NOTICE OF PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING

The City Planning Commission of the City of King City will hold a Planning **Session** at 9:30 AM, Wednesday, April 28, 2021, by teleconference at City Hall 15300 SW 116th Ave, King City, Oregon 97224 – Please see instructions below.

Posted Date: April 22rd, 2021, at 3:30 PM

Location: (teleconference – Email comments to rsmith@ci.king-city.or.us)

The King City Planning Commission will hold a meeting on April 28th, 2021, at 9:30 AM.

Commissioner will be calling into the meeting via conference call. Members of the public will be able to listen to the meeting on the teleconference line or watch the meeting via video link. Minimal staff will be in the City Hall Conference Room, 15300 SW 116th Ave, King City, Oregon 97224. To avoid the potential spread of the COVID-19 virus, members of the public will not be allowed in the room. The packet can be found online at: http://www.ci.king-

city.or.us/departments/planning commission/planning commission agenda and minutes.php#outer-958

The City has taken steps to utilize current technology to make meetings available to the public without increasing the risk of exposure. The public can participate by emailing public comments to City Recorder at rsmith@ci.king-city.or.us or leaving a voicemail that can be played during the meeting. The audio/Video recording of the meeting will be posted to the City website within two to three days after the meeting.

Join Zoom Meeting

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/86389216368?pwd=OTVRbisrQzZUbWtBY3JrZEV4N3BuUT09

Meeting ID: 863 8921 6368

Passcode: 291743 One tap mobile

- +12532158782,,86389216368# US (Tacoma)
- +13462487799, 86389216368# US (Houston)

Dial by your location

- +1 253 215 8782 US (Tacoma)
- +1 669 900 6833 US (San Jose)

Meeting ID: 863 8921 6368

Live broadcast coverage of the King City Council Meetings can now be seen on TVCTV cable channel 30 and live-streaming on MACC TVCTV's YouTube page.

{Next Page for Agenda}

AGENDA	Action Item
PLANNING SESSION	
9:30 AM 1. CALL TO ORDER	Time:
2. ROLL CALL	
3. Approval of Minutes: 3.1 March 24, 2021	M S A
9:35 AM 4. TO CONSIDER: 4.1 Master Plan Update	Discussion
4.2 Development Code Update in Accordance with HB 2001/2003	Discussion
4.2 Transportation System Plan update (TSP)	Discussion
10:35 AM 5. Staff's Report	
11:00 AM 6. CITY MANAGER'S REPORT	
11:15 AM 7. Commissioner's Reports	
11:45 AM 8. Adjourn	M S A
	Time:
NEXT MEETING May 26 th , 2021 AT 9:30 am, REGULAR MEETING	
The meeting location is accessible to persons with disabilities. A request for an interpreter for the hearing impaired, or for other accommodations for persons with disabilities, should be made at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting to Ronnie Smith, City Recorder, 503-639-4082.	
Live broadcast coverage of the King City Planning Commission Meetings can now be seen on TVCTV cable channel 30.	
You can also find a copy of the meeting on the King City YouTube page a few days later. Please note you can also go to TVCTV video on demand for King City at http://tvctv.org/?page_id=1409	
M=Motion S=Second A=Action	

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

3.1 MARCH 24, 2021

CITY OF KING CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES

Call to Order:

Video time (0:06)

A regular meeting of the King City Planning Commission was held on ZOOM and at the City Hall in the Council Chamber with limited staff due to COVID-19: located at 15300 SW 116th Ave, King City, Oregon beginning at 9:35 AM on Wednesday, March 24, 2021, Chair Commissioner Petrie called the regular Planning Commission to Order at 9:35 AM.

Roll Call:

The following Planning Commission members were present:

Video time (0:11)

Commissioner John Walter Commissioner Joe Casanova Commissioner Marc Manelis Commissioner Carol Bellows Commissioner Ann Marie Paulsen Commissioner Laurie Petrie

Absent:

Commissioner Billie Reynolds

Staff present included:

City Manager (CM) Mike Weston City Planner (CP) Keith Liden City Recorder (CR) Ronnie Smith

Agenda Item 3

Approval of Minutes:

Video time (0:52)

3.1 February 24, 2021

Video time (1:08)

MOTION MADE BY COMMISSIONER PETRIE TO APPROVE THE MINUTES FOR FEBRUARY 24, 2021, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER PAULSEN.

VOICE VOTE: 6-AYES – 0-NEYS – 0-ABSTENTIONS– 0- RECUSED THE MOTION CARRIED 6-0-0

Agenda Item 4

4.1 Master Plan Update

Video time (2:00)

The City Manager gave an update on the Master Plan process. CM mentioned that we are on task three. He also noted that we held our first open house online.

Keith Liden also mentioned street crossing and other automotive access.

The Commissioner and staff discussed the Master Plan process and schedule.

Video time (6:42)

4.2 Development Code Update in Accordance with HB 2001/2003

Marcy McInelly from Urbworks gave a presentation on HB 2001/2003.

Mrs. McInelly gave a brief project overview for the King City Community Development Code (CDC) project that updates the Comprehensive Plan and the CDC so that the City fully complies with House Bill 2001 (HB 2001.)

She went over task 3 – Amendments and public involvements.

Updates resulting from this project will be incorporated into the larger city-led project to update the CDC overall. In addition to complying with HB 2001, the update will improve the code organization to facilitate necessary amendments over the next several years related to the TSP and Kingston Terrace planning efforts.

Commissioners asked to see a comparison (side-by-side).

Mrs. McInelly went through the public involvement materials.

Video time (6:42)

4.3 Transportation System Plan Update

CM mentioned that the TSP had their first Tac meeting this last month. Currently, the TSP is on task/milestone 6 for the scope of work. The consultants and staff are looking to finish this project up around June 2021.

Commissioners and staff discussed bike lines, traffic, and grants.

Agenda Item 5: Video time (47:14)

Staff Report: None

Agenda Item 6:

Commissioners Report:

Video time (47:28)

Commissioner Manelis mentioned that he attended the Community Open House Meeting for the Master Plan.

Agenda Item 6: Adjournment

Video Time (48:57)

MOTION MADE BY COMMISSIONER PAULSEN TO ADJOURNMENT, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER BELLOWS. THE MEETING ADJOURNED AT 10:20 AM.

VOICE VOTE: 6-AYES – 0-NEYS – 0-ABSTENTIONS– 0- RECUSED THE MOTION CARRIED 6-0-0

Respectfully Submitted by:	Attested by:
Ronnie Smith	Mike Weston
City Recorder	City Manager

4.1 Master Plan Update

Discussion

No Materials for this item.

4.2 Development Code Update in Accordance with HB **2001/2003**

Discussion

Date: 21 March 2021

Subject: King City Middle Housing Code Amendments

To: Mike Weston, Keith Liden, Planning Commission, King City, Oregon


From: Marcy McInelly AIA, Urbsworks, Inc.

REFINED AMENDMENTS | CITY OF KING CITY MIDDLE HOUSING PROJECT

PROJECT OVERVIEW

The objective of these updates is to further expand the range of middle housing types, including duplexes, triplexes, quadplexes, townhouses and cottage clusters, which are allowed and encouraged by the city.

Updates resulting from this project will be incorporated into the larger city-led project to update the CDC overall. In addition to complying with HB 2001, the update will improve the code organization to facilitate necessary amendments over the next several years related to the city's planning efforts including the King City Transportation System Plan (TSP) and Kingston Terrace Master Plan.



State-required HB 2001 amendments to King City's Comprehensive Plan and Community Development Code (CDC) will apply to the residentially-zoned areas in existing King City. In addition, improvements to the city's code organization will facilitate necessary amendments over the next several years related to the city's first Transportation System Plan (TSP) and Beef Bend South master planning efforts.

KEY DATES/PROJECT TIMELINE

- The project began in December 2020 and will be completed in late May to early June 2021.
- Task 1: Code Audit was completed at the end of January. The Code Audit identified areas of inconsistency with HB 2001 in the King City CDC and Comprehensive Plan. The PC reviewed the Code Audit at its January meeting to understand key issues.
- The City anticipates having hearings-ready amendments at the end of this project (May 2021) and will present them to the Planning Commission and City Council in late May and June of 2021.

Project timeline and Planning Commission role							
December 2020-January 2021	January – February 2021	March – May 2021	May 2021				
Task 1 – Code Audit– completed	Task 2 - Draft Amendments (this memo)	Task 3 – Refinement of Amendments	Task 4 – Public Hearing				
Review conducted by the Planning Commission and Technical Advisory Committee	Planning Commission role: Review preliminary amendments and provide feedback. Learn about public involvement	Planning Commission role: Hold up to two sessions to accept comments and recommendations on the public drafts.	Planning Commission role: Review revised amendments and make recommendations to the City Council.				

REFINED AMENDMENTS

Urbsworks reviewed the overall code structure as part of Task 2 to better understand where amendments would be required as part of this project. Three different proposed structures were discussed in the February 13th Draft Amendments deliverable and presented to the Planning Commission on March 9th. Based on discussion and direction received, the following option has been selected among the three proposed CDC Reorganization Options:

Reorganize the zoning districts to group residential zones and use tables to present the standards.

Dimensional Standards Tables

The CDC reorganization will include tables that list all the clear and objective, numerical (dimensional) standards in a single table or series of tables. Dimensional standards are those within the King City CDC that govern minimum lot size, lot width, building height, density and other requirements for development. See pages 5 and 6 for examples.

Overview of Articles and Chapters being amended

Based on the findings from the Code Audit, several amendments will be needed to ensure compliance with HB 2001:

 Reconcile the way that King City currently defines housing types compared with the way that Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) and LCMC (Large City Model Code) define them.

- · Clarify the specific housing types that are permitted in each zone and maintaining consistency with the CDC purpose statements for each zone while complying with HB 2001.
- · Update all residential land use zones and mixed use zones including Neighborhood Mixed-Use (NMU) to simplify and permit housing types per HB 2001 definitions.
- Amend the CDC so that residential land use zones and development standards are easy to understand (and flexible) to support the development of middle housing, particularly in the Kingston Terrace area.
- · Update the Comprehensive Plan to support zone changes and encourage middle housing types.
- · Amend procedures so that all required housing types are subject to the same approval process as single detached dwellings.

Proposed Outline

On the following page is an outline of the Option 2 reorganization.

Within Article III – Land Use Districts, residential chapters that are being amended for HB 2001 compliance would be re-organized as follows:

Chapter	Sub-article		Proposed amendments
	16.84.010	Overview of residential zones	 Include an overview table listing the abbreviation, zone name, dwelling units/acre, minimum lot size, and previous and new chapter numbers. Re-order residential zones by density, along a density and formbased spectrum
Single-use residential zones Combine chapters 16.84-16.96	16.84.020	Purpose statements	In one consolidated section update, simplify and potentially combine purpose statements.
SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (SF) SMALL LOT AND ATTACHED RESIDENTIAL ZONE (R-9) ATTACHED RESIDENTIAL (R-12) APARTMENTS AND TOWNHOUSES (R-15) MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (R-15) MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (R-24)	16.84.030	Permitted and conditional uses	 Add housing types. Display all zones side by side in a table. Additional details or requirements that do not fit in the table are footnoted and follow the table in narrative and graphics form.
	16.84.040	Dimensional and density requirements	 Display all zones side by side in a table. Additional details or requirements that do not fit in the table are footnoted and follow the table in narrative and graphics form.
	16.84.050	Design requirements	 Display all zones side by side in a table. Additional details or requirements that do not fit in the table are footnoted and follow the table in narrative and graphics form.
Mixed use zones 16.102 and future mixed use zone chapters NEIGHBORHOOD MIXED-USE ZONE (NMU)	16.102	See sub-article order at right.	This chapter would accommodate future Kingston Terrace mixed use zones. This section would follow the sub-article structure established above: 010 – Overview of mixed use zones; 020 – Purpose statements; 030 – Permitted and conditional uses; 040 – Dimensional and density requirements, and 050 – Design requirements.

Form based approach in practice: Recommendations for King City Community Development Code

SUMMARY TABLE LISTING KING CITY SINGLE USE RESIDENTIAL ZONES – PROPOSED NEW HIERARCHY

This ordering of zone designations lends itself to form based approach by listing zones in order of density and intensity of form. This allows the other summary tables such as the development standards table to be represented as a "spectrum" of density and intensity.

Note that the SF and AT zones are original King City zoning designations. Redevelopment on lots with this zoning is extremely limited because 1) development is restricted by maximum height, and 2) properties are already developed.

R-15 is historically a Washington County zoning designation. Maintaining it within the CDC ensures that annexed properties can conform with King City zoning. **Recommendation:** *Maintain the density and siting standards but update the design standards to reflect King City CDC design objectives.*

KINC CITY 7	KING CITY ZONES – RESIDENTIAL		CURRENT CH	IAPTER NUMBER		
KING CITT Z			CURRENT	PROPOSED	notes	
ABBREVIATN	ZONE NAME	DWELLING UNITS/ACRE	MIN LOT SIZE ¹	16.		
R-9	Small Lot and Attached Residential	9	2,400	16.84		Max height: 35
R-12	Attached Residential	12	2,000	16.94		Max height: 35
R-15	Multi-family Residential	15	5,000	16.96	16.84.030	Max height (proposed): 35 Currently 30 feet.
R-24	Multi-family residential	24	5,000	16.100		Max height (proposed): 45 Currently 40 feet
SF	Single-family Residential	10	4000	16.88	16.84.030	This is one of the two original King City zoning designations. Height is limited to 20 feet.
AT	Apartments and Townhouses	15	5,000	16.92	10.64.030	This is one of the two original King City zoning designations. Height is limited to 30 feet.

 $^{^1\,\}text{Minimum lot size for single family detached dwelling only; some other housing types have different minimum lot sizes.}$

HOUSING TYPES PERMITTED BY ZONE

A housing type is not a use category. It describes a type of development that can contain a Residential Use. Therefore, **two key recommendations** are: 1) Remove housing types from the permitted and conditional land use list, and 2) Delete reference to "family," and rename housing types to denote structure type only, i.e., single dwelling or single unit; multi-dwelling or multi-unit.

Below is the Summary of Housing Types permitted by Zone for the King City CDC. This would be located in 16.84.030.

Housing types table	R-9	R-12	R-15	R-24	SF	AT
Dwelling units per acre	9	12	15	24	10	15
Housing types permitted Font style denotes: Amended housing type	pe name old n	name			•	
Single dwelling detached Dwelling, single family detached						
Single dwelling attached Dwelling, single family attached						
Dwelling, accessory		*	*		*	
Duplex						
Manufactured home on an individual lot						
Multi-dwelling Dwelling, multi family						

!		
LEGEND	Permitted	Not permitted

^{*}Note that in all zones Accessory Dwelling Units (*Dwelling, accessory* or ADUs) are permitted, however in some areas lot sizes limit the siting of detached ADUs.

All other permitted and conditional uses will remain as they are today. Current Permitted Uses include: Residential home; Manufactured/Mobile home parks and subdivisions; Family day care (family care), and Park and open space created as part of a subdivision or planned development.

Current Conditional Uses include: Schools; Utilities; Community services; Parks and open space; Religious assembly; and Public safety facilities, and in some areas, Residential home; Family day care (family care), Recreational vehicle parks, and Recreation vehicle and boat storage serving residents within the development.

DEVELOPMENT (DIMENSIONAL) STANDARDS BY ZONE

Below is an example of how development standards will be organized by zone. This table would be located in 16.84.040.

Development standards summary table							
Item being regulated	R-9	R-12	R-15	R-24	SF	AT	
Dwelling units per acre							
Lot size, in square feet							
Minimum lot width, per lot, in feet							
Minimum lot depth, in feet							
Setback, front yard to wall							
Setback front yard to porch		·		401 -	ı		
Setback, from yard to garage			EXAN	MPLE			
Front yard corner – side yard		!	!	!			
Front yard corner – garage							
Side yard, interior			!		! [
Rear yard – to residential building							
Rear yard – to garage entry on alley							
Building height							
Setbacks or encroachments for eaves and decorative features							
Maximum coverage of buildings and impervious surfaces							

Example of King City's CDC today

LAND USE TABLES

AT Zone

Chapter 16.92 - APARTMENTS AND TOWNHOUSES ZONE (AT)

16.92.010 - Purpose.

The purpose of the AT zone is to provide land for housing opportunities for individual households. The zone implements the comprehensive plan policies and regulations that are intended to create, maintain and promote medium density residential neighborhoods. This land use designation is intended to primarily apply to established residential properties within the city prior to June 5, 1991.

(Ord. O-99-6 § 1 (part), 1999: Ord. 96-4 § 1 (part), 1996)

(Ord. No. O-2015-01, § 1(Exh. A), 3-18-2015)

16.92.020 - Permitted uses.

A permitted use is a use, which is allowed outright, but is subject to all applicable provisions of this title. If a use is not listed as a permitted use, it may be held to be a similar unlisted use under the provisions of Chapter 16.82. Permitted uses in the AT zone are:

- A. Dwelling, single-family detached;
- B. Dwelling, single-family attached;
- C. Dwelling, multi-family;
- D. Manufactured home on an individual lot;
- E. Residential home:
- F. Manufactured/mobile home parks and subdivisions;
- G. Duplex; and
- H. Dwelling, accessory.

(Ord. O-03-2 § 1 (part), 2003; Ord. O-99-6 § 1 (part), 1999: Ord. 96-4 § 1 (part), 1996)

16.92.030 - Conditional uses.

A conditional use is a use which is subject to a discretionary decision by the planning commission. The approval criteria are set forth in Chapter 16.156. If a use is not listed as a conditional use, it may be held to be a similar unlisted use under the provisions of Chapter 16.82. Conditional uses in the AT district are:

- A. Schools;
- B. Utilities;
- C. Community services;
- D. Parks and open space:
- E. Religious assembly;
- F. Public safety facilities; and
- G. Residential facility.

(Ord. O-99-6 § 1 (part), 1999: Ord. 96-4 § 1 (part), 1996)

R - 12 Zone

Chapter 16.94 - ATTACHED RESIDENTIAL ZONE (R-12)

16.94.010 - Purpose.

The purpose of the R-12 zone is to provide land for housing opportunities for individual households. The zone implements the comprehensive plan policies and regulations that are intended to create, maintain and promote moderate density residential neighborhoods.

(Ord. O-02-4 § 2 (part), 2002)

16.94.020 - Permitted uses.

A permitted use is a use, which is allowed outright, but is subject to all applicable provisions of this title. If a use is not listed as a permitted use, it may be held to be a similar unlisted use under the provisions of Chapter 16.82. Permitted uses in the R-12 zone are:

- A. Dwelling, single-family detached;
- B. Dwelling, single-family attached;
- C. Dwelling, multi-family;
- D. Manufactured home on an individual lot;
- E. Duplex residential units;
- F. Residential home:
- G. Manufactured/mobile home parks and subdivisions;
- H. Family day care (family care);
- I. Residential facility;
- J. Parks and open space created as part of a subdivision or planned development; and
- K. Dwelling, accessory.

(Ord. O-03-2 § 1 (part), 2003; Ord. O-02-4 § 2 (part), 2002)

16.94.030 - Conditional uses.

A conditional use is a use, which is subject to a discretionary decision by the planning commission. The approval criteria are set forth in Chapter 16.156. If a use is not listed as a conditional use, it may be held to be a similar unlisted use under the provisions of Chapter 16.82. Conditional uses in the R-12 district are:

- A. Schools;
- B. Utilities;
- C. Community services:
- D. Parks and open space not created as part of a subdivision or planned development;
- E. Religious assembly;
- F. Public safety facilities;
- G. Day care group home (Family care); and
- H. Recreation vehicle and boat storage serving only the residents within the development.

Proposed CDC

HOUSING TYPES TABLES

Proposed form based approach

HOUSING TYPES PERMITTED BY ZONE

A housing type is not a use category. It describes a type of development that can contain a Residential Use. Therefore, **two key recommendations** are: 1) Remove housing types from the permitted and conditional land use list, and 2) Delete reference to "family," and rename housing types to denote structure type only, i.e., single dwelling or single unit; multi-dwelling or multi-unit.

Below is the Summary of Housing Types permitted by Zone for the King City CDC. This would be located in 16.84.030.

Housing types table	R-9	R-12	R-15	R-24	SF	AT
Dwelling units per acre	9	12	15	24	10	15
Housing types permitted Font style denotes: Amended housing type	pe name old r	name	•		,	
Single dwelling detached Dwelling, single-family detached						
Single dwelling attached Dwelling, single family attached						
Dwelling, accessory		*	*		*	
Duplex						
Manufactured home on an individual lot						
Multi-dwelling Dwelling, multi family						

LEGEND Permitted Not permitted

Example of King City's CDC today

DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

AT Zone

- B. Utilities;
- C. Community services;
- D. Parks and open space;
- E. Religious assembly;
- F. Public safety facilities; and
- G. Residential facility.

(Ord. O-99-6 § 1 (part), 1999: Ord. 96-4 § 1 (part), 1996)

16.92.040 - Dimensional and density requirements.

- A. The dimensional requirements in the AT district are:
 - A minimum lot area of five thousand square feet. For more than one unit, the minimum lot area shall be two thousand five hundred square feet per unit;
 - 2. A minimum average lot width of forty feet;
 - 3. A minimum average lot depth of eighty feet;
 - 4. Minimum building setback requirements of
 - a. Front yard of ten feet for the building;
 - b. Side yard of five feet for a one story structure, and ten feet for two-story structures;
 - c. Rear yard of twenty feet;
 - d. Garage vehicle entrance setback of fifteen feet;
 - 5. No building shall exceed thirty feet in height;
 - The maximum height and size and minimum setbacks for accessory structures and detached accessory dwellings shall comply with the provisions of Chapters 16.176 and 16.178; and
 - The maximum coverage of buildings and impervious surfaces shall not exceed seventy-five percent of the total lot area.
- B. Residential development shall be no less than eighty percent of the maximum density of 15 units per gross acre.

(Ord. O-99-6 § 1 (part), 1999: Ord. 96-4 § 1 (part), 1996)

(Ord. No. O-2015-01., § 1(Exh. A), 3-18-2015; Ord. No. O-2020-01., § 1(Exh. A), 8-19-2020)

Chapter 16.94 - ATTACHED RESIDENTIAL ZONE (R-12)

16.94.010 - Purpose.

The purpose of the R-12 zone is to provide land for housing opportunities for individual households. The zone implements the comprehensive plan policies and regulations that are intended to create, maintain and promote moderate density residential neighborhoods.

(Ord. O-02-4 § 2 (part), 2002)

16.94.020 - Permitted uses.

R - 12 Zone

Single-family detached units	2,000 min./2,400 avg. square feet
Duplex	3,600 min./4,000 avg. square feet
Single-family attached and 0-foot setback units	1,600 min./2,000 avg. square feet
Multi-family units	1,600 min./2,000 avg. square feet
Minimum average lot width (per lot)	
Single-family detached units	28 feet
Duplex	48 feet
Single-family attached and 0-foot setback detached units	24 feet
Multi-family units	48 feet
Minimum average lot depth (per lot)	I
Single-family detached units	60 feet
Duplex	60 feet
Single-family attached and 0-foot setback detached units	60 feet
Multi-family units	60 feet
Setbacks (measured from property lines, e	except as noted for garage entrances)*
Front yard	10 feet minimum and 26 feet maximum to front building wall. 6 feet minimum and 15 feet maximum to front porch. 18 feet from the nearest edge of the public sidewalk to front of garage entrance. The front lot line shall be used if a sidewalk will not be present prior to occupancy permit.
Front yard - corner	For corner lots, at least one street frontage shall meet the front yard requirements above. For the second front yard, the property owner/applicant may apply the following standards: 8 feet minimum for a side yard facing a street.

Proposed CDC

DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

Proposed form based approach


Development standards summary table						
Item being regulated	R-9	R-12	R-15	R-24	SF	AT
Dwelling units per acre						
Lot size, in square feet						
Minimum lot width, per lot, in feet						
Minimum lot depth, in feet						
Setback, front yard to wall						··············
Setback front yard to porch						
Setback, from yard to garage			EXA	AMPLE		
Front yard corner – side yard			·		l	
Front yard corner – garage						
Side yard, interior			:	:		
Rear yard – to residential building						
Rear yard – to garage entry on alley						
Building height						
Setbacks or encroachments for eaves and decorative features						
Maximum coverage of buildings and impervious surfaces						


FORM BASED APPROACH

- » Context specific development standards are listed by zone, by housing type, or by contextual conditions (site conditions)
- » Example of contextual listing, e.g., alley or no alley.
- » Graphic diagrams and tables make codes easier to understand and use
- » Proposed reorganization of the CDC established in this project will enable Kingston Terrace to add on to the form based zoning approach

Townhouse Development Standards TOWNHOUSE DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS WITH ALLEY WITHOUT ALLEY INFILL a Lot width (feet) Min. 20 Min. 22 Match existing zone, subdivision, or Planned b Lot depth (feet) Min. 60 Development overlay C Lot size (square feet) Min. 1,200 Min. 1,400 d Front setback (feet) Min. 15 Min. 15 Match existing¹ Interior: Min. 0 or 7.5 Interior: Min. 0 or 7.5 Interior: Min. 0 or 7.5 e Side setback (feet)² Exterior: Min. 10 Exterior: Min. 15 Exterior: Min. 10 Min. 20 Min. 20 Rear setback (feet) Building height (feet) Max. 35 Max. 35 For lots with an alley: Parking is required to be located adjacent to the alley. Parking is permitted to be located on the surface or in a garage. h Parking Zone For lots without an alley: Parking is permitted to be located on the surface or in a garage. The front setback for garages is specified in Parking Development and Design Standards, Garage Driveways Driveway spacing and width requirements are specified in Street Frontage, Frontage Types. See McMinnville Municipal Code Chapter 17.60 Off-street Parking Number of adjoining Max. 3 Max. 8 units and arrangement Required Shared Roof Form Required Street frontage Front vard Universal Design Private open space Standards and Subdivision Compatibility Standards that apply Subdivision Standards: Through Block, and Corner Common Greens

¹ Per McMinnville Municipal Code Section 17.54.050






² Interior side setback of 7.5 feet and exterior setbacks only apply to end units

VACANT LAND

- » HB 2001 amendments will apply to land within existing King City
- » Vacant land in existing King City is rare
- » The likelihood of middle housing infill within existing King City is low
- » Existing development pattern is already consistent with goals of middle housing, i.e., compact housing, attached forms, small lots



4.2 Transportation System Plan update (TSP)	
Discussion	

No Materials for this item.