

Board of Zoning Appeals

240 Columbus Ave Sandusky, Ohio 44870 419.627.5715 www.cityofsandusky.com

Agenda March 17, 2022 4:30 pm Virtual Meeting via Microsoft Teams and Live Streamed on <u>www.Youtube.com/CityofSanduskyOH</u>

- 1. Meeting called to order Roll Call
- 2. Review of minutes from the February 17, 2022 meeting
- 3. Swear in audience and staff members that will offer testimony on any agenda items
- 4. Adjudication hearing to consider the following:
 - 2001 Cleveland Road Area Variance
- 5. Other Business
- 6. Adjournment

Next Meeting: April 21, 2022

Please notify staff at least 2 days in advance of the meeting if you cannot attend.

Meeting called to order:

Chairman John Feick called the meeting to order at 4:30pm. The following voting members were present: Bill Semans and Gregg Peugeot. Mr. Feick asked if Mr. Delahunt could be excused from the meeting since he let staff know ahead of time that he would not be able to make it. Alec Ochs represented the Community Development Department. City Commission liaison Dave Waddington and clerk Kristen Barone were also present.

Review of minutes from January 20, 2022 meeting:

Dr. Semans moved to approve the minutes as submitted and Mr. Peugeot seconded. All voting members were in favor of the motion.

Swearing in of audience and staff members offering testimony on any agenda items:

Mr. Feick swore in everyone wishing to do so.

Adjudication Hearing:

1) 1211 Central Avenue Area Variance (tabled at last meeting)

Dr. Semans motioned to remove this item from the table and Mr. Peugeot seconded. All Voting members were in favor of the motion. Mr. Ochs then reminded the committee that the applicant is requesting a variance to zoning code section 1129.14 to allow construction of a back deck roughly two feet into the required two feet side yard setback. Since the applicant wants to build the deck up to the existing fence, staff and the board had questions on where exactly the fence is located in relation to the property line. Staff does not believe the proposal will bring a negative impact to the surrounding properties and therefore recommends approval. Applicant Nicole Lunato stated that the fence is about three inches from the property line and the deck will come up to about six inches from the fence. She said she will need a variance of roughly a foot. Mr. Feick asked if the deck is currently there. Ms. Lunato stated that it is. She said that she did not realize that she did not have a permit for the deck until after they had already started building it, but she did have a permit for the fence and the pool. Mr. Peugeot asked when the deck was built. Ms. Lunato replied that the deck was built this past fall/winter. The fence and the pool was put in during the summer. Dr. Semans made a motion to approve the variance and Mr. Peugeot seconded. Mr. Feick voted against the motion. Dr. Semans and Mr. Peugeot voted for the motion. The motion passed.

Adjournment:

Dr. Semans moved to adjourn and Mr. Peugeot seconded. The meeting ended at 4:39pm.

APPROVED:

Kristen Barone, Clerk

John Feick, Chairman

CITY OF SANDUSKY, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS REPORT

APPLICATION FOR AN AREA VARIANCE TO CONSTRUCT A MONUMENT SIGN IN THE REQUIRED FRONT SETBACK & WITHIN 250' OF ANOTHER FREESTANDING SIGN 2001 CLEVELAND RD. (PARCEL 57-05722.000).

Reference Number: PVAR22-0002

Date of Report: March 4, 2022

Report Author: Alec Ochs, Assistant Planner



City of Sandusky, Ohio Board of Zoning Appeals Report

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Applicant: Brady Sign Co. 1721 Hancock St. Sandusky, OH 44870 Owner: Cedar Point Park, LLC 1 Cedar Point Drive Sandusky, OH 44870 Site Location: 2001 Cleveland Rd. Sandusky, OH 44870 Zoning: **RB** - Residential Business Surrounding Zoning: North: RMF - Residential Multi-family East: RB - Roadside Business **CR** – Commercial Recreation South: RB - Roadside Business West: CR - Commercial Recreation Surrounding Uses: Business Existing Use: Resort Proposed Use: Resort Applicable Plans & Regulations: City of Sandusky Zoning Code Section: 1133 Business Districts, 1143 Sign Regulations

Variance Requested:

1) A variance to allow construction of a Monument sign in the 15' required front setback & within 250' of another freestanding sign

SITE DESCRIPTION

Subject Property Outlined in Blue





Photo of the Property (10/2013)









PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The applicant is proposing to install a monument sign on the corner of Cleveland Rd. and Harbour Pkwy. The sign will be double sided and internally illuminated. The installation will double as a photo oportunity for guests to engage with the Castaway Bay sign and to also add additional branding onto the property. The sign will be 7' 7" tall and roughy 17'4" wide. The additonal coral ellemets to the sign will be as tall as 10' 4" and the additional width will be roughly 24' 9". The sign is out of the right-of-way and is being placed in what is currently the parking lot. The proposed location is setback 5 feet from the right-of-way within the required front yard and 90 feet from the nearest freestanding sign.

The variances being requested are:

- 1. a 10' allowance into the minimum 15' front setback
- 2. A 160' allowance into the minmum 250' sign seperation requirement

PLANNING DIVISION COMMENTS

The first proposal was in the right-of-way and has sense been moved. Signs are not permitted in the public right-of-way without a permanent encroachment agreement. Our Public Works department recommends avoiding this process if possible. We appreciate the applicants and owner's response to the Public Works request to move this sign out of the right-of-way.

RELEVANT CODE SECTIONS

1143.08 ALLOWABLE SIGNAGE.

(c) Business and Commercial Districts.

(...) C. Regardless of the number of businesses or structures on a single parcel, one freestanding sign shall be permitted if the lot frontage is less than 500 feet. If the lot frontage is 500 feet or more, two freestanding signs shall be permitted provided the signs are at least 250 feet apart.

1143.09 SPECIFIC SIGN REQUIREMENTS.

(d) Monument Signs.

(1) May be located within the required front yard but not closer than fifteen feet or onehalf the required front yard setback, whichever is greater.

(2) Shall not be located within ten feet from a building on an adjacent lot and not less than thirty feet from another sign.

(3) Shall not be more than eight and one-half feet above grade.

(4) Shall not interfere with the line of sight for any vehicle.

The Code states that no variance to the provision or requirements of the Zoning Code shall be granted by the Board unless the Board has determined that a practical difficulty does exist or will result from the literal enforcement of the Zoning Code. The factors to be considered and weighed by the Board in determining whether a property owner has proved practical difficulty include:

Section 1111.06(c)(1)

- 1. Whether the variance is substantial;
 - i. Neither variance would be substantial:
 - In reference to item 1: There are a large number of existing signs along that right-of-way along US route 6.
 - In reference to item 2: The applicant has placed the sign as far east as possible.
- 2. Whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or whether adjoining property would suffer a substantial detriment as a result of the variance;
 - i. It would appear that the proposed sign would not substantially alter the character of the neighborhood or adjoining properties. Adding this sign to the corner inclusive of landscaping will beautify this corner which would otherwise be a surface parking lot. It is also noted that the sign will not hinder site lines for traffic.
- 3. Whether the variance would adversely affect the delivery of government services (i.e. water, sewer, garbage, fire, police or other);
 - i. The proposed variance would not affect the delivery of government services.
- 4. Whether the property owner purchased the property with the knowledge of the zoning restriction;
 - i. The owners were not aware of these restrictions.
- 5. Whether the property owner's predicament can be resolved through some method other than a variance;
 - i. The owners would need a variance to resolve the predicament.

- 6. Whether the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement would be observed and substantial justice done by the granting of the variance;
 - i. The granting of the variance would not violate the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement.
- 7. Whether the property will yield a reasonable return or whether there can be a beneficial use of the property without a variance; and
 - i. Yes, however, the guests have come to really enjoy and take advantage of these types of signs for photos + social media. Cedar Fair's sister hotel around the corner, Breakers Express, has the same kind of photoop sign along with its freestanding sign and guests use it all the time to document their stays.
- 8. Whether the granting of the variance will be contrary to the general purpose, intent and objective of the Zoning Code or other adopted plans of the City.
 - i. The granting of the variance would not be contrary to the intent and objective of the Zoning Code.

CONCLUSION/RECOMMENDATION

Staff is happy the applicant has moved the sign out of the public right-of-way. Staff also feels the sign will not bring a negative impact to the surrounding properties at 2001 Cleveland Rd. (parcel 57-05722.000). Staff supports the granting the variance with the following conditions:

1. All applicable permits are obtained through the Building Department, Engineering Department, and any other applicable agency prior to construction.



BOARD of ZONING APPEALS

Application for a Zoning Variance Department of Planning 240 Columbus Ave Sandusky, Ohio 44870 419.627.5891 www.cityofsandusky.com

Instructions to Applicants

MEETINGS 3rd Thursday of each month at 4:30 P.M.* – City Commission Chamber, First Floor of City Hall. *Meeting dates are subject to change. Please check www.cityofsandusky.com/BZA for an updated schedule.

DUE DATE FOR SUBMITTALS: Applications are due by 5:00 P.M. on the date of the preceding month's Board of Zoning Appeals meeting.

WHO MUST ATTEND: The property owner, or the authorized agent of the owner, must be present at the BZA meeting for all variance requests.

APPLICATION FEE: \$100

SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS: Please provide either a hard copy or electronic copy of the following:

- 1) Completed application
- 2) Copy of a site plan (drawn to scale and dimensioned) which shows the following
 - items (as applicable):
 - a) Property boundary lines
 - b) Building(s) location
 - c) Driveway and parking area locations
 - d) Location of fences, walls, retaining walls
 - e) Proposed development (additions, fences, buildings, etc.)
 - f) Elevation drawings for height variances
 - g) Setbacks from lot lines for existing & proposed construction
 - h) Location of other pertinent items (signs, outdoor storage areas, gasoline pump islands, etc.)

Please note that the granting of a variance is not a Building Permit. A separate Building Permit must be issued prior to all construction.

Submit application and materials to: City of Sandusky Department of Planning

240 Columbus Ave. Sandusky, OH 44870

Contact Thomas Horsman, Assistant Planner, at 419-627-5715 or thorsman@ci.conducky.ch.us with any questions

Application for Board of Zoning Appeals

STAFF USE ONLY:	
Filing Date:Hearing Date	e:Reference Number:
Address of Property (or parcel number) for Vari	iance Request: 2001 Cleveland Rd W
Name of Property Owner: Ledar F	POINT PARK LLC.
Mailing Address of Property Owner: / C-	
$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	State:Zip:_Zip:
Telephone #: 419-427-2350 E	mail: <u>Carrie. Doldman @cedarpoint.</u> com (ontact)
If same as above check here	
Name of Applicant: Brady Sign.	s Co.
Mailing Address of Applicant: 1721 -	
City: SANDUSKY	State: 0H Zip: 44870
	mail: ryan@bradysisws.com
P	lease cc: monica Obradysigns.com
the resort as well as s	
Variance Requested: We are reques	ting two variances:
the minumum setles it	feet. Our sign sits back from US 6.5
2) A between sign distance var ing pylon sign and proposed a Minimum allowable distance be Section(s) of Zoning Code: Properties th	MANCE of 90 ft. The distance between the e nonoment sign on this property is 160 ft. The tween two signs per code is 250 ft. on hat have parcel frontage of greater than 50
) Set back VARIAN CE- 1143	.08 Allowable Signage (c)(z)(C)
2) Distance Between Sing 1	Aviantia IIII an Ci D
Je s me se se se sign j	Variance - 1143.09 Sign Requirements
Carrie Boldman Digitally signed by Carrie Boldman Date. 2022.02.18 11:50:29-05:00	2/18/22
Signature of Property Owner Date	Signature of Authorized Agent Date
APPLICATION #BZA-001	UPDATED 12/2/2019
	Page 2 of 4

PRACTICAL DIFFICULTIES

(For ALL variance requests)

According to Chapter 1111.06(c)(1) of the Sandusky Code of Ordinances, the Board of Zoning Appeals must determine that a "practical difficulty" exists in order to approve a variance. The Board must consider the following factors. Please completely fill out all sections:

- Would the variance be substantial? Neither VARIANCE would be substantial? For the setback variance there are many other existing signs along that stretch of US6 that sit very close to the right of way. 2) For the distance between signage variance the location chose was the furthest location fast on the property available to us.
 Would the variance substantially alter the character of the neighborhood or would adjoining property
- 2) vouid the variance substantially alter the character of the neighborhood or would adjoining property owners suffer a substantial detriment because of the variance? No the variance would not alter the character of the neighborhood or adjoining properties. Adding this sign to the would otherwise be a surface parking lot. We would also note that this sign will be far enough back that it will not hinder site unes for tracter of the delivery of government services (e.g. water, sewer, fire,
- police)?
- 4) Was the property purchased with the knowledge of the zoning restrictions?

No

5) Can the property owner's predicament be resolved through some method other than a variance?

NO

6) Would the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement would be observed and substantial justice done by the granting of the variance?


Yes

- 7) Would the property yield a reasonable return or can there be a beneficial use of the property without a variance? Yes it would. However, our guests have come to really enjoy and take advantage of these types of signs for photod social media. Cedar Taik's sister hotel around the corner, Breakers Express has the star size.
- hotel around the corner, Breakers Express, has the same Kind of photo. Op-sign along with its freestanding sign and greats use it all the time to document their Respective Stars. 8) Would the granting of the variance be contrary to the general purpose, intent and objective of the Zoning Code or other adopted plans of the City?

No

APPLICATION #BZA-001

UPDATED 12/2/2019



^{2000 0 10 -} Price 201 - 21 20 201 11 - 2222 (4. 211 - FM F- March March 1-95 (0F (0x0)x0 2020 - 2 (x0 - 2 (x0

