



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE  
PLAN COMMISSION, VILLAGE OF TINLEY PARK,  
COOK AND WILL COUNTIES, ILLINOIS**

**OCTOBER 5, 2017**

The Regular Meeting of the Plan Commission was held in the Council Chambers of Village Hall on October 5, 2017 at 7:00 p.m.

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

**ROLL CALL**

Plan Commissioners: Ken Shaw, Chairman  
Eduardo Mani  
Angela Gatto  
Tim Stanton  
Peter Kroner  
Garrett Gray  
John Curran  
Lucas Engel  
Chuck Augustyniak

Absent Plan Commissioner(s): None

Village Officials and Staff: Stephanie Kisler, Planner I  
Barbara Bennett, Commission Secretary

**CALL TO ORDER**

PLAN COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SHAW called to order the Regular Meeting of the Plan Commission for October 5, 2017 at 7:00 p.m.

**COMMUNICATIONS**

CHAIRMAN SHAW welcomed PLAN COMMISSIONER CHUCK AUGUSTYNIK to his first meeting.

CHAIRMAN SHAW read a letter regarding a situation he had recently:

“I’d like to take a moment to acknowledge and thank several members of the Village Staff for their professionalism assisting me with my application for a residential building permit. I’d also like to provide a quick summary of my personal experience as a public service announcement; offering myself up for what I’d call a “teachable moment”.

I recently hired a wonderful contractor, Beary Landscaping, to install a short paver walkway behind my garage. Unfortunately, I misread the responsibilities section of our contract, which clearly stated that I was responsible for securing a building permit. After they started, I realized I didn’t have a permit, so I had them stop working while I applied for the permit to correct my

mistake. I've received conditional permit approval from the Building Department, pending public utility waivers, as the walk is within the public utility easement. I've already received several and expect the rest soon.

I'd like to thank Stephanie Kisler and Jean Bruno for their professional conduct in helping me navigate the Village's permit requirements quickly and correct my mistake. From the beginning, I emphasized that I expected no special consideration based on my position as Chairman of the Plan Commission and expected my application to be treated the same as every other Village resident. I acknowledged that failing to obtain a permit in advance was entirely my own error and that if other residents in my situation have been assessed fines. I expect that I would also. To her great credit, Jean has been very fair and pleasant, but hasn't gone the least bit easy on me. She's held me to the same standard as every other resident, and I expect no less than that.

I offer my experience as example to all residents and advise that you always consult the Building Department on projects. They won't make you get permits that aren't required. And, if you screw up, as I did, the best thing you can do is stop the work and let the Village help you correct the situation. They know people make mistakes and they will help you get in compliance quickly.

So once again, thank you."

## **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

Minutes of the September 21, 2017 Regular Meeting of the Plan Commission were presented for approval. A Motion was made by COMMISSIONER GRAY, seconded by COMMISSIONER CURRAN, to approve the Minutes.

COMMISSIONER GRAY noted corrections on the spelling of his name on page 4 and page 6. Spelling should be "GRAY".

A Motion was made by COMMISSIONER STANTON, seconded by COMMISSIONER MANI, to approve the minutes as corrected. The Motion was approved by voice call. CHAIRMAN SHAW declared the motion carried.

**TO: VILLAGE OF TINLEY PARK PRESIDENT AND BOARD OF TRUSTEES**  
**FROM: VILLAGE OF TINLEY PARK PLAN COMMISSION**  
**SUBJECT: MINUTES OF THE OCTOBER 5, 2017 REGULAR MEETING**  
**ITEM #1: PUBLIC HEARING: MILE 1 FITNESS – 17030 OAK PARK AVENUE –  
SPECIAL USE PERMIT**

Consider recommending that the Village Board grant a Special Use Permit to the Petitioner, Mark Higgins of Mile 1 Fitness, a Special Use Permit to: 1) allow a recreational business use (including group fitness classes); and 2) allow the business to open at 5:00a.m. at 17030 Oak Park Avenue in the NG (Neighborhood General) Zoning District.

Present were the following:

Plan Commissioners: Ken Shaw, Chairman  
Eduardo Mani  
Angela Gatto  
Tim Stanton  
Peter Kroner  
Garrett Gray  
John Curran  
Lucas Engel  
Chuck Augustyniak

Absent Plan Commissioner(s): None

Village Officials and Staff: Stephanie Kisler, Planner I  
Barbara Bennett, Commission Secretary

Guests: Mark Higgins, Mile 1 Fitness, Petitioner  
Tammy Spilis, Network Real Estate Leasing Agent  
Rose Gillece, Network Real Leasing Agent

A Motion was made by COMMISSIONER KRONER, seconded by COMMISSIONER AUGUSTYNIAK, to open the Public Hearing for a Special Use Permit for Mile 1 Fitness. The Motion was approved unanimously by voice call. CHAIRMAN SHAW declared the Motion approved.

CHAIRMAN SHAW noted that Village Staff provided confirmation that appropriate notice regarding the Public Hearing was published in the local newspaper in accordance with State law and Village requirements.

CHAIRMAN SHAW requested anyone present in the audience, who wished to give testimony, comment, engage in cross-examination or ask questions during the Hearing stand and be sworn in.

STEPHANIE KISLER, Planner I, stated Mark Higgins of Mile 1 Fitness is proposing to open a recreational/fitness use business at 17030 Oak Park Avenue in the Elmore Plaza Shopping Center (a.k.a. Tinley Square). The Petitioner is requesting a Special Use Permit to open the business at 5:00 a.m. and allow a recreational use, which includes group fitness classes. The earliest a business can open is 6:00 a.m. without approval of a Special Use Permit.

MS. KISLER displayed PowerPoint slides of the location of the proposed business. Staff followed up on the following open items previously discussed at the September 21, 2017 workshop:

1. Consider conditions related to sound.
  - The Petitioner noted that he was able to get inside the vacant tenant space north of his unit. He played music in his unit and was unable to hear it in the vacant unit.
  - The property owner should acknowledge for the record that they will inform the future tenant at 17028 Oak Park Avenue that Mile 1 Fitness will be playing music.
  - Most importantly, Staff recommends that sound shall not exceed 50 dB when measured from outside the tenant space (exterior of the building or within the adjacent tenant space). Sound shall not cause a nuisance to other tenant spaces or surrounding properties. Staff recommends that the Plan Commission consider adding a condition that states that the Petitioner will be required to do soundproofing if there are valid complaints regarding noise.
  - On the interior, Staff recommends compliance with sound standards for gyms set by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), as amended from time to time, with a maximum volume of 80 decibels (dB) when measured inside the tenant space.
2. Consider conditions related to class sizes or class times.
  - The Petitioner stated that the maximum number of people at the site (employees and people attending the classes) will be 25 rather than 32 as originally noted.
3. The Petitioner is required to install a bike rack to provide parking for at least two (2) bikes.
  - The property management company, Network Real Estate Group, is working to provide bike parking. They agreed to provide a shared bike rack for all of the tenant spaces at the south end of the shopping center near the American Cancer Society. The new bike rack will ensure that all tenant spaces comply with the requirement for bike parking.
4. Consider conditions related to required improvements including improvements to the south entry area and general building and parking lot maintenance.
  - The property management company, Network Real Estate Group, is working to get bids for the parking lot maintenance work. The building will be power washed and painted by the end of October. Staff will follow up with the property management company to ensure that these tasks are completed in a timely manner. The property maintenance (façade, parking lot) aspects do not need to be a condition of the Special Use Permit since these items fall under the property maintenance code.
  - The trash enclosure south of the tenant space will be removed to improve access between the buildings. Staff recommends the removal of the trash enclosure become a condition of the Special Use Permit since it directly affects the access and parking for the Mile 1 Fitness tenant space.
  - The Petitioner plans to utilize the south access door as the main entrance to the tenant space. He will make improvements to the south entry by changing the doors to glass, reconfiguring the step, and adding planters. Staff provided a conceptual graphic showing what these improvements may look like.

MS. KISLER noted the subject property is zoned NG (Neighborhood General) and is within the Legacy District. The site has frontage on Oak Park Avenue to east. There are currently sixteen (16) parking spaces striped directly south of the tenant space, ten (10) of which are exclusively leased to the Petitioner.

MS. KISLER displayed a photo of the current lighting in the rear of the building, which the Petitioner will improve.

COMMISSIONER KRONER asked about the maintenance of the parking lot next to the building. MS. KISLER noted the management company will be taking care of any maintenance needed in the parking lot.

MS. KISLER stated there are draft Findings of Fact in the Staff Report. She offered to discuss them.

COMMISSIONER KRONER asked about the Petitioner's plans for the new doors and signs. MARK HIGGINS, Petitioner, noted he will be working on signage and the drawings for the change in the current doors. He stated he would be putting glass doors in so the clients will be able to see into the building.

CHAIRMAN SHAW noted the management company will be installing a shared bike rack for all of the property owner's tenants in this shopping center. MS. KISLER noted that there are multiple property owners within the shopping center and these bike racks would account for only this property owner's bike parking requirement.

COMMISSIONER GRAY asked about how the decibel rating for sound was arrived at. MS. KISLER replied she looked at several decibel comparison charts to determine what an acceptable amount of noise was for the exterior of the space. She also noted that if there were valid complaints soundproofing could be recommended. CHAIRMAN SHAW asked for elaboration on the term "valid". MS. KISLER stated a valid complaint would have to be verified by a decibel meter by Village staff.

CHAIRMAN SHAW asked if anyone else wanted to provide comment during the Public Hearing. No one wished to speak.

Motion was made by COMMISSIONER STANTON, seconded by COMMISSIONER GRAY, to close the Public Hearing. Vote by voice. CHAIRMAN SHAW declared the Motion carried.

Motion was made by COMMISSIONER KRONER, seconded by COMMISSIONER AUGUSTYNIAK, to recommend that the Village Board grant the Petitioner, Mark Higgins of Mile 1 Fitness, a Special Use Permit to:

1. allow a recreational business use (including group fitness classes); and
2. allow the business to open at 5:00 a.m.

The Petitioner's tenant space is located at 17030 Oak Park Avenue in the NG (Neighborhood General) Zoning District.

The Plan Commission recommends the following conditions:

1. That sound shall not exceed 50 dB when measured from outside the tenant space (exterior of the building or within the adjacent tenant space). Sound shall not cause a nuisance to other tenant spaces or surrounding properties. The Petitioner will be required to do soundproofing if there are valid complaints regarding noise.
2. That the trash enclosure south of the tenant space is removed prior to release of the Certificate of Occupancy.
3. That the Petitioner provides potted plants with seasonal plant material outside the entrance in order to meet the spirit of the landscape requirement.

AYES: PLAN COMMISSIONERS KRONER, STANTON, CURRAN, ENGEL, MANI,  
GATTO, GRAY, AUGUSTYNIAK, and CHAIRMAN SHAW

NAYS: NONE

CHAIRMAN SHAW declared the Motion approved.

**TO: VILLAGE OF TINLEY PARK PRESIDENT AND BOARD OF TRUSTEES**

**FROM: VILLAGE OF TINLEY PARK PLAN COMMISSION**

**SUBJECT: MINUTES OF THE OCTOBER 5, 2017 REGULAR MEETING**

**ITEM #2: PUBLIC HEARING: TEXT AMENDMENTS TO THE VILLAGE OF TINLEY PARK ZONING ORDINANCE (SECTION II AND SECTION III) RELATED TO FENCE REGULATIONS**

Consider recommending that the Village Board approve Text Amendments to Section II and Section III of the Village of Tinley Park Zoning Ordinance. The proposed Text Amendments include, but are not limited to: fence regulations and related definitions.

Present were the following:

Plan Commissioners: Ken Shaw, Chairman  
Eduardo Mani  
Angela Gatto  
Tim Stanton  
Peter Kroner  
Garrett Gray  
John Curran  
Lucas Engel  
Chuck Augustyniak

Absent Plan Commissioner(s): None

Village Officials and Staff: Stephanie Kisler, Planner I  
Barbara Bennett, Commission Secretary

A Motion was made by COMMISSIONER STANTON, seconded by COMMISSIONER CURRAN, to open the Public Hearing on Text Amendments to the Village of Tinley Park Zoning Ordinance (Section II and Section III) Related to Fence Regulations. The Motion was approved unanimously by voice call. CHAIRMAN SHAW declared the Motion approved.

CHAIRMAN SHAW noted that Village Staff provided confirmation that appropriate notice regarding the Public Hearing was published in the local newspaper in accordance with State law and Village requirements.

A Motion was made by COMMISSIONER GRAY, seconded by COMMISSIONER GATTO, to table the Public Hearing on Text Amendments to the Village of Tinley Park Zoning Ordinance (Section II and Section III) Related to Fence Regulations to a future date uncertain. The Motion was approved unanimously by voice call. CHAIRMAN SHAW declared the Motion approved.

**TO: VILLAGE OF TINLEY PARK PRESIDENT AND BOARD OF TRUSTEES**

**FROM: VILLAGE OF TINLEY PARK PLAN COMMISSION**

**SUBJECT: MINUTES OF THE OCTOBER 5, 2017 REGULAR MEETING**

**ITEM #3: WORKSHOP: BANGING GAVEL – 6811 HICKORY STREET – SITE PLAN APPROVAL, PLAT APPROVAL, AND VARIANCES**

Consider granting the Petitioner, James Richert of Banging Gavel, Site Plan Approval for an outdoor seating area and related landscape improvements and recommending that the Village Board grant approval for the following:

1. The Final Plat of Subdivision;
2. A three foot, four inch (3'4") variance from Section XII.4.E. (Table 4.E.1.) of the Zoning Ordinance where the maximum height for a freestanding frame sign is four feet (4'). This variance would allow a seven foot, four inch (7'4") tall freestanding frame sign;
3. A nine foot (9') variance from Section XII.4.E. (Table 4.E.1.) of the Zoning Ordinance where a freestanding frame must be set back ten feet (10') from the property line. This variance would allow the freestanding frame sign to be set back one foot (1') from the north property line; and
4. A thirteen foot (13') variance from Section XII.2.A.9. (Table 2.A.6.) of the Zoning Ordinance where an accessory structure is required to be set back twenty feet (20') from a primary street. This variance would allow a pergola at a seven foot (7') setback from the east property line.

Present were the following:

Plan Commissioners: Ken Shaw, Chairman  
Eduardo Mani  
Angela Gatto  
Tim Stanton  
Peter Kroner  
Garrett Gray  
John Curran  
Lucas Engel  
Chuck Augustyniak

Absent Plan Commissioner(s): None

Village Officials and Staff: Stephanie Kisler, Planner I  
Barbara Bennett, Commission Secretary

Guests: Jim Richert, Banging Gavel, Petitioner  
Joe Bandza, Trinity Commercial Construction  
Kyle O'Connor, Banging Gavel  
Rebecca O'Connor, Banging Gavel



STEPHANIE KISLER, Planner I, presented the Staff Report. She noted the subject property is located at 6811 Hickory Street in the southwest corner of Oak Park Avenue and Hickory Street in the downtown area of Tinley Park. She displayed the plans for the outdoor seating/beer garden area and landscape improvements. She then showed a drawing of the pergola proposed for entertainment in the beer garden. The Legacy Code states that accessory structures are required to be set back twenty feet from a primary street in this district. This variance would allow a pergola at a seven foot (7') setback from the east property line.

MS. KISLER also discussed the variance requests for the proposed freestanding frame sign. She noted that the Petitioner will not be able to utilize wall signage due to historic architecture regulations. The proposed sign is aesthetically in character with the building. There is precedent with the variance for the sign location. The sign height is lower than the maximum height allowed for a monument sign in this zoning district.

COMMISSIONER GRAY noted on the landscaping plan that there is a 36 inch minimum footing depth on the fence posts. This is not acceptable to prevent destruction from frost. MS. KISLER noted this would be addressed by the Community Development Department at permit time.

COMMISSIONER CURRAN asked about the sight line safety for cars entering on Oak Park Avenue. MS. KISLER replied Public Safety would be looking at this.

COMMISSIONER MANI noted the fence gates should be swinging out rather than into the beer garden for safety purposes.

CHAIRMAN SHAW asked if there could be renderings at the Public Hearing to get a better view from street level at Oak Park Avenue to make sure there is no sight line danger caused by the proposed pergola.

JOE BANDZA, Trinity Commercial Construction, stated there is a rendering in the packet. MS. KISLER stated the base of the pergola is only about four feet (4') high and is see-thru above that.

MS. KISLER noted the open items are:

1. A variance is required for the proposed pergola. MS. KISLER noted the Petitioner will provide a rendering of the pergola from Oak Park Avenue. She will provide a diagram with the fence line colorized so the location of the fence is clear.
2. Variances are required for the proposed freestanding frame sign. The proposed sign does not meet all aspects of the sign regulations regarding the maximum height and required setback. The Plan Commission did not express concerns about the proposed sign.

COMMISSIONER AUGUSTYNIAK asked about the capacity within the outdoor seating area. MR. RICHERT replied that there could be roughly 150 people within the beer garden area.

CHAIRMAN SHAW thanked the Petitioner and noted the Public Hearing is scheduled for the regular Plan Commission meeting on October 19, 2017.

**TO: VILLAGE OF TINLEY PARK PRESIDENT AND BOARD OF TRUSTEES**

**FROM: VILLAGE OF TINLEY PARK PLAN COMMISSION**

**SUBJECT: MINUTES OF THE OCTOBER 5, 2017 REGULAR MEETING**

**ITEM #4: WORKSHOP: ALDI – 16000 HARLEM AVENUE – SPECIAL USE PERMIT FOR A SUBSTANTIAL DEVIATION FROM THE PARK CENTER PLAZA PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT**

Consider recommending that the Village Board grant the Petitioner, Doyle Signs, Inc. on behalf of Aldi, a Special Use Permit for a Substantial Deviation from the Park Center Plaza Planned Unit Development to allow for an increase in the size and number of signs allowed for Aldi at 16000 Harlem Avenue and within the B-3 PD (General Business and Commercial, Park Center Plaza Planned Unit Development) Zoning District. The proposed Substantial Deviation would allow a total of four (4) wall signs, a total sign face area of 211 square feet, and the main sign would be a maximum height of fifteen feet (15’).

Present were the following:

Plan Commissioners: Ken Shaw, Chairman  
Eduardo Mani  
Angela Gatto  
Tim Stanton  
Peter Kroner  
Garrett Gray  
John Curran  
Lucas Engel  
Chuck Augustyniak

Absent Plan Commissioner(s): None

Village Officials and Staff: Stephanie Kisler, Planner I  
Barbara Bennett, Commission Secretary

Guests: Scott Kator, Aldi  
John Streetz, Doyle Signs, Inc.

STEPHANIE KISLER, Planner I, noted the Petitioner, Doyle Signs, Inc. on behalf of Aldi, seeks a Special Use Permit for a Substantial Deviation from the Park Center Plaza Planned Unit Development to allow for an increase in the size and number of signs allowed for Aldi at 16000 Harlem Avenue and within the B-3 PD (General Business and Commercial, Park Center Plaza Planned Unit Development) Zoning District. The proposed Substantial Deviation would allow a total of four wall signs, a total sign face area of 253 square feet, and the main sign would be a maximum height of fifteen feet.

MS. KISLER displayed images of the existing site and the proposed signage. The Petitioner proposes to mount the new signage on the façade of the new Aldi store. The proposed signage includes a total of four (4) wall signs with a total sign face area of approximately 253 square feet. The main sign would be fifteen feet (15’) tall. Additionally there are three (3) disc signs with reverse LED lighting. According to the Village’s Sign Regulations, the maximum height is seven feet (7’) with a maximum sign face area of 110 square feet.

COMMISSIONER KRONER asked if the current store is one of the most successful Aldi stores in the area. SCOTT KATOR, Aldi, replied that it was not.

COMMISSIONER KRONER noted concern about the size of the proposed sign being twice the size of the allowed signage. He stated it is unfair to have this size sign and that the Petitioner is asking for too much of a variance. He prefers a more understated Aldi sign. He has a lot of concerns giving that variation. Sam's Club is a much larger building and their sign is not this big.

JOHN STREETZ, Doyle Signs, Inc., stated the Aldi façade has taller elevations than the other stores within the shopping center. He noted this site is significantly set back from Harlem Avenue – over 500 feet – and it would be difficult to see a smaller sign that meets code from Harlem Avenue. COMMISSIONER KRONER noted the current Aldi is further back from the street and people are still going to the store.

MR. STREETZ stated that in his professional opinion as a sign contractor this sign is well-placed and well-sized for the architecture of this building. It does not look overly large. COMMISSIONER KRONER noted this sign is double the size allowed and the Village has rules. The sign is just too big.

CHAIRMAN SHAW stated if we focus on the main Aldi sign the square footage is a smaller number for the variance. He noted if we look at the three (3) icons separately from the large Aldi sign, his opinion is that the icons are not obtrusive.

MR. KATOR stated the three (3) smaller disc signs are more like lights rather than signs. MS. KISLER clarified that we consider them signs since they convey a message. MR. KATOR noted this Aldi sign is a larger sign than what they usually use due to the scale of the façade on this building. He noted the façade plans showed the sign and wrongfully assumed that when the façade plans were approved by the Village that the sign was also approved. He added the sign has already been purchased because they thought it was approved. There is a hardship and a unique circumstance because the store is over 500 feet from Harlem Avenue and the size of the sign is appropriate for distance of the building from Harlem Avenue. COMMISSIONER KRONER replied that is not a valid argument as the current Aldi store is further back from Harlem Avenue and that store is successful. He noted Sam's Club has a much larger building and a smaller sign.

MS. KISLER noted she reviewed Aldi's façade plans for building permits and specifically stated that signage requires a separate permit and review.

CHAIRMAN SHAW asked for opinions from the Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER ENGEL stated they are asking for a variance. It fits the building. He does not see that the sign is overly huge.

COMMISSIONER CURRAN noted he does not see that the sign is overly huge and obnoxious. The sign looks good on the building. He is fine with the 3 icons.

COMMISSIONER MANI stated it was a little large and a bit smaller would look good. He looks at it as 2 signs.

COMMISSIONER GATTO said she would like to see the size of the main sign reduced a bit. She feels the 3 icons are good.

COMMISSIONER GRAY noted that the sign should meet code or be smaller than the proposed sign. He asked if they had already purchased this sign.

COMMISSIONER SHAW asked what it might look like if the main Aldi sign used up the entire allowable square footage. He stated the smaller sign may look puny with the scale of the façade.

COMMISSIONER GRAY noted this sign is too large and too much of a deviation but if we go strictly to the code it will look weird. We need flexibility and should address only the main sign. Before we go to Public Hearing we should have photos of a smaller sign for comparison.

MR. KATOR stated this store will be testing an in-store bakery, fresh produce, and will be testing new concepts. This will be very different from the previous store.

MS. KISLER stated at the next meeting we will have an overlay of the smaller version of the sign for comparison with a sign that meets code. She will show a comparison to the Sam's Club sign. She will fix the calculations on the square footage in the Staff Report. She noted that the Sign Regulations do allow additional sign face area for properties along I-80 based on the setbacks from the highway. This site is not adjacent to the interstate but could be a consideration since Harlem Avenue is a major arterial street.

COMMISSIONER SHAW mentioned the fact that the current store was successful with the sign they had; however, with a larger sign, could they be more successful. He noted the Public Hearing is scheduled for the regular Plan Commission meeting on October 19, 2017.

## **GOOD OF THE ORDER**

STEPHANIE KISLER, Planner I, noted the following project updates:

1. The Residence of Brookside Glen developers have submitted revised plans. Staff and the developer have been working with Brookside Glen residents to create a better plan. The plan now shows four (4) multi-family residential buildings and the project will be coming to Plan Commission for review October 19, 2017. The core group of Brookside Glen residents have supported this new plan and have been very well organized.
2. Revised plans were received for the Boulevard at Central Station on South Street. This project will be coming before the Plan Commission in November.
3. Next Meeting – Public Hearings on Aldi and Banging Gavel and a workshop on Studio Q and Residences at Brookside Glen.
4. Upcoming project: McDonald's on 159<sup>th</sup> Street and Harlem Avenue submitted plans for a façade improvement and double drive-thru.
5. Fences will be continued to a later date.
6. Faith United Presbyterian Church will go before the Zoning Board of Appeals for a variance request for a pavilion within the front yard setback.
7. Paula Wallrich is doing well – she has been walking and was in the office for a meeting this week. She possibly will be returning to the office on Monday, October 16<sup>th</sup> depending on how she is feeling.
8. Ellen Weber has been covering for Paula and is doing well.

## **RECEIVE COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC**

There were none.

## **ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business, a Motion was made by COMMISSIONER AUGUSTYNIAK, seconded by COMMISSIONER MANI, to adjourn the Regular Meeting of the Plan Commission of October 5, 2017 at 8:42 p.m. The Motion was unanimously approved by voice call. PLAN COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SHAW declared the meeting adjourned.