

Chairman Dana Gamblin called the meeting to order.

Members present: Dana Gamblin, Sharon Johnson, Gary Gundelsberger

Members absent: Walt Matthews, Janice Warner, Don Sharrah

Staff present: Steve Rucker, Housing Manager; Scott Thom, Building Official; Ron Snyder, Angela Kotsopoulos, Jahn Farris, Dante Shipp, Code Compliance Officers; Sarah Chiappone, Law Clerk.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Upon motion of Ms. Johnson and second of Mr. Gundelsberger, the members voted to approve the minutes of the October 25, 2022, meeting. The motion passed.

Those wishing to give testimony were sworn in by Treka Parker.

OLD BUSINESS

- **Recalling EN2021-0405 April Riffle is appealing the Notice and Order of Demolition of 809 Hancock Street, Sandusky, Ohio 44870 Parcel Number 57-03140.000**
Ms. Gamblin – asked the attorney for Ms. Riffle to state his name for the record. **Derek Liska** passed out an inspection report by Feick Design Group for the Board to review. He had a couple of questions for the City Building Inspector as to how the City determined some of its numbers. Just so that we have a full record. How the City got its numbers on deterioration and that I want to be on the record because John disagrees, so if we want to have a clear picture so if we have to have a review by the Courts that's set in the record. **Liska** How was the foundation in this property inspected? **Scott Thom** you are looking at the outside predominately because there's a crawl space under this and it looked like it was very shallow. **Liska** So you didn't actually physically inspect this foundation? **Scott** No, I inspected it from the outside. **Liska** But you said it was 50% deteriorated. **Scott** It looks pretty bad to me. What I see above ground looks bad. It looks like it's falling in some locations. **Liska** Right. Ok. Well John said that if you can't actually visually inspect it you can't have a 50% deterioration and he said that the foundation is sound. **DG** Is John here today? **Liska** His report is it's in his report. Okay. **DG** It's in his report but again I mean we may have some questions for him. So, I know the understanding was you were going to talk to Mr. Feick but. **Liska** You asked for a report to come from Mr. Feick. **DG** Right. A report but Mr. Feick we only can read what's here so again like you have questions and **Liska** It is in the report what I'm referencing. **DG** But we still may have questions of him. **Liska** Okay well if we have to have another hearing then I will possibly have to do that. **DG** We may have to. **Liska** Ok. Also, the exterior frame was listed at 60% deteriorated how did you come to that conclusion? **Scott** Its's based on you know in all our you look down at the very bottom of my report it based off the National Association of Home Builders. It's the published annual percentages of different components and phases. So, I mean those percentages are coming from there. **Liska** Well. **Scott** I got a spreadsheet I plug it into. **Liska** Is it fair to say that you hear a wall ceilings and exterior walls are all completely covered? **Scott** What? **Liska** In this house all these components are actually covered and you're not available for visible inspection? **Scott** Well, no you didn't do demolition work to that point yet. We can actually look and see what's rotted and stuff like that. But there's a whole wall that is all sunk down in one area where you can tell the room conditions all rotted out. **Liska** So, but you can't do a physical inspection? **Scott** Right. **Liska** And that is in the report that the South wall that's where the chimney is attached to the wall? **Scott** It's an outside wall on the south side I mean you can see where the whole floor dips down that's usually a pretty good sign it indicated that the rim joist is probably all rotted out the wall partially. Granted I didn't see it. But when you see settling of that nature and something's

causing it right? **Liska** Right. And that is the wall that Mr. Feick does in his reports they need to be repaired he believes he can do that for \$11,000.00. And the garage needs to be demolished but that's not a part of the actual house structure. The issue is that we are over the 50% that allows for condemnation in this house. But we don't actually have physical inspections of two of the primary foundations and raw structures that gets us there. And Mr. Feick is saying that he doesn't believe the south needs to be condemned. He can do the structural repairs for about \$20,000 which make the house sound. Which is the repair of the stairwell and repair of the wall to address the sloping. There was testimony at the last hearing that the wall the floors were unsound and not safe to walk on. In Mr. Feick's report he disagrees with that assessment. The bathroom is fine to walk on. The floors are sound. We have video of people jumping on these floors. I was shocked at the testimony that was presented at the last hearing. It's a \$20,000 job to fix the soundness of this house. And then there's an additional on cosmetics that is extensive. Mr. Feick went there after a significant rainstorm substantial rain. There's no leakage from the roof. There are some places are starting to look worn so there would be some patch. I know that there was some consternation over the low bid on repairing the roof but the roof is structurally sound at this juncture and time. And top the house does need substantial cosmetic repairs on the inside but this is that's not what you would condemn a house for demolition. **Scott** Based off of if it was in the condition it was when it was brand new and what did they just buy this house for at Sheriff's Sale? **Liska** Oh a fraction of what's actually worth. **Scott** What was the sale price? **Liska** Like Seven or Eight Thousand Dollars. **Scott** And you're looking to put \$20,000.00 in? **Liska** Yes. **Scott** You see that you see where that you just over 200 % over what you paid for it. **Liska** What you're talking about **Scott** You see what I'm saying from a percentage standpoint? That's what I'm looking at. **Liska** There isn't a Sheriff sale in the City of Sandusky you couldn't condemn them. **Scott** But the point is it's based off of the percentage of deterioration and you just said you're gonna put \$20,000 in just the foundation and in that wall. **Liska** No. \$20,000 is just to replace the stairwell inside the house and \$11,000 to fix the wall foundation. **Scott** And that's Mr. Feick also did the demolition right? **Mr. Liska** What? **Scott** Mr. Feick didn't do demolition to it did he? **Liska** No. **Scott** So he's looking at things a little kind of the same lens that I'm looking at it. You can't see under the wall to see what's there but when you start tearing into it you don't know what you're going to find as well. **Liska** Right. **Scott** but they're different damage. I could be rotted wood damage. There could be a host of a lot of things that you could run into. **Liska** There's lot of things that could happen but those are not actual facts right now. Those are just possibilities. **Scott** I agree but I am just saying when you start looking at stuff and your structural failing components. **Liska** Mr. Feick didn't write a report where he said that the foundation was 50% ruined. And he did not write a report that said that the exterior frame was 60% ruined. Which without having actual visual observation which is just crazy to me that we would literally take property from somebody and demolish a house based on guess work. Which is what that is. We're willing to do \$20,000.00 to make this house structurally sound. We have Seth Hernstein from Feick contractors to oversee this project but he cannot start until early March. We're asking for an extension for him to do that. **Gary Gundelsberger** I agree with our chairperson that it would have been beneficial to have either Mr. Feick or a representative of Mr. Feick be here to discuss this and discuss the report. I added up all of the numbers that are indicated here as a cost involved and I come up with \$88,250. Is that what your number is? **Liska** If we were to do all the cosmetic repairs on the inside, yes. That is to make that house completely new. **GG** Well I'm guessing that you would be putting that type of money forward if you want to make it occupiable. **Liska** Correct. **GG** So the answer is that \$88,250. If and that's known that's a known estimate. **Liska** Yes. **GG** We still have issues that we're not clear on and for example, the foundation, HVAC all of that. So frankly, I would be in agreement to table this for 30 days so that we can have someone from Mr. Feick's office be here to answer these questions and we'll go from there. **Liska** Okay. **GG** But how are you coming on the remitting this back to the County? I thought that somewhere in the minutes I read that there was some conversation about you getting

money back for the purchase. **Liska** The problem is that's not going to happen and the reason why that is I'm trying to avoid that to be honest with you. We're trying to reach a path but we don't have to go into litigation because the only way to do that would be go through litigation to sue the City and to go into that fight. The County didn't do anything wrong because there was no lien on the property so they put up on Sheriff's sale. The question becomes and there this house was being was order condemned and the sat on for 10 months. I did asked for the correspondence with the attorneys that were sent with I didn't receive it. But at this juncture time I don't know if it's financially feasible to get into that Feick we prefer not to we think there's a path to rest to reform this property without having to go that route. Obviously, you know that's not that's something we may have to do but we're trying not to do that disjuncture. So we're trying to cooperate with this Board, get this property fixed so that she's not my client's not taking financial loss on it and then everybody can just move on about their day. Obviously, we don't want a house that's unsafe to the public. We understand that. **Sharon Johnson** Ok, sir how much money are you willing is she willing to put into this? **Liska** For a bare minimum of the \$20,000 to make a structurally sound. **SJ** Okay your figure is Twenty Thousand into this house. Is that correct? **LISKA** Yes, is the bare minimum to make it structurally sound. **SJ** Okay, well I'm seeing what Gary's seeing here. I'm seeing a lot more than \$20,000 here. **Liska** Well, there's a difference between structural and cosmetic and you made that point yourself ma'am at the last hearing that there's a difference between the cosmetic and the structural. After the structural most this cost is actually in man hour labor on the actual interior repair on this the cosmetic work that's going to be primarily man hours. Now if my client owns property, she would have to certify herself to do the work. She'd have to come in and get bonded with the City which she may consider doing but that would drop a lot of the price itself. After the house is structurally sound it may be appropriate for a first-time homeowner as a fixer-upper to buy this house and work on it themselves. But the main thing is to get it structurally sound so it's under that 50% so it doesn't have to be condemned. **SJ** I'm not good with you just putting \$20,000 into this house. I'm not good with that. **Liska** To understand what the basis of that is. **SJ** And when you when you try to divide it out like cosmetic and construction and constructional. I'm looking at the overall project here cost. **Liska** Right. Ma'am but the Board's authority to demolish the house based on certain priorities have certain functions and certain percentages and if you don't have that 50 you lose the authority to demolish the house. And ma'am you're the one who brought up at the last hearing between well I don't want cosmetic fixes what are the structural fixes for this property? Which is why it was broken down that way between the construction or with the structural damages to issues like issues with foundation or wall supports, fixing those stairs at first. We need to do new bathroom fixtures or a new coat of paint or we need to replace some boards. There's a substantial difference between the two of them. What makes the house safe one is listen that's a horrible coat of paint and it's redone those are two different things ma'am. **SJ** I'm not satisfied with you just doing cosmetic stuff at \$20,000. **Liska** That's not cosmetic ma'am that's a structural. **DG** Okay. So we're gonna wind this up here. **GG** Beside, aside from that the report doesn't indicate. It's indicating \$58,000 for electrical costs. I don't see anything on heating. **Liska** The house does have a furnace. **GG** The question is, is it serviceable? Is it usable? I don't see anything that would say yes, it is serviceable or no it needs to be replaced. These are costs that are hidden that need to be exposed. That's some of our concern and the other thing is the owners the owner here? You are the owner? We would want some assurance from your financial institution that you are financially capable of meeting the demands that are going to be placed on this if we move forward with a renovation. So you would need to come back to the meeting the next meeting that would December 29th? Yes, Thursday, December 29th and provide documentation from your banking institution that you are financially capable of accepting this job. Okay? **DG** And we are requesting Mr. Feick be present. **GG** Or someone from his office to answer this report. I'm pleased to see that you have something for us to review but there's still questions that you can't answer that only they can answer. **Liska** Fair enough. **GG** Okay. **Liska** Yep. Thank you for your time today. **SJ** I still this

is pretty basic here what Feick put down here. Like Gary said it's not specific what kind of electrical and plumbing are we looking at and I just need more of a breakdown. **DG** Well, that's why we're requesting Mr. Feick someone from his office come. **SJ** Or maybe a contractor with estimates to come in. **Liska** Seth Hernstein who works with Mr. Feick is actually doing that. He just won't be actually able to start on the project til March. That's what he's indicated to my client. **DG** That's fine, if he has a plan that needs to be submitted as well. **Liska** We don't have that yet. He's going out I believe this upcoming week. It's just a matter of getting people scheduled in. **DG** No that's fine but the next meeting is not until December 29th, I'm quite sure he can get that put together by the 29th. **Liska** I'm hopeful too. **DG** Ok. **GG** And the last part of what I would have to say is anyone who is working on this project needs to be registered with the City of Sandusky in order to do this work. **Liska** Right. Which is why we're going through John, so we don't run a foul of any of the local ordinances. **GG** Thank you. Then in that case, I'll make a motion to table this issue for 30 days and Mr. Feick or his representative will return and explain the report that we have in front of us, we will have financial documentation of the owner's ability to finance the project and we're clear on who can excuse me who can work on this job and who cannot. **Liska** Yes, sir. **SJ** Second. The motion passed.

Discussions about adding a new member to the HAB and variance requirements.

New business:

Member Sharon Johnson abstained from Case Nos. EN21-1762 and EN22-0446, which lead into discussions with the Law Director for a ruling if there's a quorum or not to hear these cases.

- Case No. EN22-0296 – April Rowe is appealing the First Administrative Penalty for 1524 Columbus Avenue, Sandusky, OH Parcel #57-03309.000 **Ron Snyder**, Code Compliance Officer assigned to Case #EN 22-0296S stated that he received a complaint concerning an offense to the rear of the residence being dilapidated and discoloration to the residence due to a house a fire that had a number of years prior to April 6, 2022. On April 7th an inspection of the exterior of the residence he found charred siding on the north and east sides; center near the stairs to where he could see that the pane was chipping off the back of the residence; the fence to the rear of the property was found in a dilapidated state and one section of the fence to the north side it was laying on the ground section of the fence; on the south side was missing slats; missing panels; and untreated wood. A notice of an order of violations letter was sent to Ms. Rowe, the property owner on April 11, 2022 and a follow-up inspection was scheduled for May 9, 2022. Ms. Rowe and Mr. Snyder had discussions regarding the repairs being done at the residence. On the May 9, 2022 reinspection, Mr. Snyder found that some of the work had been done. He gave her a courtesy extension and pushed that date out to June 10, 2022. He found that someone was working on the fence. It was evident that the property had been taking steps again to abate the above issues at the time of his June 15, 2022 follow-up inspection. Another courtesy extension was granted to Ms. Rowe. Upon his reinspection on August 16, 2022, Ms. Rowe had power washed the charring off of the residence. Another extension was granted. On September 19, 2022 reinspection it was found that no changes to the property, no additional work was conducted, subsequently, a First Administrative Penalty was administered for \$100. Ms. Rowe filed an appeal to the penalty. **Ms. Rowe and the HAB had discussions regarding the repairs to the property and her paying people and them not showing up to do the repairs to the house. She stated that she had to make the repairs by**

herself. Further discussions about what was left to do on the house to bring it up to Code and new deadlines were established for Springtime 2023 due to weather conditions and for her to find someone to climb ladders to make the necessary repairs. Sharon Johnson Made a motion to waive the first administrative penalty and six months to make repairs. The board voted unanimously to waive the first administrative penalty and six months to make repairs. The motion passed.

Law Clerk stated that the Law Director said that when a member abstains from voting on a motion her vote will be considered as a voting no on that motion and just so you all are aware a motion for this Board is considered approved if the motion is approved by the majority of the members present.

- **Ron Snyder, Case No. EN21-1762 – Donovan Stiltner is appealing the Second Administrative Penalty for 1326 Fifth Street, Sandusky, OH 44870, Parcel #57-05378.000.** On October 1, 2021 a private citizen alerted Code Compliance of a gutter issues on the east side of Mr. Stiltner's property. After an investigation of the property it was discovered that gutters were sagging or drooping away from the fascia board and other violations of the Environmental Housing Code. One being an exterior to the residence had crumbling or flaking, loose paint and the unattached garage roofs had deteriorating or crumbling shingles and sunken areas. Notice and Order of Violations letter was sent to Mr. Stiltner. On 11/05/2021 a reinspection was conducted and it was found that nothing had been addressed and at that time an Administrative Penalty was administered for \$100. Mr. paid the \$100 penalty. On 12/07/2021 a courtesy extension was granted due to inclement weather. On 12/07/2021, Mr. Stiltner informed Ron that he had purchased some new windows and now he was having financial issues. A courtesy inspection was pushed out to May 12, 2022. Because of weather the next inspection was scheduled on June 14, 2022. Mr. Stiltner contacted Code requesting additional time due to him not being able to find a contractor. A two-month extension was granted. August 12, 2022, Mr. Stiltner was still having issues finding a contractor and granted extension to September 14, 2022. On September 14, 2022, inspection found that the residence was almost completely painted no work on the garage and/or the garage roof. Mr. Stiltner stated that the work on the garage would cost \$3,200.00. Code requested to see copies of the estimates. More extensions were given to Mr. Stiltner by Code. On October 9, 2022 a scheduled inspection was conducted and it was found that no further work had been done on this property. The house was almost completely painted but no more than what it had been on the previous inspection and as a result a Second Administrative Penalty was administered for \$500.00. ***Discussions between Mr. Stiltner and Board Members about the three contractors Mr. Stiltner paid cash to do work for him but never completed and/or started work on the property, making a police report, costs of supplies and labor as well as his financial readiness for paying new contractors to make all of the necessary repairs.*** Gary Gundelsberger Made a Motion to extend time to make repairs to March or April, reduce penalty to \$250 and for Mr. Stiltner to get a signed contract for the repairs and provide it to Code Enforcement. It was moved and second. The motion passed.
- **Ron Snyder: Case No. EN22-0446 – Eric Hand is appealing the Third Administrative Penalty for 1106 Fifth Street, Sandusky, OH 44870, Parcel #57-04256.000.** On April 29, 2022 Ron observed a garage to the rear of the property had a number of Environmental Housing Violations. One of which was the garage shingles were deteriorating, crumbling and missing. The exterior of the garage had flaking and crumbling paint which was given it a chalky appearance and the garage had no gutters and/or downspouts. It was also noted the residence was also in violation. Deteriorating and crumbling

shingles, flaking or crumbling paint, lot of moss on the westside section of the residence and paint coming off the eastside of the residence. A Notice and Order Violations Letter was sent to the property owner, Eric Hand and a reinspection date of June 3, 2022. On June 3, 2022 reinspection, Code found that no work had been done to the residence nor contact made by owner concerning the write-up. A First Administrative Penalty was administered and later paid. On July 6, 2022 re-inspection progress was noted on the property and on the garage and a courtesy extension was granted pushing out the re-inspection to August 8, 2022. On that date another re-inspection was conducted, and progress was being made and a courtesy extension was sent to the homeowner for September 9, 2022. On September 9th re-inspection date no work had been done again and no contact from Mr. Hand. A Second Administrative Penalty was given and \$500 was paid by Mr. Hand. On the new reinspection date of October 13, 2022 found that no additional work was performed and subsequently a Third Administrative Penalty of \$1000 was administered as well as procedurally that matter then results in a criminal charge and the matter goes in front of the Sandusky Municipal Court. On October 21, 2022, Mr. Hand contacted Ron Snyder after receiving the Third Administrative Penalty. Mr. Hand stated that he was unaware of where the original write-up and that there were 2 pages to the letter. He had only corrected the issues on the 2nd page which primarily concerning the issues with the garage. He claimed that the write-up was filed away by his new wife. Code advised the property owner that if he felt the Third Administrative penalty was unjust to file an appeal. On October 28th an appeal was filed.

Discussions were had between Mr. Hand and the HAB regarding the penalties, his payment of same, as well as the need to correct the repairs to the residence and garage and communication between him and Code Compliance Officer. Mr. Hand stated that he was recently married and his new wife had filed the paperwork away and later found the original letter. Communication with Code Compliance and Residents are very important. A list of registered contractors were given to Mr. Hand along with reducing the penalty amount to \$250 to put the other money towards roofing and getting a date from Code to come out to reinspect the property. Gary Gundelsberger made a motion to waive the criminal charges, to report to Code for a reinspection and pay \$250 penalty. Sharon Johnson abstained. Motion was second and passed.

- **Ron Snyder, Jahn Farris and Dante Shipp: Case No. EN22-1592 - Shameka Owens is appealing the Write Up for 519 Decatur Street, Sandusky, OH 44870, Parcel #59-00271.000** – On August 26, 2022 Code Compliance Office received an anonymous caller complaint of a noxious or offensive odors at the above address. After contacting the caller an inspection was scheduled for August 29, 2022. Upon arriving at the residence no offensive odors were detected. Ron did observe a number of Environmental Housing Violations while there. The residential numeric indicators could not be seen from the public access 45 feet due to being painted the same color as the residence. Residential windows have no screens in them; eaves on the southside of the residence 3 separate areas where eaves started to separate, lack of drip edge, shingles pulled away, downspout concerns on the front porch of the residence downspout missing, insufficient downspouts length, drooping gutters and exterior maintenance concerns. A Notice and Order Violation Letter was mailed to Ms. Shameka Moore. On September 27, 2022, Ron Snyder received an email from Ms. Moore asking for the original complaint. In Mr. Snyder's email response to Ms. Moore, he indicated the information was sent and

asked if she was aware that an inspection was scheduled for the next day, September 28th, and if she had a chance to either comprise a plan of action in or line up someone to do the work. She could ask for an extension which Code would grant her additional 30 days. Ms. Moore responded saying she was not aware and yes if so grant the extension. There were discussions regarding Ms. Moore's tenant working in Akron and needing to make other arrangements. Ms. Moore went on to say that she was not in agreement with the majority of the complaints and that she and her tenant were in conversation. Ms. Moore's 12:21 pm email she indicated that she was still waiting on the tenant to contact her and that the Erie County Dog Warden had been at the address concerning a vicious dog. She also reiterated that the 30-day extension would be appreciated. Mr. Snyder responded indicating the letter would go out in the same day showing a new inspection date of October 28, 2022. Photos of the residence were taken and confirmed that that nothing had been done prior to that, courtesy letter was extended her timeline. Ms. Moore filed a motion on October 18, 2022 for an appeal the initial write-up claiming that the file was closed then reopened and that she's being harassed, and hostile actions are being taken against here once again. **Ms. Moore** – said a prayer before beginning her testimony. She stated that some of the people still going through the same thing she went through at 816 W. Market. She wanted to know board member "Sharon Johnson's relationship to the previous case that just came forward". **Ms. Moore** stated that she was asking because she felt that for the past 16 years that Ms. Johnson was "so on it as far as you know the rules and in order and everything like that but you were very very precise and strong on the variance of the 30-day inspection that the City is supposed to come out and inspect. But all I'm saying is you need to have that same fire for everybody. Not just a certain type of people or a certain group that you know. And I'm not wrestling no feathers here, I'm just speaking on what I see you understand." **Sharon Johnson** What's your question on the variance? **Ms. Moore** Yes. **SJ** Okay. The variance sometimes we give a variance that's not on everybody. **Ms. Moore** But isn't that the law? **SJ** That's when we give a variance out on mostly on the demolitions. **Ms. Moore** Well? Right, but what she was trying to show Ms. Dana, right. You were very adamant about that. Where is that in that variance because if that's the law for the City of Sandusky it shouldn't be just for anybody. It should be for everybody. **SJ** It's in the guidelines. You can ask for that. **Ms. Moore** From whom? **SJ** You can ask for it okay. It's public record. It's in the last paragraph. It's a two-page variance. **Ms. Moore** Ok. Yeah. I just noticed that, so I figured how to be a family member. And then I looked at all the special privileges that they got. I'm sitting here like why **SJ** We have granted a variance for. **Ms. Moore** Ok, right. But what I'm saying but have the fight for everybody just not certain people. But back to 519 Decatur Street. Where my problem come in at is this it was a lot that wasn't brought up. First of all, the stuff that needs to be repaired on there I will do it again. It was already done less than three four months ago. It's a destructive teenager that's there who has per the dog warden that came to my house that is the City of Sandusky Police scared that has these guys in danger and everything else. It's a police report for that. Ok. They said that its knives out here and this and I'm not going to send me and nobody else in that danger. I'm not going to shoot nobody. I get in trouble. But when my problem comes in is that this when Dante came and he was very gracious to me and gave me time and extension to fix all this stuff, the gutters and everything. I sent pictures to Dante's email and everything showing that the stuff was done. Everything was closed off. I went on to the next project. Right. I live at 1126 Sycamore Line. The girl that lives there stating that the City is taking her trash can and she's getting these charges and this that and I don't know. So what I did was I called Cyclone to see if she's had

continuous trash. She has. So then Ron says and this is this is where I want to make a motion at Ron said that someone we still don't know who this someone is and every time it comes to Shameka it's always imaginary people but everybody else they have documents but someone smells something. So then I go over and Ron you my boy, I'm just saying how I went okay so I went over as Ron's saying and I didn't smell nothing. Right. Now that's what he just said here. But when you and I talked he told me that somebody stepped in some stuff a big thing of boo-boo and that's what got the investigation going. So, what I am saying is this again I'm standing here being profiled again. It's not lawful. There is no City code that says you can walk past the house and step in boo-boo and then say Oh man let me do this this that that doesn't happen. I am tired. No, I'm not. I'm not even tired of coming from this board no more. I think it's funny. I think it's I'm don't even think its sick no more. I think it's funny. You know and I sit back and listen now this one lady here on Hancock Street. I listen. Your people that you that you didn't vote on, I listen. I watch. Same don't go for Shameka. Thought it don't go for that now what I'm saying is this again and not taking vouch he can look at the emails that's why I have to send emails. All that stuff was fixed. The tenant is going so far as saying that the City is the one tearing this stuff up. Now I don't even know if I believe that but what I do know is I live on Sycamore and I'm tired of taking the blame. Now if the City got a problem with Prentice kid Kitchen's who's staying there or whatever that has nothing to do with Shameka. Now granted I will do these repairs but y'all gotta stop allowing the crew to do people like this. And it's and it's that simple. Now right is right wrong is wrong. When we in court this should be called unclean hands and whenever you come into a court with unclean hands nobody gets awarded nothing. This is unclean hands. Who's the person that stepped in the boo-boo? Unclean hands. So, if y'all want to give an extension but when this girl move out they're trying to find a place. I can't keep going and spending money and time fixing stuff. As you can see in the picture they got a whole chair up there where the gutters and stuff is at I don't know if they turn I don't know I don't know. I can't lie on nobody's kid but what I'm saying is not fair to me. Its not fair for them to keep coming out dealing with it and it's not fair for this mother who's stressed out her is going some stuff that's what I'm saying. What you do to one you have to do to all. It's not right that you know somebody and so you want to go find laws to defend this person but the next people that come up you want to chop their head off talking cosmetic versus structural. That's not right. It's not. So, I am willing to make the repairs that I've already done. But I need this harassment to stop because it's getting kind of freaky.

DG Okay. So, I got a question. **Ms. Moore** Sure. **DG** So, all right so it seems like the first violation was sent out on September 28th. He said he gave you an extension to October 28th but then we're here because of the actual write-up. So I guess my question is with everything that has been put on here because you did get a copy of the violation order? **Ms. Moore** Yep. **DG** So how much time do you need to fix the order? **Ms. Moore** Being all the way real with the weather and the winter and stuff coming up and stuff. I'm probably looking like everybody else in April or March too. You know but I want to get these people out Dana. **DG** Put them out. I mean you know the landlord tenant rights. If they tear up your property that's the thing to do. Put as a month-to-month tenant and give them a 3-day notice. **Ms. Moore** I can't do that that easy like that. I'm Shameka. That's lawsuit heaven. Especially if she's saying the City's doing it. Then it's like when you're rolling with the city I have to have proof. So why is not the first question. Ron who is the person that stepped in the boo-boo to get this going? **DG** Well I mean first of all when somebody makes a complaint they can give a complaint anonymously. So, I mean anybody can do a complaint of knowledge. That's why they go out and follow up. **Ms. Moore** It was anonymous?

DG He said that. He said somebody called anonymously. So he made sure that he said it in his statement. **Ron Snyder** When you had asked for the copy of the report from the initial you were given a snippet of the actual BS&A which is our records management system showing that was called in. I mean I sent that to you in an email. **Ms. Moore** and I remember you told me about stepping in boo-boo. But the smell. You didn't tell me that? Remember, I record everything. **RS** If the gentleman who had called this in said that he had stepped in it, I don't recall, if he indicated that. He said when he walked by the front of the residence the main door was open and then it stunk and he was aware that there was some large dogs there. **Moore** So, you didn't tell me that somebody stepped in some manure? **RS** He may have and I may have I don't know but as far as that goes I don't recall necessarily indicating any one person stepped in dog feces. So. **Moore** Okay so that's the situation the stuff was all the way done. Everything was finished. Everything was done. Dante came and he inspected it everything was finished. So that's the situation. But again everybody worked for the city. I'm not blaming none of these people that have to come and be my opponent because this is their bread and butter. But the city should stop making people their workers stress. Because I am not stressed any more. My stress gone. But it's not right. You got some people that sit right here in this little room or their names is here and they the ones doing this puppet stuff. They trying to come at me and they're using these guys. It's crazy but whatever. Yeah, like you know just soon as it can get you know the weather break and I can get somebody over there to do it If you want to me March or April that'd be cool but I'm tired of harassment.

DG Questions. **GG** Well, if I understand things correctly a complaint was received the inspector had a duty to go out and inspect the complaint. **Moore** Historically changing Mr. Gundelsberger sorry Chaz dad. **RS** Shameka does it - do you understand that even if a complaint wasn't filed as a code compliance person if I drive up the street and see an infraction I can get out and stand on public access and still write up any violations on the residence? There doesn't have to be a complaint. **Moore** And that's why I'm confused. **RS** What would I gain by telling you that there was a complaint filed. **Moore** What do you mean? **RS** You're saying that apparently they - you're kind of looking at it as saying that no there wasn't a complaint filed. **Moore** No, I'm not. **RS** The skepticism. **Moore** What I'm saying is the person that followed the complaint is one your own. That's what I'm saying. **RS** You are standing there and acting as if there was no complaint filed. **Moore** No. **RS** When I told you **Moore** What I'm saying is what I'm saying is that one of your own filed a complaint and that's the same thing what's going on with the NAACP, John Orzech and everything. It is not about calling them. It's about what's going on you know what's going on. You understand but it aint nothing with you. **DG** Shameka, Shameka - You both can't be talking at the same time. Both of y'all cannot talk at the same time. **Moore** We were conversing. **DG** What I'm saying is you're on record. **Moore** I know. **DG** Again both of y'all cannot talk at the same time. If you got something to say fine. Then let him respond to that. But both of y'all talking at the same time it's not getting anywhere. So, go ahead the present time is we're here for this writeup so do you guys want to give her the extension to March or April? I don't think Mr. Snyder has a problem with that but everything that's in here has to be done. Simple as that. **GG** What you need to understand **Moore** Yes, sir. **GG** when he was on the property when he was in the public right away okay and made an observation of violations that exist he has a responsibility to deal with it. **Moore** That was corrected less than two months ago. See that's the thing. You're not pulling up the other people in the office who closed this case. This case was closed and reopened based on "I smelled something" and then the next story is I stepped in boo-boo. That's what I'm saying. I'm not saying this stuff does not need to be

fixed. Again, I'm not saying that. **GG** May I speak? **Moore** Sure. **GG** This photo right here can you see what I'm referencing here? **Moore** You look at a tree. You are looking at 519 Decatur. **GG** Yeah. What's this here? **Moore** 519 Decatur. **GG** You know why that's there? It's the address. It's required. Code requires it. **Moore** Does the code say what color? **GG** Code requires it to be a different color from the background. **Moore** Code says that? **GG** I'm sorry. **Moore** Code says that? **GG** Code says that. **Moore** I swap it out. **GG** And if you doubt that, ask for a documentation or you can go online anytime you want and research the Code yourself. All that information is online. **Moore** Trust me this is bigger than that. You are skipping past the first thing. Why was it reopened when it was already closed? That's cool though because that's what you do. **GG** I can't answer. **Ms. Moore** But it's cool. Ok. **SJ** Could I say something? **DG** Yes. **SJ** Miss Moore you are the homeowner. Okay. You are responsible person. **Ms. Moore** For what? **SJ** We cannot control what your tenant is doing, if it's busting if they're busting up your place we cannot control that. You can control that. Okay. I'm going to make a motion that this write-up stays. **Moore** How can you make a motion? I already said it was going to. That's what I'm saying about the difference that you make. **SJ** Excuse me. I'm making a motion **Moore** I don't care. **SJ** I'm making a motion that the writeup stays and that we give her what March to get this done. **Moore** I want April like the rest of the people you just did. The same amount of time you gave your homies that's the same amount of time I want. **SJ** What do you recommend sir the inspector (Ron). **RS** It would be no different than if it was any residence that's got aesthetics. At this time of year, I would push them out to probably the middle of April. **SJ** Okay. **RS** We'll see where the weather is. **SJ** Because there is a weather problem. There is a weather problem right now. Okay. So we'll give the write-up stays and we give you until April to get this done. That's it. You'll be on your way to a first penalty then if it's not done. Okay? That's my motion. Okay is there a second. **DG** Second. It's been moved and properly second. The motion passed.

ADJOURNMENT

Ms. Gamblin made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Gundelsberger/Johnson second the motion. All members voted in favor and the meeting was adjourned.

NEXT MEETING:
December 29, 2022

Attest: Treka Parker, Housing Clerk
Date: 12/29/2022

Gary Gundelsberger, Vice-Chairperson
Date: 12/29/2022