




Tinley Park

Community Data Snapshot

Municipality Series

July 2022 Release



About the Community Data Snapshots

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the [Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning \(CMAP\)](#) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The underlying data can be downloaded from the [CMAP Data Hub](#). Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick survey** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

User Notes

Definitions

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the [ACS subject definitions](#).

Margins of Error

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the [ACS sample size and data quality methodology](#).

Regional Values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Median Values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the [grouped frequency distributions](#) reported in the ACS.

Municipalities Located in Multiple Counties

County data is presented for the CMAP county containing the largest portion of the municipality's population, as of the 2020 decennial census.

Municipalities That Extend Beyond the CMAP Region

Values derived from CMAP analyses are generally restricted to geographies that fall within the CMAP regional boundaries. Specifically, values in the General Land Use, Equalized Assessed Value, Park Access, Transit Availability, Walkability, Water Supply, and ON TO 2050 Indicators tables only represent the portion of each municipality that falls within the seven-county CMAP region. This snapshot is for Tinley Park, which does *not* extend beyond the CMAP region.

Population and Households

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Tinley Park.

General Population Characteristics, 2020

	Tinley Park	Cook County	CMAP Region
Total Population	55,971	5,275,541	8,577,735
Total Households	22,006	2,086,940	3,266,741
Average Household Size	2.5	2.5	2.6
Percent Population Change, 2010-20	-1.3	1.6	1.7
Percent Population Change, 2000-20	15.6	-1.9	5.3

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

Race and Ethnicity, 2016-2020

	Tinley Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	43,997	78.5	2,168,964	42.0	4,276,699	50.6
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	5,609	10.0	1,308,432	25.3	1,952,731	23.1
Black (Non-Hispanic)	3,084	5.5	1,184,373	22.9	1,391,837	16.5
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	2,514	4.5	382,075	7.4	620,988	7.3
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	878	1.6	125,673	2.4	209,283	2.5

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, 2016-2020

	Tinley Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Under 5	2,989	5.3	315,368	6.1	508,895	6.0
5 to 19	10,232	18.2	934,763	18.1	1,624,354	19.2
20 to 34	10,605	18.9	1,179,280	22.8	1,781,246	21.1
35 to 49	10,049	17.9	1,026,034	19.8	1,688,609	20.0
50 to 64	12,385	22.1	956,384	18.5	1,625,883	19.2
65 to 74	5,852	10.4	433,863	8.4	713,897	8.4
75 to 84	2,672	4.8	219,634	4.2	348,205	4.1
85 and Over	1,298	2.3	104,191	2.0	160,449	1.9
Median Age	40.5		37.0		37.7	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, 2016-2020

	Tinley Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	1,664	4.2	442,048	12.3	635,735	11.0
High School Diploma or Equivalent	10,125	25.4	811,264	22.6	1,291,465	22.4
Some College, No Degree	8,685	21.8	660,139	18.4	1,092,322	18.9
Associate's Degree	4,458	11.2	238,063	6.6	407,241	7.1
Bachelor's Degree	9,797	24.5	846,361	23.6	1,412,295	24.5
Graduate or Professional Degree	5,182	13.0	587,058	16.4	934,423	16.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Nativity, 2016-2020

	Tinley Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	50,851	90.7	4,091,042	79.1	6,847,148	81.0
Foreign Born	5,231	9.3	1,078,475	20.9	1,604,390	19.0

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2016-2020

	Tinley Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	45,035	84.8	3,155,257	65.0	5,459,244	68.7
Spanish	2,196	4.1	998,229	20.6	1,449,991	18.3
Slavic Languages	739	1.4	205,096	4.2	278,826	3.5
Chinese	100	0.2	66,708	1.4	90,225	1.1
Tagalog	251	0.5	45,091	0.9	71,472	0.9
Arabic	2,240	4.2	47,786	1.0	62,859	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	25,127	0.5	35,656	0.4
Other Asian Languages	502	0.9	64,677	1.3	110,907	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	1,816	3.4	200,781	4.1	326,948	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	214	0.4	45,397	0.9	56,515	0.7
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	8,058	15.2	1,698,892	35.0	2,483,399	31.3
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	2,450	4.6	657,991	13.6	925,362	11.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, 2016-2020

	Tinley Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1-Person Household	6,345	29.0	660,285	33.2	921,119	29.2
2-Person Household	6,534	29.9	602,104	30.2	975,488	30.9
3-Person Household	3,480	15.9	294,144	14.8	490,784	15.6
4-or-More-Person Household	5,512	25.2	434,941	21.8	765,988	24.3

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, 2016-2020

	Tinley Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	14,760	67.5	1,183,425	59.4	2,029,180	64.3
Single Parent with Child	1,085	5.0	167,247	8.4	252,992	8.0
Non-Family	7,111	32.5	808,049	40.6	1,124,199	35.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, 2016-2020

	Tinley Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	2,310	10.6	382,543	19.2	506,150	16.1
\$25,000 to \$49,999	3,450	15.8	374,751	18.8	548,808	17.4
\$50,000 to \$74,999	4,105	18.8	316,827	15.9	495,919	15.7
\$75,000 to \$99,999	2,907	13.3	244,249	12.3	401,768	12.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	4,559	20.8	315,926	15.9	556,161	17.6
\$150,000 and Over	4,540	20.8	357,178	17.9	644,573	20.4
Median Income	\$82,163		\$67,886		\$76,606	
Per Capita Income*	\$40,955		\$39,239		\$40,601	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Universe: Total population

Household Computer and Internet Access, 2016-2020

	Tinley Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
One or More Computing Devices	20,506	93.8	1,819,566	91.4	2,923,718	92.7
Smartphone(s) Only	854	3.9	167,884	8.4	226,403	7.2
No Computing Devices	1,365	6.2	171,908	8.6	229,661	7.3
Internet Access	20,212	92.4	1,749,934	87.9	2,832,841	89.8
Broadband Subscription	19,944	91.2	1,688,111	84.8	2,750,632	87.2
No Internet Access	1,659	7.6	241,540	12.1	320,538	10.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2016-2020

	Tinley Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	21,871	95.9	1,991,474	90.6	3,153,379	92.2
Owner-Occupied*	18,763	85.8	1,139,938	57.2	2,024,218	64.2
Renter-Occupied*	3,108	14.2	851,536	42.8	1,129,161	35.8
Vacant Housing Units	931	4.1	207,015	9.4	266,837	7.8

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units
*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2016-2020

	Tinley Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$20,000	1,205	5.6	250,630	13.0	329,533	10.7
Less than 20 Percent	24	0.1	6,543	0.3	8,809	0.3
20 to 29 Percent	38	0.2	19,670	1.0	23,934	0.8
30 Percent or More	1,143	5.3	224,417	11.6	296,790	9.7
\$20,000 to \$49,999	4,204	19.6	455,297	23.6	658,312	21.4
Less than 20 Percent	490	2.3	53,182	2.8	76,378	2.5
20 to 29 Percent	903	4.2	95,602	4.9	137,095	4.5
30 Percent or More	2,811	13.1	306,513	15.9	444,839	14.5
\$50,000 to \$74,999	4,105	19.1	313,583	16.2	490,893	16.0
Less than 20 Percent	1,246	5.8	99,098	5.1	150,576	4.9
20 to 29 Percent	1,227	5.7	111,492	5.8	174,242	5.7
30 Percent or More	1,632	7.6	102,993	5.3	166,075	5.4
\$75,000 or More	11,938	55.6	912,081	47.2	1,594,882	51.9
Less than 20 Percent	7,818	36.4	618,601	32.0	1,074,238	35.0
20 to 29 Percent	3,191	14.9	221,357	11.5	392,538	12.8
30 Percent or More	929	4.3	72,123	3.7	128,106	4.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing & Transportation (H+T) Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2012-2016

	Median-Income Family**	Moderate-Income Family***
Housing Costs	34	43
Transportation Costs	22	24
TOTAL H+T COSTS	57	67

Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, [Location Affordability Index](#) (2012-2016).

*The purpose of the H+T Index is to isolate the effect of location on housing and transportation costs, and is reported for different household typologies. The values above represent the percent of household income that an average household of each type spends on housing and transportation. The standard threshold of affordability is 30% for housing costs alone, and 45% for housing and transportation costs combined.

**"Median-income family" assumes a 4-person, 2-commuter household with income equal to the regional median.

***"Moderate-income family" assumes a 3-person, 1-commuter household with income equal to 80% of the regional median.

Housing Characteristics

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Tinley Park.

Housing Type, 2016-2020

	Tinley Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	13,014	57.1	889,820	40.5	1,719,774	50.3
Single Family, Attached	4,459	19.6	115,249	5.2	253,603	7.4
2 Units	88	0.4	211,928	9.6	237,178	6.9
3 or 4 Units	969	4.2	232,291	10.6	268,464	7.8
5 to 9 Units	1,160	5.1	216,511	9.8	266,933	7.8
10 to 19 Units	2,378	10.4	102,644	4.7	150,679	4.4
20 or More Units	722	3.2	412,247	18.8	494,837	14.5
Mobile Home/Other*	12	0.1	17,799	0.8	28,748	0.8

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*“Other” includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, 2016-2020

	Tinley Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	1,334	5.9	442,645	20.1	550,686	16.1
2 Bedrooms	6,887	30.2	683,707	31.1	958,392	28.0
3 Bedrooms	9,449	41.4	701,940	31.9	1,138,223	33.3
4 Bedrooms	4,456	19.5	275,142	12.5	600,758	17.6
5 or More Bedrooms	676	3.0	95,055	4.3	172,157	5.0
Median Number of Rooms*	6.3		5.2		6.0	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.
Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, 2016-2020

	Tinley Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	4,759	20.9	220,573	10.0	478,528	14.0
Built 1970 to 1999	14,330	62.8	577,412	26.3	1,175,262	34.4
Built 1940 to 1969	3,443	15.1	779,026	35.4	1,044,171	30.5
Built Before 1940	270	1.2	621,478	28.3	722,255	21.1
Median Year Built	1987		1959		1969	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Transportation

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Tinley Park.

Vehicles Available per Household, 2016-2020

	Tinley Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	564	2.6	352,058	17.7	399,153	12.7
1 Vehicle Available	7,177	32.8	802,467	40.3	1,116,123	35.4
2 Vehicles Available	9,696	44.3	592,810	29.8	1,103,633	35.0
3 or More Vehicles Available	4,434	20.3	244,139	12.3	534,470	16.9

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, 2016-2020

	Tinley Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home*	1,399	4.9	195,678	7.8	342,653	8.2
Drive Alone	22,715	79.2	1,502,764	59.9	2,785,976	66.7
Carpool	1,788	6.2	196,306	7.8	321,528	7.7
Transit	2,464	8.6	446,324	17.8	517,952	12.4
Walk or Bike	245	0.9	127,941	5.1	153,729	3.7
Other	69	0.2	39,198	1.6	57,069	1.4
TOTAL COMMUTERS	27,281	95.1	2,312,533	92.2	3,836,254	91.8
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	33.5		33.2		32.2	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household*, 2017

	Tinley Park	Cook County	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	21,800	14,123	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2017 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

*Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2017

	Tinley Park	Cook County	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	17.4%	79.3%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	27.4%	12.2%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	55.2%	8.5%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 [Transit Availability Index](#).

*The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.

Employment

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Tinley Park.

Employment Status, 2016-2020

	Tinley Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	31,095	68.4	2,756,348	66.1	4,546,688	67.3
Employed†*	29,268	94.1	2,560,882	92.9	4,254,806	93.6
Unemployed*	1,827	5.9	193,952	7.0	279,707	6.2
Not in Labor Force	14,362	31.6	1,410,947	33.9	2,205,767	32.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

*Universe: In labor force

Private Sector Employment*, 2021

	Tinley Park		Cook County		6-County Region**	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Private Sector Employment	10,579	N/A	2,088,934	N/A	3,343,257	N/A
Job Change, 2011-21	-1,074	-9.2	51,337	2.5	150,831	4.7
Job Change, 2001-21	-2,651	-20.0	-233,882	-10.1	-145,637	-4.2
Private Sector Jobs per Household***	0.48		1.05		1.06	

Source: Illinois Department of Employment Security, Where Workers Work report (2021).

*Figures exclude employees not covered by unemployment insurance. Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

**Data is not available for Kendall County.

***Based on households from 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Employment of Tinley Park Residents*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Health Care	3,538	13.6
2. Education	3,134	12.0
3. Retail Trade	2,748	10.5
4. Manufacturing	2,165	8.3
5. Accommodation and Food Service	2,052	7.9
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Chicago	5,406	20.7
2. Tinley Park	2,366	9.1
3. Orland Park	1,404	5.4
4. Mokena	503	1.9
5. Oak Lawn	487	1.9

Employment in Tinley Park*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Retail Trade	3,087	15.8
2. Manufacturing	2,652	13.5
3. Health Care	2,383	12.2
4. Accommodation and Food Service	2,111	10.8
5. Wholesale Trade	1,982	10.1
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Tinley Park	2,366	12.1
2. Chicago	1,870	9.5
3. Orland Park	901	4.6
4. Oak Forest	634	3.2
5. Joliet	424	2.2

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2019).

*Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.

Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Tinley Park.

General Land Use, 2015

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	3,947.2	38.5
Multi-Family Residential	334.6	3.3
Commercial	821.6	8.0
Industrial	424.1	4.1
Institutional	739.9	7.2
Mixed Use	29.4	0.3
Transportation and Other	2,276.9	22.2
Agricultural	414.2	4.0
Open Space	866.4	8.4
Vacant	409.7	4.0
TOTAL	10,264.1	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Land Use Inventory](#).

Park Access, 2015

	Tinley Park	Cook County	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	8.02	3.57	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Park Access Layer](#).

*Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

Walkability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2018

	Tinley Park	Cook County	CMAP Region
High Walkability	2.6%	67.8%	44.7%
Moderate Walkability	40.4%	19.0%	24.8%
Low Walkability	57.0%	13.3%	30.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 [Walkability Layer](#).

*The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the presence/absence of sidewalks; the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.

Tax Base

The tax base tables include retail sales and equalized assessed values for Tinley Park.

General Merchandise Retail Sales, 2021

	Tinley Park	Cook County	CMAP Region
General Merchandise	\$1,204,877,716	\$48,756,704,152	\$93,569,186,184
Total Retail Sales	\$1,378,168,404	\$66,205,004,950	\$122,031,374,715
Total Sales per Capita*	\$24,574	\$12,807	\$14,439

Source: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2021.

*Per capita calculations based on population from 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Equalized Assessed Value, 2020

Residential	\$1,241,941,133
Commercial	\$318,162,508
Industrial	\$80,581,559
Railroad	\$0
Farm	\$99,026
Mineral	\$0
TOTAL	\$1,640,784,226

Sources: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2020.

Change Over Time

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2016-2020 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	90.5	82.5	78.5
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	4.1	8.9	10.0
Black (Non-Hispanic)	1.9	3.5	5.5
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	2.4	3.8	4.5
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	1.1	1.2	1.6

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
19 and Under	29.1	26.2	23.6
20 to 34	18.5	18.4	18.9
35 to 49	26.4	22.1	17.9
50 to 64	15.3	21.3	22.1
65 and Over	10.7	12.0	17.5
Median Age	36.5	39.2	40.5

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Less than High School Diploma	10.5	7.5	4.2
High School Diploma or Equivalent	30.8	29.7	25.4
Some College, No Degree	25.7	22.9	21.8
Associate's Degree	8.2	9.6	11.2
Bachelor's Degree	17.4	20.2	24.5
Graduate or Professional Degree	7.4	10.1	13.0

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Nativity, Over Time

	2006-2010*	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Native	90.6	90.7
Foreign Born	9.4	9.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population
*Universe: Population 5 years and older

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
English Only	85.7	84.8
Spanish	5.5	4.1
Slavic Languages	2.0	1.4
Chinese	0.3	0.2
Tagalog	0.9	0.5
Arabic	2.2	4.2
Korean	0.0	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.6	0.9
Other Indo-European Languages	2.7	3.4
Other/Unspecified Languages	0.0	0.4
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	14.3	15.2
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	4.8	4.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
1-Person Household	25.8	29.0
2-Person Household	32.3	29.9
3-Person Household	14.2	15.9
4-or-More-Person Household	27.7	25.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Family	70.4	67.5
Single Parent with Child	5.5	5.0
Non-Family	29.6	32.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, Over Time

	2006-2010 (2020 Dollars)	2016-2020 (2020 Dollars)
Median Income	\$90,923	\$82,163

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Occupied Housing Units	96.9	96.2	95.9
Owner-Occupied*	84.9	86.7	85.8
Renter-Occupied*	15.1	13.3	14.2
Vacant Housing Units	3.1	3.8	4.1

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Less than \$20,000	7.5	5.6
Less than 20 Percent	0.2	0.1
20 to 29 Percent	0.6	0.2
30 Percent or More	6.7	5.3
\$20,000 to \$49,999	23.5	19.6
Less than 20 Percent	4.9	2.3
20 to 29 Percent	5.0	4.2
30 Percent or More	13.6	13.1
\$50,000 to \$74,999	16.5	19.1
Less than 20 Percent	5.0	5.8
20 to 29 Percent	4.6	5.7
30 Percent or More	6.9	7.6
\$75,000 or More	51.4	55.6
Less than 20 Percent	27.8	36.4
20 to 29 Percent	17.1	14.9
30 Percent or More	6.5	4.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing Type, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Single Family, Detached	56.2	57.1
Single Family, Attached	19.4	19.6
2 Units	1.1	0.4
3 or 4 Units	4.0	4.2
5 or More Units	19.3	18.7
Mobile Home/Other*	0.1	0.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
 *"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	4.9	5.9
2 Bedrooms	32.2	30.2
3 Bedrooms	43.1	41.4
4 Bedrooms	17.0	19.5
5 or More Bedrooms	2.7	3.0
Median Number of Rooms*	6.1	6.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.
 Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Built 2000 or Later	20.5	20.9
Built 1970 to 1999	62.2	62.8
Built 1940 to 1969	15.2	15.1
Built Before 1940	2.1	1.2
Median Year Built	1986	1987

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
No Vehicle Available	3.5	2.6
1 Vehicle Available	33.0	32.8
2 Vehicles Available	43.7	44.3
3 or More Vehicles Available	19.8	20.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Work at Home*	2.4	4.9
Drive Alone	81.3	79.2
Carpool	5.7	6.2
Transit	8.4	8.6
Walk or Bike	1.4	0.9
Other	0.8	0.2
TOTAL COMMUTERS	97.6	95.1
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	33.9	33.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Employment Status, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
In Labor Force	69.5	68.4
Employed ^{†*}	93.2	94.1
Unemployed*	6.7	5.9
Not in Labor Force	30.5	31.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

[†]Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

*Universe: In labor force

Water Supply

CMAP supports an integrated approach to water resource management, and encourages communities to incorporate water supply and demand considerations into land use, transportation, and infrastructure investment decisions. Assessing demand, price, and loss trends of a community can inform decisions that strengthen regional water supply management, maintain drinking water infrastructure, and manage demand. CMAP's ON TO 2050 plan contains [more information](#) about how communities can coordinate and conserve our shared water supply resources.

Water Source and Demand Trends of Tinley Park*

Primary Water Source: Lake Michigan**

	2003 MGD***	2013 MGD***	Percent Change
Total Water Withdrawals****	6.01	5.41	-9.9
Residential Sector	4.87	4.41	-9.5
Non-Residential Sector	1.14	1.00	-11.6

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2003-2013).

*Only available for municipalities with community water suppliers providing service to the majority of the community.

**The primary water source of a community is based on the source of the majority of withdrawals from all wells and intakes within the community, including community water suppliers and industrial and commercial businesses. The majority of withdrawals is calculated as an average from yearly data, given year to year fluctuations.

***Millions of gallons per day.

****Total includes all community water suppliers and industrial and commercial wells/intakes within a municipality; private residential wells are not included. Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. Non-residential sector includes withdrawals identified as non-residential by the community water suppliers and withdrawals from industrial and commercial wells/intakes.

Daily Residential Water Demand per Capita

	Tinley Park			CMAP Region		
	2003	2013	Percent Change	2003	2013	Percent Change
Residential* (GPCD**)	95.0	77.0	-19.0	104.2	87.5	-16.0

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2003-2013).

*Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. The prevalence of private residential wells or community water suppliers that provide water outside of the municipal boundary could lead to artificially lower or higher GPCD values respectively.

**Gallons of water per capita per day (estimated unit use). Population values used in sector totals come from the U.S. Census.

Residential Water and Wastewater Price Trends*

MONTHLY COST PER 5,000 GALLONS**	2014 (2021 Dollars)	2020 (2021 Dollars)	Percent Change	Annualized Percent Change
Drinking Water	\$38.81	\$44.25	14.0	2.2
Sewer	\$8.45	\$8.48	0.3	0.0
Combined***	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant Water Rates Data for Northeastern Illinois, IISG19-RCE-RLA-031.

*Only available for communities with water utilities and that responded to data requests. Percent changes and prices were adjusted for inflation using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics' Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U).

**Monthly water bills are calculated as: $monthly\ base\ charge + ((5,000\ gallons - water\ provision\ included\ in\ base\ charge) \times \$/1,000\ gallons)$. Note that actual billing calculation practices may vary across communities.

***Some utilities combine drinking water and sewer prices, rather than separating them as two distinct rates.

Water Loss*

Reporting utility: Tinley Park

	2017
Nonrevenue Water (Millions of Gallons per Day)**	0.15
Annual Cost of Nonrevenue Water	\$180,477
Percent of Nonrevenue Water to Water Supplied***	3.6

Source: Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Lake Michigan Allocation Program, 2017.

*Data is only regionally available for Lake Michigan permittees; water losses from other communities and industrial and commercial businesses are not reported to the state.

**Nonrevenue water is the difference between net annual pumpage (water supplied) and billed, authorized consumption. Non-revenue water includes water that is lost from the system due to underregistration of meters, systematic data handling errors, leakage anywhere within the distribution system, unauthorized consumption, or unbilled authorized consumption.

***The threshold for permit compliance is less than 12% of water supplied in Water Year 2015, decreasing to no more than 10% by Water Year 2019 and all years thereafter. Permittees that exceed the threshold are required to submit a water system improvement plan.

ON TO 2050 Indicators

ON TO 2050 is the region’s long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region’s current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Tinley Park	CMAP Region		Source
		Current	Current	2050 Target+	
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	2.3%	46.1%	53.6%	CMAP, 2018
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	3.2%	41.9%	46.0%	CMAP, 2018
Prosperity	Population aged 25+ with an associate’s degree or higher	48.7%	47.7%	64.9%	ACS, 2016-2020
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	85.7%	81.2%	83.4%	ACS, 2016-2020
Environment	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	67.4%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	36.7%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.24	0.19	0.17	USGS NLCD, 2019
	Daily residential water demand per capita (gallons)	77.0	87.5	65.2	ISWS IWIP, 2013
Governance	State revenue disbursement per capita	\$443.14	\$324.17*	N/A**	CMAP, 2020
	Is per capita disbursement at least 80% of regional median?	Yes	Yes for 78% of municipalities	Yes for 100% of municipalities	CMAP, 2020
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	16.1%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	21.2%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-single occupancy vehicle modes	20.6%	32.0%	37.3%	ACS, 2016-2020

+Select targets have been provisionally revised as part of the ON TO 2050 Update, which is currently pending adoption. Please visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/updating-the-plan to learn more.

*Median value of CMAP region’s 284 municipalities.

ON TO 2050 does not have a target for state revenue disbursement per capita in dollars, but rather for the share of municipalities receiving at least 80% of the regional median. The dollar figures are presented as context for the next row. *[[Some indicator targets have been modified as part of the ON TO 2050 Plan Update process. Formal adoption of updated targets is expected in October 2022.]]