	33.388139	93.2
HUEYTOWN	VALLEY CREEK	TRIB TO VALLEY CREEK	HUE-VAC-014T	-86.978983	33.434358	2.4
IRONDALE	SHADES CREEK	SHADES CREEK	MOU-SHC-065M	-86.716126	33.521084	9.1
IRONDALE	CAHABA RIVER	CAHABA RIVER	IRO-CAR-057M	-86.652636	33.511484	128.6
LIPSCOMB	VALLEY CREEK	TRIB TO VALLEY CREEK	LIP-VAC-017T	-86.941052	33.425927	0.7
LIPSCOMB	VALLEY CREEK		BRI-VAC-015M	-86.95317	33.425456	35.0

CITY	WATERSHED	ADEM PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	SITE NAME	LONGITUDE	LATITUDE	APPROXIMATE WATERSHED SIZE (SQ. MI.)*
MIDFIELD	VALLEY CREEK	VALLEY CREEK	BRI-VAC-015M	-86.95317	33.425456	35.0
MIDFIELD	VALLEY CREEK		FAI-VAC-010T	-86.907794	33.45815	0.7
MIDFIELD	VALLEY CREEK		BRI-VAC-018T	-86.946071	33.43316	1.4
MOUNTAIN BROOK	SHADES CREEK	SHADES CREEK	MOU-SHC-069M	-86.759513	33.48057	16.5
MOUNTAIN BROOK	SHADES CREEK	TRIBUTARIES TO SHADES CREEK	MOU-SHC-068T	-86.763681	33.476147	3.0
MOUNTAIN BROOK	CAHABA RIVER	TRIBUTARIES TO SHADES CREEK**	MOU-CAR-086T	-86.712698	33.479676	2.4
PLEASANT GROVE	VALLEY CREEK	ROCK CREEK	PLE-VAC-006T	-87.03124	33.485591	16.2
TARRANT	FIVE MILE CREEK	FIVE MILE CREEK	TAR-FMC-036M	-86.788948	33.584886	28.8
TRUSSVILLE	CAHABA RIVER	CABABA RIVER	TRU-CAR-050M	-86.599899	33.622915	21.1
TRUSSVILLE	CAHABA RIVER	CABABA RIVER	LEE-CAR-053M	-86.549324	33.605167	50.8
TRUSSVILLE	CAHABA RIVER	PINCHGUT CREEK	TRU-CAR-051T	-86.607729	33.617775	6.8
TRUSSVILLE	CAHABA RIVER	DRY CREEK	TRU-CAR-048T	-86.599393	33.643242	3.1
VESTAVIA HILLS	CAHABA RIVER	CAHABA RIVER	VES-CAR-085M	-86.712765	33.481772	140.3
VESTAVIA HILLS	PATTON CREEK	PATTON CREEK	VES-CAR-074T	-86.810525	33.410772	6.7


*The watershed size was derived from the United State Geological Survey's (USGS) Watershed Boundary Dataset in conjunction with USGS topographical maps. This information shown is an approximation of watershed size.

**Needs to be modified to be a part of Cahaba River.




4.2.4 Wet Sampling Data


E. Coli




Biological Oxygen Demand




Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)




Hardness




Total Suspended Solids (TSS)



Turbidity




Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)




Oil and Grease


The oil and grease values for dry weather sampling were all less than 5mg/l.




Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)




Ammonia




Nitrate Plus Nitrite




Total Nitrogen




Total Phosphorus




pH




Specific Conductance



Dissolved Oxygen



Temperature



4.3 *General Discussion*

A comparison of the data from the monitoring sites was difficult for various reasons. For example, monitoring sites on the Upper and Lower Shades Creek and the Upper and Lower Cahaba River had different activation dates. Also, the site on Valley Creek was only active for five months during this reporting period. Additionally, the provisional status of much the data created difficulty in completing an analysis. Given more time to collect additional data, USGS will be better able to promptly review and approve the information and allow better analysis of the data for the 2018 -2019 reporting period. Totaled

Review of the wet sample data shows several sites consistently have elevated readings in multiple parameters. In order to identify the sites with multiple elevated parameters, the top three data points of each parameter were weighted with the highest given three points, the second highest given two points and the third highest given one point. The total points for each site were calculated for a score. The sites with the highest score had the most elevated parameters with the highest levels. See **Appendix I** for raw data and the score results.

The top five sites with multiple elevated parameters in order from highest are HOM-SHC-071T, HOM-SHC-087M, BRO-FMC-029M, ADA-VIC-023M, and PLE-VAC-006T.

HOM-SHC-071T showed elevated parameters for Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD), Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), Ammonia Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Total Phosphorus, Total Nitrogen, and Total Suspended Solids (TSS). This site is located on Griffin Creek in Homewood within a heavily urbanized watershed with commercial and high density residential zones. HOM-SHC-087M showed elevated parameters for BOD, COD, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Total Phosphorus, and TSS. This site is located on Shades Creek in Homewood downstream of the convergence of Griffin Creek with Shades Creek. This area of Shades Creek Watershed will need further investigation during the upcoming reporting period for potential runoff sources.

BRO-FMC-029 had high results for Ammonia Nitrogen, Nitrate plus Nitrite, Specific Conductance, Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), and Total Nitrogen. It is located in a rural area of Brookside on Five Mile Creek with limited MS4 infrastructure. ADA-VIC-023M showed elevated results for Nitrate plus Nitrite, Specific Conductance, TDS, and Total Nitrogen. The sampling site is located on Village Creek in Unincorporated Jefferson County downstream of Adamsville. This sampling site is also in a rural area with limited MS4 infrastructure. The MS4s for Brookside and Adamsville will need further investigation during the upcoming reporting period to see if they are the source of the elevated parameters.

PLE-VAC-006T showed elevated results for Specific Conductance and TDS. This site is located in a rural area of Unincorporated Jefferson County on Rock Creek and has limited MS4 infrastructure. One unnamed tributary upstream of this sampling site is located in Pleasant Grove was investigated for elevated parameters during dry weather screening for reporting

period 2017-2018. The water quality was concluded to be the result of drainage from an abandoned mining site.

Attempts were made to fulfill the grab sample requirement for each site but runoff was not always present during minimum qualifying rain events. This issue was further impacted by the small size of some of the watersheds. Temperature and Dissolved Oxygen were not taken on some sites due to equipment malfunction.

4.4 *Status*

Wet samples were completed on all sites except LIP-VAC_017T. The installation of the continuous monitors was completed during the reporting period.

4.5 *Assessment*

Comparison of the continuous monitoring sites was not achieved during this reporting period. Analysis of the wet sample data indicates several sites need further investigation to determine causes of elevated parameters. Additional testing will be performed on these sites during the upcoming reporting period.

4.6 *Proposed Revisions*

There are no proposed revisions at this time.

4.7 *Annual Reporting*

The following information is a graphical summary of the data with an explanation of the data for each component of the monitoring program. Raw sampling data is found in **Appendix I**.

5 Fiscal Analysis

For the Permit year 2017-2018, SWMA was paid based on a \$5.00 per residence and \$15.00 per commercial rate by each member according to land usage. In accordance with Act 2014-439, Alabama Department of Revenue received 5% of the storm water fee collected.

The money collected by SWMA was used to help members meet ADEM permit requirements. The most recent fiscal information available is included in **Appendix J**.

SWMA has been designated to be the authorized agent to prepare the 2017-2018 Annual Report on NPDES Permits for the municipalities listed below. Furthermore, the staff of SWMA, at the direction of the Board of Directors, has prepared and reviewed the content of such report on behalf of the Permittees.

"I certify under the penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."




City of Adamsville
Mayor Pam Palmer




City of Brighton
Mayor Eddie Cooper



City of Brookside
Mayor Roger McCondichie



City of Fairfield ~~City Manager~~
~~Mayor Edward May Jr~~
MARY Roberson




City of Gardendale
Mayor Stan Hogeland



City of Homewood
Mayor Scott McBrayer



City of Hueytown
Mayor Steve Ware




City of Irondale
Mayor Charles Moore



City of Lipscomb
Mayor Brenda Renz



City of Midfield
Mayor Gary Richardson



City of Mountain Brook
Mayor Stewart Welch III



City of Pleasant Grove
Mayor Jerry Brasseale



City of Tarrant
Mayor Loxcil Tuck



City of Trussville
Mayor Buddy Choat



City of Vestavia Hills
Mayor Ashley Curry